# ECONOMICS OF SOCIALISM

ву Н D DICKINSON ONIORD UNIVIRSIES TRESS
AMER ROUGE, F.C. 4
Location I dinhuit he Laction Row York
formito Mellouina Capatown Rounbay
Calcutta Mair is
RUMPHRYS MIT FORD
FURTHER TO THE CRIVERSITY

#### PREFACE

THE purpose of this book is to investigate in the light of modern economic science the fundamental problems involved in the organization of human productive activity for the attisfaction of human needs in a society based upon the twin postulates of economic freedom (both for the worker and for the consumer) and economic equality. During the investigation fundamental issues regarding the price system are raised. The significance of the pricing process for a socialist community is dealt with, and the interrelations of pricing and planning are discussed. The author believes both in the significance for a socialist community of the indications of the pricing process based upon free individual choice of occupation and consumption, and in the practicability of its adoption by such a community. Thus while developing his own theme he joins assue with three different schools of thought. First with the scientific or technocratic exponents of an Age of Plenty according to whom the problem of scarcity, and hence of pricing, will not exist in a rationally planned society Second, with those socialists who deny, wholly or in part, the relevance of the categories of rent and interest in a socialist community. Third, with those economists who assert that socialism is an impossible ideal because under socialism all rational economic calculation would be impossible

While the author's original purpose in this book was to make a contribution to pure economic theory he found himself, in developing this theme, more and more obliged to take into account the purposes of economic activity and to consider the significance of such institutional devices as planning and the market in the light of these purposes. Hence he was led to keep constantly in mind the

interrelations of economic factors with wider social (some called political) factors, thus such fund unential conce welfare, freedom, and equality are here discussed no in their economic aspects but in their more general aspects. It is inevitable that the author should have ceeded upon certain assumptions requiring social end may therefore be made explicit at the outset that the pwork is based upon the liberal and democratic assumithat the ultimate purpose of social and collective act the enlargement of opportunity for the development individual personality as far as this is compatible will equal opportunity of all other individuals.

This book like all products of hum in Thour will material or intellectual, is the result of a social and a individual process. Thus its author is deeply indebte encouragement and inspiration to a great multitue conscious and unconscious collaborators. Those who helped him by their writings are mentioned in the I graphy. Those who have helped him by talk and discrete so numerous that he cannot mention them all by He would like, however, to acknowledge the contribution members of the Grangetown Advanced Tutorial (W.E.A.) in Economics of 1935-6. A special word of tude is due to J. D. Bernal and Lancelot Hogbert for endeavours to widen the author's intellectual helpeyond the narrow perspectives of academic economics.

### CONTENTS

CHAITLE I IN PRODUCTORY	
I THE LEGNONIC I ROBLEM	
SOCIALIEM	
(1) Ceneral Notion of Socialism	
(z) Definition of Socialism	
(3) Criticians of Socialism	1
3 Planning	14
(1) Ceneral Notion of Hairing	14
(2) Լ հասար <sub>ե</sub> and 5 ռանգու	10
(3) The Consequences of Hanned Capitalians	18
4 THE L'CONOMIC I ROBERM UNDER SOCIALISM	244
(1) In Ceneral	24
() I ricing under Socialism	26
5 SOME CENTRAL AF UMPTIONS	20
CHAPTER II CONSUMPTION GOODS—THE	
RECUEATION OF DEMAND	31
I SOCIAL NUMBER	31
(1) Needs and Wants	31
(2) Communal and Individual Consumption	32
(3) The Insatudulity of Woots	35
(4) The Age of Plenty	40
2 IIII LITIMATION OF NEED	43
(1) Rationing	44
( ) Soliciting Consumers Opinions	45
(3) Advisory Bodies of Consumers	46
(4) A representative Assembly	46
(5) Experts Opinions	47
(6) Choice at a Price on a Prec Market	<b>4</b> 8
3 THE DIVISION OF COMMUNAL CONSUMPTION	<b>5</b> I
(1) Ita Range	51
(2) Its Delimitation	54
(3) Its Cost	<b>5</b> 9

4	THE DIVISION OF INDIVIDUAL CONSUMITION	(ю
	The Pricing of Consumption Goods	Óρ
<b>AH</b>	PTER III PRODUCTION COODS	65
1	THE CONCEPT OF COST	(5
2	METHODS OF CALCULATING COST	66
_	(I) Labour-coet	67
	(a) Energy-cost	71
	(3) Price as a Measure of Cost	7
3	SOME SPECIAL CATEGORIES OF COST	75
	(1) Land—Rent	75
	(a) Time—Interest	78
	(3) Uncertainty— Uncertainty surcharge	03
	(4) The Social Γund	<b>ا</b> کر
4	PRICING OF PROFUCTION CO INS—C STRNE	٦h
	(1) Elements of Costing System	ንኝ
	(2) Average Cost or Marginal Cost?	105
	(3) Divergence between Social Casts and Individual	
	Costs	ioh
_	ECONOMIC CALCULATION UNDER SOCIALISM	104)
6	PRICE AS A MEANS OF EQUATING DISSIMILAR COOPS	115
Сна	PTER IV DISTRIBUTION OF INCOM!	
	ALLOCATION OF LABOUR	0.18
1	Introductory	118
2.	ALLOCATION OF LABOUR BRIWEFN OCCUPATIONS	1.6
	(1) Compulsion	10
	(2) Moral Sussion	1 1
	(3) Raising and Lowering of Qualifications	1 1
	(4) Non pecuniary Incentives	1
	(5) Pecumary Incentives—Free Choice of Occupation	
	at a Wago	1 }
3	Wages under Socialism	15
	(1) Methods of Payment	15
	(2) Regional Variations in Wages	17
	(3) Changes in Demand for Labour	1 )
•	WAGES AND EQUALITY	13
5	GENERAL SCHEME OF DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME	

CONTENTS	1.0
6 WOMEN'S WOLL AND WALES	138
7 TOLLARDY	139
CHALLER V TRICE FOLICY OF FUBLIC UTILITIES	150
I TOWN UNITED IN GENERAL	150
2 SOCIALIST ERAN FORT	158
Cum for VI TRIVATI FNTERISE WITHIN THE SOCIALISE COMMUNITY	166
1 THE LUYATE SECTOR IN CHAIN	166
Action run	168
(1) I rice o nitre!	100
() Rent-control	170
(3) Licences	170
(4) Quotas	171
CHALLER VII FORLIGN TRADL	173
I LHADE IN GOODS	173
Comparative Costs	173
2 Forbigh Exchanges	178
l urchising power l arity	1,48
3 CALITAL MOVEMENTS	180
(1) Shirt term Capital	180
() Long term Capital	181
4 WILL SOCIALISM LEAD TO WAR?	184
CHALLER VIII MONLY AND PRICES	189
1 Money	189
2 CIRCULATION	192
3 THE LEUCK LEVEL	196
(1) Messurement of the Price level	196
() Monettry I olicy	197
(3) Methods of Control	199
CHAIRER IX EQUILIBRIUM PRICING AND	
PLANNING	204
1 THE PRICING PROCESS AND ECONOMI EQUILIBRIUM	204
2 Arbitrary Flements	205
(1) Communal and Individual Consumption (2) I resent and Future Consumption	205 207
(a) 1 resent and 1 uture Consumption	20/

(3) The Delimitation of Work and Lessure	208
(4) Geographical Planning and the Pricing of Land	210
3 The Enterpreneur in a Planned Social by Economi	213
4. Planning	219
(1) General Directives	220
(2) Lack of Market Indications	223
(3) Elimination of Cyclical Fluctuations	224
(4) Emergencies	325
CHAPTER X FREEDOM	226
1 PLANNING AND FREEDOM	226
2. Frendom under Socialism	231
NOTE ON NATIONAL INCOMP	238
BIBLIOGRAPHY	241
NDEX OF PERSONS	251
NDEX OF SUBJECTS	253

## CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTORY

#### THE ECONOMIC PROBLEM

The fundamental purpose of all economic life is the saus-faction of human needs with resources that are limited in comparison with the needs clamouring for satisfaction. On the one hand are needs-needs for nourishment, shelter, entertainment fellowship solitude—complicated by infinite gradations in quality and variety, and by countless vagarics of individual taste and of collective fashion On the other hand are the resources at the disposal of society-raw materials sunlight in its protean manifestations, the time of human agents. These resources either in their crude form or combined and recombined into instruments of produc som manufactured goods and finished goods are requisite to the satisfaction of most human needs. Even the most intellectual and spiritual needs make some call upon inaterial resources or upon human labour power, for their satisfaction for paper printing machines, and typographers labour for masonry and tunber, and the labour of architects and builders, for maple wood and catgut, and the time of skilled fiddle makers. These resources are scarce, relative to the possible needs for which they could be used. There may be enough rough cloth in the world to give every one a simple garment but there is probably not enough to give every one all the sumptuous robes he may desire, moreover, the miking of cloth takes its toll of the producers time, which might otherwise be spent in leisure or in other kinds of labour satisfying other needs. Even if ultimate material resources were so abundant as to obviate any need for econoinizing them, human labour would be scarce in comparison

with the multifarious purposes on which it might be expended. There are only twenty four hours in the day, and everybody could use them all in work without satisfying all their own or their neighbours desires. But man inust rest from labour in order to enjoy the fruits of labour hence the demand for leisure competes with the demand for production and time must indeed be carefully husbanded if the needs of man are to obtain anything like the greatest satisfaction of which they are capable

The fundamental problem then of every economic society is the allotment of limited resources (including human labour power among the resources) to the satisfaction of needs (in cluding the need for leisure) so as to secure the maximum of satisfaction. It is essentially a quantitative problem as well as a qualitative one. It is not only a question of what needs are to be satisfied but to what extent, as compared with other needs requiring satisfaction not only a question of what resources are to be made use of but the proportions in which a given quantity of resources is to be divided among different uses all yielding different and competing satisfactions. It is this quantitative problem that is the essential economic problem

It is here that we must distinguish between technology and economics. The agronomist can tell us the most efficient method of growing wheat under given conditions and given the quantity of wheat desired can tell us the quantity of land and labour required for the production of that quantity. He can tell us the same for the growing of cotton and the rearing of cattle. But the determination of the quantity of wheat cotton and meat required for a certain population, with certain tastes and standards of living bilancing the relative satisfactions afforded by wheat, cotton, and meat as against the quantities of land and labour required for their production—thus is the problem of the economist. Similarly

the metallurgist can advise on the best methods of producing atcel of a given quality, and on the quantitative relations between ore and coke, while the expert in structural atcel work can explain the advantages of atcel for building pur poses as compared with brick stone or wood but the ultimate problems regarding the quantity of atcel to be produced considering its possible uses and the consumption of resources used up in its making the allotiment of the steel produced to different uses the balancing of steel against alternative materials in various branches of construction, taking into consideration the resources consumed in the production of the various alternatives—these are all economic problems. We may say that the proper work of the economist begins after that of the technician has been done

Any society must have a method of determining what and how much is to be produced of choosing between alterna tives and of achieving its ends with the greatest economy of means. The method of a capitalist acciety is the system of production for profit and exchange upon the market, modi fied by monopolistic combinations of capitalists, by trade unions and by such occasional and irregular intrusions of deliberate aocial intervention as tanffa marketing boards railway rate regulation factory acts social inaurance &c The productive energies of society are continually being diverted by the motive of private gain into the channels in which they will yield most profit to those who control themthat is to say the greatest surplus of selling price over cost of production. Meanwhile competition even if imperfect, is tending to equalize selling price and cost. Therefore, in theory since sale price is determined by the consumer 8

It is also the method of an economic system without large scale production or large scale marketing but having private property and division of labs ur in which goods are produced by small independent producers directly for a local market. This system is what Marx calls ample commodity production

effective demand and cost depends upon the capacity of productive resources to satisfy demand in alternative uses there should emerge the maximum satisfaction of needs with the minimum expenditure of resources. In reality this desirable result is attained only very importactly. Under capitalism, four causes contribute to falsity the readings of the price-index and hence to pervert the allocation of resources to production

- (a) The inequality of consumers incomes systematically distorts the measurement of social needs. A rich man wanting a country cottage for a few week ends in summer can outlid two farm labourers who need shelter all the year round, two cottages are thrown together to make one week end dwelling and two bread winners have to seek work elsewhere at grave risk of unemployment or else add a six mile trainp to their already fatiguing labours.
- (b) Another all pervasive cause of the falsification of the price-and cost calculus is monopoly. The invisible hand which is presumed to maximize sutisfuction operates only under conditions of perfect competition. But perfect competition is not a real entity it is an abstraction horn of the economist's urge to rationalize the working of the economic system. Even that degree of competition which in John Stuart Mill's day was enough to give the invisible hand good scope for its beneficent work only exists during a period of exceptionally rapid technical development at is a fleeting transitional phase between one period of monopoly (mercantilism) and another period of monopoly (importal 18m) Monopoly is the natural offspring of competition Once it is established, the presumption no longer holds good that the distribution of resources produced by the self interest of private entrepreneurs corresponds to the maximum satisfaction of consumers
  - (c) The meretricious modern ait of publicity makes it often

more profitable for the manufacturer to spend money in making people want to buy the things that he produces rather than on producing the things that people want to buy. This tendency is reinforced by the inevitable ignorance of the consumer called upon to discriminate between the properties of rival brands of tooth paste vacuum cleaners canned peaches radio sets and artificial silk cami knickers. It is often more profitable to advertise poor goods than to improve their quality.

(d) On the production side money costs not infrequently fail to correspond to true social costs. Cheap goods can be made out of sweated labour, the costs of salvaging the human wreckage of industry being thrown on the relatives of the workers or on the general tax or rate payer. Costs can be cut by means involving the neglect of safety precautions, the pollution of the air by smoke the contamination of rivers by noxious affluents or the sacrifice of human life on the roads.

Nevertheless the price-and market system does give some sort of a solution even though it be distorted and per verted by class privilege and exploitation to the problem of the economic allocation of resources to the satisfaction of various and competing needs. However much this solution may be criticized it is urged that some solution even an imperfect one is better than none.

#### 2 SOCIALISM

(1) General notion of socialism. Ever since the early days of industrial capitalism, men have sought a remedy for its abuses and defects in some material reorganization of the economic system. In particular, the private ownership of the means of production has appeared to many reformers as the fundamental source of evil in the system. To some such as James Mill and Henry George, it is the private ownership.

only of land and natural resources to others such as Major Douglas and Professor Soddy it is the private ownership only of credit, that is the source of evil but to the majority the private ownership of all non human means of production-factories, railways machinery and raw materials as well as land and natural materials and credit—has appeared as a harrier to the full utilization of the resources of the world in the service of the needs of mankind. The author holds this last-expressed view. However important historically may have been the special role played by private property in land and minerals, or in credit facilities as a factor in the evolution of class privilege in the present stage of development of capitalism all forms of land and capital have become merged in a single mass of privately owned means of production. The concrete goods of which this is composed have become interchangeable with one another their special nature -- whether land produced means of production or intangible productive relations such as credithas become irrelevant. The ownership of a portion of this mass confers the right to appropriate a certain part of the income of society. If private ownership of productive resources is to blame for the disharmonies of capitalism it is private ownership of all means of production and not of hand or credit, or some other part of the whole that must be set ın order

Nor in view of the intimate interlocking of share capital and loan capital in modern business organization (especially that effected by holding companies so that loan capital controls share capital and vice versa) is it possible to establish a distinction, such as the theorists of National bocialism do between creative capital (schaffendes Kapital) and predatory capital (raffendes Kapital) and to make of the litter (generally loan-capital hence the tyranny of interest—
Zinsknechtschaft) is scapegoat for the sins of the twain

Once private property in land and capital is established, there is a constant tendency for its ownership to become concentrated in fewer hands, until a definite class of owners of means of production (capitalists) emerges. The interests of this class are distinct from and in many ways antagonistic to those of the rest of the community. The class division between owners and workers begins

The distribution of property tends to inequality and there fore the distribution of incomes derived from property tends to inequality. On the other hand, the distribution of incomes derived from work tends to be much less unequal. The reasons for this are legion. A few are

- (1) Owing to the diminishing marginal utility of income, the larger one s income the easier it is to save out of it or otherwise use portions of it for the purpose of adding to one s property. The marginal disutility of labour however increases steeply after a certain point. Thus while it is progressively easier to add to a property income, it is progressively harder to add to a work income.
- (2) Owing to the working of the law of averages, the chances of total loss of capital diminish the greater the number of different portions into which it is divided for purposes of investment. The small man cannot easily or cheaply spread his risks in this way and is thus more subject than the big investor to loss due to the vagaries of the market (This is particularly noticeable in the general depressions that, under capitalism periodically devistate the market economy). If the small man seeks safety in gilt edged securities or in conservatively managed investment trusts, he has to put up with a distinctly lower rate of return than the big operator can get on his capital with equal safety.
- (3) Exclusive market information and exclusive opportunities for investment on profitable terms are more likely to

come to those who are already rich than to men of small means. Similarly, employment at a high remuncration is more readily obtained by those who are already well to-do or who are connected by birth aducation or social ties with the rich than it is by poor men

(4) Once a society has begun to be stratified into classes on the basis of differences in income, the we althur sections of the community in general contrive to monopolize the educational machine so that the necessary training and equipment for the better paid employments can only be acquired by those who already belong to the higher income classes.

All these (and other) influences are cumulative in effect. There are of course counteracting influences such as the tendency of the rich to relative sterility extravagance and spendthrift behaviour (Veblen's conspicuous waste) the voluntary endowment of public institutions by the rich and progressive taxation. But the general tendency in a system of private free enterprise and private ownership of the means of production is towards greater inequality and towards the stratification of society into antagonistic classes on the basis of wealth and of the origin of wealth. While minor divisions may be important the division that dominates social life is that between the owners and the non-owners of the material means of production.

Socialism thus arises as the political objective of the nonowning class. In order to diminish inequality of woulth and to abolish the class stratification of society socialists propose to abolish private ownership of the material means of production. Some method must be devised of administering the economic system after the expropriation of the existing private owners. This is the problem of the economics of a socialist community

See E. Li Lowie The Children of the Unshilled Institutional Revenue pp 8 156 and Political Arithmetic (ed L. Hogben) cha vili ix x

(2) Definition of socialism The definition of socialism that was generally accepted during the half century between 1875 and 1925 is social ownership of the means of produc Since that time the phrase planned production has been tending to take its place. There is a close connexion between these two definitions. On the one hand one of the chief advantages claimed for the socialization of the means of production is the chimination of the waste due to un planned chaotic individualistic production. Individualistic production is co-ordinated it is true by the mechanism of price and the market but it is subject to gross disturbances even in this sphere and it is fundamentally blind purpose less irrational and incapable of satisfying many of the most urgent of human needs. On the other hand, so it is claimed by socialists the planning of production is impossible on any basis less radical than the complete chimination of individual property rights in the means of production at least in all the major branches of economic activity and the transfer of these means of production to organs of collective economy. Only so can the community sweep away the secrecy atherry boundary lines vested interests overlapping of functions waste and monopoloy that characterize private enterprise One fundamental difference between socialism and capitalism will be the existence of an authority lible to view the economic system as a whole and with power to make decisions involving the system as a whole. Another fundamental difference will be the fullest publicity of all relevant economic statistics throughout the whole system. All organs of a socialist economy will work so to speak within glass walls

Socialism has also been defined tout court as equality of income! and although few socialists would adopt this definition without reserve socialism of nearly all schools has

G Borns d Sh w The Int Illgent W nun G ide to Socialism and Capitalism pp 19 49 68 94 27 343

been held to imply equality in one or other of the following

- (a) a greater approach to equality in the distribution of wealth
- (b) equality of economic opportunity, in a more genuine sense than that of bourgeois liberalism
- (c) distribution according to need rather thin according to effort or product.

In effect, these three tend to the same result since both equality of opportunity and distribution according to need would lead to greater equality of actual income than exists under capitalism although not to an absolute arithmetical equality. Nor is the concept of greater equality unrelated to the definitions in terms of social ownership or of planned production. Since the most glaring inequalities of actual in come and nearly all the inequalities of opportunity are based on the private ownership of land and capital social owner ship will almost certainly tend to greater equality. Also, since the object of genuine economic planning (as opposed to the sham planning which, like so called rationalization is simply a cuphemism for the restriction of production and the destruction of machinery organized by monopoly capitalism) is to substitute a conscious and direct relation of production to human needs for a relation arrived at by an indirect mechanism through the unconscious pushes and pulls of innumerable private interests in the market a true planned economy would approach to some extent the ideal of distribution according to need

The formal definition of socialism that will be used in this book is as follows

Socialism is an economic organization of society in which the material means of production are owned by the whole community and operated by organs representative of and responsible to the community according to a general economic plan all

#### SOCIALISM

members of the community being entitled to benefit from the results of such socialized planned production on the basis of equal rights

This definition includes the three elements of

- (1) social ownership of the means of production
- (ii) economic planning
- (iii) equality

It purposely however leaves vague two points

- (a) how society is to work the productive equipment that it owns whether through government departments public utility corporations national guilds co operative societies or what not
- (b) exactly how the social product is to be distributed whether according to service or need whether in sepa rate shares to individuals or in communal supplies and services.

But it does explicitly rule out irresponsible private enter prise and the continuance of any form of privileged in come or of class inequality in the division of the social product

(3) Criticisms of socialism Criticisms of socialism have their fashions just as socialism itself appears from time to time in various guises. During the first half of the nine teenth century the Malthusian bogy was most consistently invoked by opponents of communistic schemes. It was held that population was always pressing upon the means of subsistence and that only private property coupled with individual responsibility for the support of offspring could prevent an increase of population up to the point of famine Communism might establish equality, but it would be an equality of misery. As the bourgeous lost their fear of over population new arguments against socialism had to be found and thus until recently the question of incentives

has occupied the front place in discussions on the possibility of collectivist socialism. It was held that socialism would lack the incentives to work and efficiency that under capital ism force men to give of their best in the service of the community—the magic of ownership the ambition of making a pile or for those not fortunate enough to inherit or win an independent business command the hope of promotion and the fear of dismissal Socialism might eliminate exploitation but it would be at the price of universal inediocrity and in efficiency But this has not happened in such public enter prises as the Post Office the BBC, and the Central Electricity Board in Britain nor has it in the Soviet Union where under a collectivist economy there have taken place an unprecedented rise in productivity and a specticular out pouring of human energies and enthusiasm. Thus the incentive argument appears less convincing. Accordingly, there appears in orthodox economic circles a new critique of social nam more aubtle and technical than the previous ones based on the supposed mability of a socialist community to solve purely economic problems. It is admitted that such a community might acquire a mastery over technique not inferior to that schieved under capitalism that new psychological incentives to do and give of one a best might be developed as powerful as the love of gain or the fear of destitution that even though every child born were guaranteed a share in the resources at the disposal of the community philopro genitiveness would not necessarily bring the law of diminish ing returns into rapid and fatal action, what is asserted is that, even with bighly developed technique adequate incentives to activity and rational control of population the cconomic directors of a socialist commonwealth would be unable to balance against each other the worthwhileness of different lines of production or the relative advantages of different ways of producing the same good L von Miscs, in his Gemenwertschaft<sup>1</sup> makes two definite charges against social ist economy

- (1) where the state is the sole owner of intermediate or in strumental goods there can be no price formation for such goods, hence no rational reckoning of cost and hence no rational economy
- (2) under planned economy the managers of industry can have no discretionary power and no pecuniary responsibility for production, therefore rational risk bearing becomes impossible

The conclusion to be drawn from these two propositions is that a socialist economy would have no guiding principles and would as soon as it lost any parasitic support that it might get from comparison with neighbouring capitalist economies degenerate into an affair of wild guesses and random decisions. One of the objects of this work is to refute this criticism of socialism and to show that as far as pure economics is concerned a socialistic economy is at least theoretically possible.

Another line of criticism, which was run in double harness with the incentive arguments by such critics of socialism as Mallock, and is paraded to-day by Mises and Gregory alongside of the rational calculation argument is that planned collective economy is inconsistent with any kind of treedom of choice for consumers or freedom of employment for workers. This point, too, will receive attention in this work.

Translated as Socialism 1936

For other critiques along these lines see F A Hayek Collectivist Economic Planning B Brutzkus Die Lehren des Marximus im Lechte der russischen Revolution G Haln. Ist der Sozialismus mitschaftlich snöglich?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For slightly different solutions of the problems see F M Taylor Production in a Socialist State American Recommic Review March 1929 G Morreau De Economische Structuur eener Socialistische Volkshuishouding De Economist June, July-August September 1931

#### 3 PLANNING

(1) General notion of planning The words planned production and economic planning have been used in section 1 of this chapter. It is time to give a more precise definition to the concept. For the purpose of this book the following definition is adopted.

Economic planning is the making of major economic decisions—what and how much is to be produced how when and where it is to be produced and to whom it is to be allocated—by the conacious decision of a determinate authority on the basis of a comprehensive survey of the economic system as a whole

This definition emphasizes three characteristics of planned economy

- (1) conscious nature of economic decisions
- (11) unity of control
- (iii) hasing decisions on survey of economy as a whole

Item (i) restricts the designation planning to forms of deliberate conscious control of economic life. An economic plan involves more than an economic system or an ordered scheme of economic life. A pure market economy gives rise to an ordered scheme of economic activity in the sense that definite laws of economic behaviour are discoverable in it but the order that exists under it is not one that is consciously willed by any economic agent, it emerges as the resultant of the separate and independent wills of a large number of economic agents, each of which makes his decision in ignorance of all the others. Such an economy exhibits orders of a sort, but no plan

Under (ii) the possibility of deputed or federal authority is not excluded nor is the existence of a field of ininor economic decisions that need not be made in detail according to plan but simply fitted into the plan in their totality. In

the ultimate analysis however the responsibility for economic decisions must be single and undivided

Under (iii) partial planning of particular industries and enterprises is excluded. Planning must be general if it is to deserve the word. However, we may apply the term planning to schemes of economic control that deal with the broad outline of economic activity, without regulating details provided that so far as they go they treat the economic system as a whole. Thus, schemes for deliberate control of the price level of the proportion between consumption goods industries and capital goods industries or of the distribution of the national income between different classes might all be referred to as examples of economic planning.

In this connection it is convenient to give more exact and specialized denotations to certain terms in common use to wit planning rationalization, and scientific management. The meanings of all three terms contain a common element the idea of rational co-ordination of means and ends. It would be convenient and would agree with common usage if we used the word planning to denote such rational co-ordination in the economy as a whole rationalization to denote rational co-ordination in an industry or group of industries and scientific inanagement to denote the same in an enterprise or group of enterprises

We must also distinguish planning from intervention Intervention involves some degree of deliberate interference with the working of the free market system of economic co-ordination but need not involve planning the system as a whole. Intervention may be exercised by the State as by protective duties quotas marketing boards factory acts minimum wage laws and regulation of the hours of labour or it may be exercised by private associations such as trade unions or manufacturers associations and take the form of collective wage bargains of price and output control, of

delimination of sales territory and of other restrictive cove nants that modify the normal working of market equilibrium. The point about intervention of this type is that while it modifies the conditions under which the market system works itself out, it still leaves the ultimate result of the economic process to be determined by the mutual interaction of a large number of independent economic agents. It does not create a deliberate, conscious control of economic life

It is clear that economic planning, in the sense in which the word is used here implies the unification of property rights in the means of production. The powers of control over the land and capital of the community that must be vested in the planning suthority will give the latter the effective substance of ownership. It may be that individual proprietors of means of production may be allowed to retain nominal titles of ownership but if so, this will amount to little more than a vested right to receive certain incomes secured on the general product of planned industry. Planning thus, by its nature implies unified ownership of the means of production. This does not of logical necessity mean public ownership we shall examine later whether there are reasons for believing that, in fact planning must involve public ownership of the means of production.

- (2) Planning and socialism. The terms Socialism and Planning have been defined. It remains to examine the relation between them. Although socialism has been defined so as to include planning let us for a moment take it to mean only public ownership and control of the means of production (better called collectivism) and consider whether it necessarily involves planning. We must also consider whether planning necessarily implies public (as distinct from unified) ownership. In other words, can unplanned socialism or planned capitalism exist?
  - (1) Unplanned Sociation We can, in abstract theory con

ceive the transformation of an unplanned capitalist economy into collectivism in the following way (a) all the separate enterprises existing under capitalism are converted into public bodies without further unification or co-ordination in such wise that each trades on the basis of market prices as an autonomous concern having no connexion except through the market with any other undertaking (b) all rights of property in land and capital are transferred to the community in such wise that the latter appropriates the shares of the national income imputed to these factors by the process of price determination in the market and redistributes these shares to its members as individual income. Such an economy would be unplanned collectivism. It is easy to see however that it would almost inevitably slide into a planned system. The separateness of the various enterprises and the mutual blindness of those who conduct them while natural under private ownership would be a highly artificial state of affairs under public ownership. The organs of public economy would have every reason for mutual consultation and publicity none for separateness and secrecy. In particular the organs responsible for the investment of savings and the creation of new capital would by the very nature of their functions tend to envisage their task from the viewpoint of the social economy as a whole and thus become whether they would or not planning organs. Thus unplanned collectivism although logically thinkable is unlikely to occur in practice

(2) Planued Capitalism. Can a planned organization of economic activity be combined with private ownership of the means of production? As we have seen planning in volves a de facto unification of property rights in the means of production. Must this unification lead to public owner ship and to the abolition of income from property 1 e. to socialism, or is it possible that a planned economy would

continue to hand over a large proportion of its total product to a small class of rentiers? This question is so important that a separate section has been allotted to its discussion. The broad conclusions that emerge from the discussion are that planned capitaliam although a possible form of economic organization is unlikely to be durable because of its social and political consequences.

- (3) The consequences of planned capitalism It now remains to consider the reasons which in the present writer s opinion render impracticable any mixed system of public and private enterprise or combination of planning with pri vate property in the means of production. Mixed systems may be divided into two classes (1) Those of which up planned private enterprise forms the essential basis and fundamental pattern but which have been extensively in vaded and infiltrated by various kinds of state intervention in particular industries such as public ownership public control of prices and profits (e.g. in public utilities) or tariff privileges and subsidies from public funds granted to industries which remain in private ownership (2) Those which consist of a system of planning superimposed upon and coordinating a system of private enterprise and individual ownership Into this class come the various projects of planned capitalism, including the Corporate State in its various forms Sir Arthur Salter a Ordered Society 1 Mr. Harold Macmillan a Industrial Self Government 2 and Mr. Walter Elliot s Bucolic Utopia
- (1) Intervention whether in the form of state ownership of state control or of fiscal manipulation which is confined to particular industries and stops short of planning the national economy as a whole suffers from all the defects of unplanned economy and from some peculiar to itself. As in

A Salter Recovery and Fromework of an Ordered Society H Macmillan, Reconstruction and The Middle Way

a completely unplanned economy it is liable to cyclical fluc tuations and to unexpected variations in e onomic data. The state if it runs an enterprise is aimply one entrepreneur among many competing with the others in the capital market and in the labour market. It is as much at the mercy of fluctuations in the supply of capital as a private entrepreneur and in its relations with labour it is forced willy nilly into the role of the exploiting employer This is the position of the London Passenger Transport Board vis d-vis its em ployees In a capitalist acciety even a socialist government has to consider the interests of rentiers and to resist un reasonable wage demanda from its employees. An example of this is afforded by successive Front Populaire govern ments in France If the state does attempt any different policy it is as likely as not working in an unplanned economy in ignorance of many of the relevant data, to do things which defeat its own ends. A case in point is the dilemma of a acculist government in a capitalist atate trying to deal with unemployment under the stipulation imposed by capitalist interests that it will not compete with potential private enterprise and that it will do nothing to disturb combdence

Unless the state can consider the economic system as a whole—i e unless it plans—intervention is likely to do more harm than good. As an example, we may adduce the usual consequences of tariff protection, one industry is benefited and a number of others are harmed. Moreover, such piece meal intervention can rarely crivisage the true objectives of economic policy. Thus, the natural attempt of the state dealing with unemployment in a fundamentally unplanned economy is to give help of some kind, financial or administrative to declining industries and obsolete processes, thereby prolonging their uneconomic existence, rather than to develop new and more efficient processes and new industries satisfying hitherto latent wants.

Finally the peculiar bane of all state intervention that falls short of complete socialism is political interference by sectional interests. Once the state deviates from the policy of lanser faire (or more precisely from the individualistic minimum of intervention) it immediately puts a premium on political corruption. It becomes advantageous for any compact economic interest to capture the machinery of the state and to use it to further its own private purposes. The tariff history of all protectionist countries and the annals of economic imperialism offer ample illustration of this

(2) A completely planned capitalist economy+ could avoid most of these difficulties. It would be free from the defects of piecemeal intervention. Through the glass walls of a planned economy the consequences of favouring one acction at the expense of another could be clearly seen-so clearly seen in fact that inequalities of personal advantage not based on functional differences of generally accepted utility would soon cease to be tolerated in a politically democratic community Moreover the economic functions of the capitaliat -the provision of new capital the assumption of risk the introduction of new insterials and processes—that in an unplanned economy afford some sort of social justification for his existence would be wholly or largely supersided in a planned capitalism by the planning authority leaving the capitalist as a mere rentier a receiver of accially unmatified and therefore privileged income

Thus a planned capitalist economy would come up against

F W Taussig Tariff History of the United States

<sup>3</sup> J. A. Hobson Imperialism V. I. Lenlin Imperialism P. I. Moon Imperialism and World Politics. L. Woolf Economic Imperialism

See H Sidgwick, Elements of Politics ch 11 Principles of Political Economy Bk. id cha is in iv

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Completely planned in the sense that the planning authority would be in a position to review the economic system as a whole not in the sense that every detail of economic life must be regulated by it

one almost insuperable difficulty. This is the division of the product of industry not only between the owning class and the working class but among different sections of the owning class. As long as the market is the ultimate arbiter between the claims of the different parties to the production process, so long can the illusion be maintained that the division of the product is governed by forces as impersonal and inevit able as those which govern the weather. Even in a society where many industries are in fact carried on by the public, but as isolated enterprises not part of a general social plan, this illusion of inevitability may persist. But as soon as the state through a definite planning organ makes itself responsible for the consideration of economic activity as a whole this illusion is destroyed, and the essentially social nature of all economic relations is revealed.

If under private enterprise or under unplanned piecemeal state enterprise the coalminers ask for an advance in wages they may be told that their demands cannot be granted because they go beyond the capacity of the industry to bear If they ask why the industry cannot afford to pay higher wages they will be told that it is because the price of coal is too low If they ask why the price of coal is as low as it is they will be told that it is because the demand for coal is amall and so on But in a planned economy the whole system of quantities, prices, wages &c, is the result of the deliberate decisions of a responsible planning organ wages are too low in any one industry it is the duty of the planning organ to adjust prices and quantities produced so as to yield equal wages to work of equal skill responsibility, and difficulty in every industry. If then wages are low in all industries, it is either because productivity per head is low or because factors other than labour are taking a large proportion of the total social product. But the proportions in which the social product is divided between labour and

other factors while in an unplanted economy they are the apparently fortuitous result of the interaction of innumerable uncontrollable factors, are in a planned economy the result of decisions for which the planning authorities are directly responsible The illusion of objectivity thus stripped from the process of income formation, the non wage elements in distribution will be seen clearly for what they always have been in essence—the fruits of exploitation (Marx 8 Surplus Value Veblen 8 Free Income my Institutional Revenue) Once this occurs the pressure of the organized working class for a continually increased share of the social product leading ultimately to a complete expropriation of the property owner can only be resisted by the destruction of the organized working class movement and the abolition of all democratic institutions. In other words capitalist planning can exist only on the basis of Fasciam

Not only the distribution of the product between labour and property but also the division of the share of property among the various groups of property owners will be attended by a similar difficulty. In a planless economy a censuless process of competition modified by partial and usually temporary monopoly allocates varying amounts of surplus value to one group and another in a manner that seems inevitable and natural Once planning is complete the share of each section has to be determined by a deliberate decision of the planning organ. Unless the property owning class is content to accept once and for all an allocation of shares in the surplus product according to the relative competitive strength of different sections at some definite moment before full plan ning was established the planning organization will be the object of ceaseless attempts on the part of vested interests to seize control of it for their own profit (Observe that this is equally true of national groups—e g British finance capital as against French finance capital—and of industrial groups within a country-e g export industries against home market industries capital goods industries as against consumption goods industries) It is in the highest degree unlikely that conflicting interests—whether national or industrial—within the owning class will acquiesce in such a stabilization of any particular status quo On the international scale war and on the national acale organized political corruption will be the result. Planned cap talism will not only be a fascist society but a gangater fasciat society. A socialist society can avoid this difficulty by distributing the surplus product on a basis of equality Capitalism however is based on the cleation and preservation of privileged income for a particular class Since its essence is the denial of equity it is impossible for it to find any equitable formula for the division of the social product either between Labour and Property or among the different sections of Property

In this connexion it is interesting to note the objection made by defenders of the existing system to bringing eco nomics into politics. In truth economics have been in polties all the time but in a concealed form. What the supporters of capitalism object to is the making manifest of the economic implications of existing social institutions of property inheritance and educational inequality. They attempt to divert the workers interest from fundamental social mati tutions—the true subject of politics—to the superficial manifies of party political sham fights. It is to their interest to prolong the illusion of a natural economic order working by im personal and irresistible economic laws it is to their interest to conceal the exploitation of the worker behind the veils of a system of objective market relations which allot wages to the worker and interest to the capitalist equally in the form of the price of a service

Of all forms of piecemeal nationalization or state-control that of the banking system would come closest to setting up a

planned economy at one atroke. Once the state was respon sible for the conduct of the banking system it would be obliged to look beyond the purely commercial criteria of banking practice (reserve ratios, liquidity of assets &c ) and consider demands for credit from a social economic point of view. It would have to consider the balance of saving and investment the proportion between consumption goods and production goods industries, the volume of speculative compared with productive transactions &c In other words a state controlled banking aystem would be obliged to consider the economic activity of the community as an organic whole thus it would already be in embryo a planning organ. Ultimately therefore the nationalization of banks would inake manifest that fundamental conflict of classes which is latent all the time in the system of market economy. This probably accounts for the fact that proposals for the nationalization of the banks arouse much more bitter opposition from the capitalist ranks than proposals for the nationalization of any other industry

#### 4. THE ECONOMIC PRODLEM UNDER SOCIALISM

(1) In general A socialist society will have to solve the problems that are solved in a capitalist society however imperfectly by the method of production for sale in the market at a price. Must it work out a brand new solution ab origine for these problems or may it make use of some of the machinery evolved during the period of petty commodity production that preceded capitalism and perfected under capitalism itself? In other words the field of our investigation is the extent to which price and its correlates money and the market, can be adapted to a planned socialist economy

With regard to the significance of pricing for a socialist economy socialists are divided into two schools of thought those who hold that the individualistic assumptions behind the pricing process have no relevance for a socialist community and those who hold that they have

(a) The first school would reject in principle the notion that the demand schedules of individual consumers give any adequate indication of human needs. The most brilliant exposition of this view is probably Lancelot Hogban's Retreat from Reason 1 It rejects the two correr stones of undi vidualistic economics the doctrine that the individual knows best what is good for him and the doctrine of the insatiability of human wants. It asserts rather that the basic needs of humanity can be ascertained better by scientific study than by offering people a choice of goods in the market place and that non basic needs are the result either of class standards of consumption (Vehlen a conspicuous waste and pecuniary emulation) or of profit mongering advertising campaigns, which multiply satisfactions without increasing satisfaction If these views be accepted social production will be carried out not in response to the indications of the market but ac cording to a planned survey of human needs

(A further point made by these theorists is that the nor malizing of consumption following on the scientific study of human needs would make possible standardization in production to an extent previously unheard of and thus reduce greatly the cost of satisfying those needs. In this way it is hoped the goods that are required for human consumption can be so few and so easily produced that they will become free goods and the economic problem as such will disappear.) Later (Chapter II section 1 (3)) we shall examine the contentions of those who reject the concept of the insatisficity of wants. Also (in Chapter II section 1 (4)) we shall deal with the view that scarcity will be abolished and economic calculations thereby rendered unnecessary by

See also the same author's Introduction to Political Arithmetic and Lewis Mumford's Technics and Civilization on vivi sections 6 and 7

technical progress in the future age of plenty Meanwhile however we shall adhere to the more orthodox views

- (b) The second school of socialists attarts off with the liberal individualistic conception of welfare as consisting in the satisfaction of particular individuals particular wants interpreted by those individuals themselves by an act of deliberate conscious choice. It entruats the satisfaction of those wants to a collectivist economic organization rather than to private enterprise because it believes that collectivism can when the distribution of income as well as the organization of production is taken into account provide a greater aggregate of individual satisfaction than private enterprise can. A social order of this type may be called libertarian socialism. Adherents of this school desire socialism in order that they may establish for the first time in human history in effective individualism.
- (2) Pricing under socialism Whichever view there fore be taken of the process of satisfying human wantswhether we accept or reject the relevance of the individual a demand schedule—there remains the economic problem the problem of disposing of limited resources so as to provide the maximum satisfaction of human needs which needs are if we interpret the word needs in its widest sense capable of indefinite expansion. This implies that the economic organizers of the community must adopt some system of valuation. That is to say there must be established a scale of relative importance (in other words value) among the different possible ends of economic activity resources must be valued according as they contribute to these ends (un puted value of the Austrian School) the most advantageous uses of resources must be discovered and scarce resources must be husbanded (costing and principle of substitution) According to the first school of socialists the alternative ends of economic activity will be comparatively few and will be

laid down by some authority acting on behalf of the community as a vhole. According to the second school they will be determined by the individual preferences of millions of separate economic aubjects in this case the problem of balancing one man a preference against another s will arise

Analogous to the problem of consumers preferences for goods is that of workers preferences for jobs. Here too two solutions are possible. Lither people can be allocated to different occupations in accordance with some objective test of capacity (e.g. by some development of industrial psychology) or they can be allowed to choose according to their own preferences. In both cases the fact that some kinds of labour are acarcer than others must be taken into account in the social cost accounting scheme in both cases it will be economically desirable to increase the supply of the scarcur types of labour. In the first case however the only problem. will be the balancing of the cost of training against the productivity of the factor in the second case an additional problem arises of offering inducements to workers to move into occupations where labout is relatively scarce out of occupations where it is relatively superfluous. A libertarian socialist community cannot dispose of human factors of production by administrative order as it can dispose of nonhuman factors. It must respect individual workers prefer ences for one job over another

In what follows we will assume a libertarian form of social ist community, not because it is necessarily the best or the only possible form of socialism but because it raises in the most acute form the economic problem. If it is soluble under libertarian socialism it is a formor soluble under authoritarian socialism.

The problem before a libertarian socialist economy is three fold. First, there is the measurement of demand, in order that production may be directed so as to yield the maximum

of satisfaction. Second there is the measurement of cost, in order that the satisfactions that are produced may be produced with the minimum expenditure of resources, the calculation of costs affords a criterion for deciding between alternative plans of production. Third, there is the problem of distribution—that of allotting to particular members of society definite shares in the goods produced.

In capitalist society<sup>1</sup> the price system solves after a fashion all three of these problems at once <sup>2</sup>. Thus the pricing process has a threefold function in the individualistic system corresponding to the pricing of consumption goods of intermediate goods and of ultimate factors of production <sup>2</sup>.

- (1) The pricing of consumption goods acts as a regulator of demand. Hence it (1) allocates already produced goods to consumers according to the scarcity of the goods and the intensity of the consumers demand and (1) indicates the kind and number of goods that should be most worth while to produce in the future
- (2) The pricing of intermediate goods (and of ultimate factors of production) yields a measure of relative cost and hence acts as a guide to the worth whileness of production as well as to the best inclined of production
- (3) The pricing of ultimate factors of production affords an automatic method of distribution. By allowing owners of such factors to appropriate their economic value as personal income the value of the whole mass of the social product is allocated without residue and without

Also under simple commodity production

Price also is a means of comparing physically and technically dissimilar goods and hence of calculating a measure  $\epsilon$  in aggregate production. This function of price is derived from those numbered (1) and (2) following. It will be discussed under heading (2) the pricing of intermediate goods. (See Chapter III section 6)

The phrase ultimate factors of production is used to designate production goods (such as land and human labour) that cannot be resolved

into other production goods

deficit, among the collective producers of it according to a process that apparently, takes place independently of the wills and preferences of any individual in authority

A socialist society that adopts the price system may also use the same device for all three purposes. If however, the socialist community jettisons the system of sale at a price in a free market, then it will have to develop new machinery to deal with these three problems. It is possible that three different kinds of inachinery will be necessary for these three separate purposes. In other words, there is no necessity in the nature of things that a device for the measurement of consumers, preferences should serve at the same time for the allocation of producers, ahares in a collective product.

A socialist community might adopt the price system for function (1) only or for functions (1) and (2) or for all three functions. That is to say it might price finished goods and allow the consumer free choice of goods already produced at the prices fixed but might adopt a completely separate and distinct method for the calculation of costs and a third system for the distribution of individual income. Alternatively it might extend the pricing process to include a costing system based on imputed prices of intermediate goods and ultimate factors of production while completely divorcing individual earnings from the value of factors of production. Finally it might adopt the price system in its entirety thus setting up within the aocialist community a simulacium of capitalist economy.

### 5 Some General Assumptions

We postulate an economic society of the Occidental (West European North American and Australasian) type at a fairly high level of technological development. Economic self aufliciency is not postulated (Foreign trade under

socialism is discussed in Chapter VII ) In this society we shall assume that private property is recognized in goods used for personal consumption but not in goods used for trade or for producing goods to be sold in the way of trade Natural resources and instrumental goods are owned by the community, and all production is undertaken by organs of the community (Later we shall consider the possibility of modifying the assumption that all production is socialized, see Chapter VI ) We shall assume the existence of a number of separate production units, grouped according to the nature of the product or the needs of the market into larger units and these larger units into still larger units and so in a hierarchy with a Supreme Economic Council (S P C ) at the head (This need not preclude a multiformity of types of organization government departments, autonomous commissions, national guilds, co-operative societies, and nonprofit making voluntary associations) The SLC will be provided with the appropriate organs for statistical research and control and will have powers of inspection and audit over the entire economic system

We shall not, however concern ourselves with the details of economic organization. It is tempting to draw a picture of a planned socialist system of industry—the constitution of the planning organs, the hierarchy of combines trusts and enterprises, the special organizations required for banking, transport, housing, agriculture, &c. But to do this would lead us away from our central topic, which is the part played by the price system in a socialist economy.

I For a discussion of problems of economic organization the reader is referred to such works as B and S Webb & Constitution for a Socialist Commonwealth of Great Britain G D H Cole & Principles of Leonomic Planning or Britain Without Capitalists

#### CHAPTER II

# CONSUMPTION GOODS—THE REGULATION OF DEMAND

#### I SOCIAL NEEDS

We shall take it as axiomatic that a socialist community must produce in order to satisfy the needs of its members. But first we must clarify this concept of needs. A socialist community must give a broader interpretation to the term 'needs than does a capitalist economy. Broadly speaking we may say that orthodox economic theory identifies needs with wants, and considers only such wants as can be expressed in terms of individuals preferences for particular concrete goods or for particular services that are capable of being rendered to particular individuals. Closely related to this individualistic interpretation of needs are the concept of the insatisbility of wants and the concept of scarcity, which latter may be said to be the leading idea of bourgeois economic theory.

(1) Needs and wants I he distinction between needs and wants is the distinction between the objective and the subjective. Needs are what we really require for life, efficiency, and enjoyment wants are what we think we require. Obviously the method of selling goods for money on the market especially if the process is backed by all the arts of salesmanship, lays emphasis on wants as distinct from needs. The assumption of the individualistic school of economists is that every consumer is the best judge of his own needs and that his own conscious want is a reliable guide to what will give him most satisfaction. This would be controverted by many who point out that even in such elementary things as food the individual's conscious wants are no guides to what

is really wholesome while in such matters as housing public health education and insurance it is generally admitted that people will not buy in the open market as much of these services as is good for them. Hence arises the necessity of providing social services otherwise than through sale on the free market. It is suggested that many other things such as food and some forms of recreation should be provided in the same manner as the existing social services and on the same principle that of some objective standard of need rather than the subjective velleity of want. Give people more money to spend and they vill feed themselves on tinned goods pickles and cream buns. To raise their real standard of living there fore they should be provided with nutritious food whether they consciously want it or not. Thus runs the argument

Thus there is a case for the intervention of a body of experts whose function is to mould the individual a schedule of wants into uniformity with his real needs. But in view of the resentment that most people feel when their conscious choice is interfered with it would appear best that this intervention should take the form of persuasion rather than compulsion. The powerful engine of propaganda and advertisement, employed by public organs of education and enlight enment instead of by the hucksters and panders of private profit making industry could divert demand into socially desirable directions while preserving the subjective impression of free choice. If the meretricious but effective arts of the salesman and the publicity expert were placed at the disposal of impartial and disinterested bodies of experts—dieticians architects heating engineers textile specialists orthopae dista psychologists-what an improvement in the standard of food houses clothes footgear and toys would result!

(2) Communal and individual consumption The existing system of production for the market emphasizes commodities—i.e. concrete goods destined for individual

consumption of particular services rendered to particular individuals—rither than human needs (or even wants) in general Now many needs are satisfied by such individualized commodities Every one needs his own particular tooth brush. Human feet are so little standardized that A a shore are not kind on B a corns I Cricket bats musical instru ments books and bicycles (or motor cars) are individual things that soon acquire an individual relation to their user In all these instances there is a strong case for the satisfaction of needs through individual provision of concrete goods and services. A very large number of needs whose satisfaction requires the use of scarce resources are individual needs in the sense that they are felt by individuals that their intensity varies from one individual to another and that their satis faction requires that the individual should have the power of disposal over specific goods. To the last statement there are many exceptions-parks and museums are instances of an important class of exceptions—but a vast number of needs do call for individual disposal. A communally provided beef steak tooth brush, pair of trousers book or bicycle must be actually in my possession before it can satisfy my needs In some cases such as the beef steak, I must have the power of absolute disposal even unto destruction. In others such as the tooth brush and the trousers I require exclusive con trol over the whole period of its employment. In others such as the book and the breycle a right of temporary dis posal will sometimes satisfy my needs but I must be able to get the particular book or bicycle that suits ine-not any hook or bicycle will do. In these cases it is not social need but individual need that requires satisfaction even in the

The multication to show design of one tenth of the skill and effort now d y d v ted t selling about and creating f hiorable no lites lines of sales talk &c might well abolish come and other foot troubles within a generation

most communistic society. Granted that no individual exists except as a social being and that all individual needs arise within a social framework nevertheless the need when it arises is the need of an individual and requires for its satisfaction individual power of disposal over goods.

But many human needs are of a different kind Without relapsing into the nonsensical (and fascistically tendentious) belief in a super personal entity capable of experiencing satisfaction apart from the individuals composing it we may subscribe to the view that many individual needs can be satisfied better (or can only be satisfied) by a certain set of social relations involving in some cases the entire social economic system rather than by the provision of concrete commodities. The desire for leisure can only be satisfied by an economic system in which work is deliberately planned so as to allow of short hours. The desire for country walks and scenery cannot be satisfied (except for an aristocratic numerity) by providing each individual with a private park and woodland but it can be satisfied by collective action on a national scale with regard to the utilization of land. The desire for children and a normal family life can be satisfied to a certain extent by the redistribution of income in consonance with faintly needs, each family being left to spend its income on commodities as it thinks best, but far more effective up to a certain point, is planned social effort in the matter of housing medical services domestic help and the collective supervision of children in order to guarantee to their mothers a certain minimum of free time

It thus appears that there is an important distinction to be made between two categories of goods and services. On the one hind there are such goods and services as satisfy essentially collective needs—such as security and order, beauty in the city and countryside public health education—and on the other there are such goods and services as satisfy

essentially individual needs. In the first category may also be included many needs such as a minimum of food clothing and shelter, which are strictly speaking individual but which being relatively unspecialized and common to the bulk of mankind, may possibly be more easily supplied by collective provision, than by individual provision. This will leave in the other category the relatively more specialized needs which vary from person to person and which only the individual concerned can adequately estimate in intensity or balance against the intensity of other needs. Consumption thus falls into two main divisions. We will call the first the division of communal consumption <sup>1</sup> and the second the division of individual consumption.

(3) The Insatiability of wants If we think of the satis faction of human needs entirely in terms of individual consumption we are likely to magnify the importance of commodities and to conceive of satisfaction entirely in terms of the number and variety of such individually consumed goods. Thus arises the concept basic in orthodox economic theory of the insatiability of human wants the idea that however many goods an individual is provided with it will always be possible to make rome addition to his welfare by adding to the stock of goods in his possession that, even if satiety has been attained in one department of wants others remain to respond to the stimulus of novelty so that the point of satiation with goods in general is never reached.

The division of communal consumption does not correspond exactly to what are called social services since some social services (e.g. social insurance) take the form of money payments made to individuals who then entir the market as individual demand its of goods (see Chapter IV section 5)

Note the distinction between communal and individual consumption as d fined above and socialized and private production (see Chapter VI section 1). Let the latter I use the terms socialized and private sectors following the soviet terminology while for the former I use the terms distinguished communical and individual consumption.

If on the other hand we think of the satisfaction of human needs entirely in terms of communal consumption we may be tempted to reject this concept of the insatiability of human wants It can be argued very plausibly that the majority of the wants whose satisfaction keeps busy the productive resources of society at the present day are factitious wants the result either of competitive standards of expenditure in a class society or of high pressure salesmanship impelled by the desire for profit. It is obvious how capitalist enterprise. in the consumption goods industries attinulates the production of articles serving cphemeral and fantastic wants (the numerous industries battening on women's fashions in clothes and cosmetics illustrate this point). Even in the case of genuine needs capitalism multiplies varieties beyond all necessity and stultines freedom of choice by making intelligent choice impossible. Moreover capitalist enterprise having embodied much skilled labour and other resources in the production of superfluous goods proceeds to utilize more labour and material resources in the task of selling what has been produced. The great bulk of the effort apont in salesmanship of the skilled printing and other work in volved in advertising of the floor space glass and concrete devoted to elaborate show rooms—the bulk of all this represents one waste caused by another waste. As a general rule the more useless the commodity the more effort is wasted on pushing the sale of it Moreover even if we set unide wants obviously ephemeral and fantastic, and variety that is hypertrophied to the point of being meaningless a very large part of the wants that are satisfied by the goods produced in a capitalist society are not real human needs at all but are wants based on what Veblen calls conspicuous waste 1 Things are desired not because they serve some purpose of convenience or comfort or beauty but simply because they

are expensive and their possession is a mark of social superiority—in a society stratified by pecuniary wealth. Illustrations of this are the use of platinum in jewellery in spite of its dull appearance (it is significant that the quantity of platinum that the market will buy falls as the price falls—a reversal of the normal law of demand) the high rents of uncomfortable and badly appointed flats with a good address, the demand for old furniture that is good neither to use nor to look at and the racket in limited editions of books. In such cases, it is pedantry in the economist to talk of scarcity as determining the price it is the price that causes the scarcity.

A society that was free from these factitious wants arising out of competitive display and competitive salesmanship would find it very much easier to organize the satisfaction of the genuine human needs that remained. The goods serving these needs could be standardized much more than at present by the climination of trivial and meaningless varieties without sacrificing any real freedom of consumers choice Suppose for example that the skill and energy that is spent in design ing new models of motor car or radio with slight variations in order to make people discontented with their last year a model or in order to make them think there is some essential difference between A s product and B s were pooled in one organization instead of being dissipated over several mutually secretive and antagonistic establishments suppose fur ther that they were set to work first in analysing what the consumer really wants (as distinct from what he can be made to think he wants) second in designing a small range of models embodying these desired properties each of which would be technically the best possible in its line and third in organizing the production of the selected models on as large a scale and as cheaply as a technically possible can it be doubted that goods of the highest quality could be turned

out in quantities that would enable every family perhaps every individual to possess one? Probably many goods—almost certainly the staple foods and possibly some articles of clothing and furniture—could become free goods but it is somewhat extravagant to suppose that all goods could be multiplied up to the point of satiety of the needs that they served

Some element of scarcity and hence some necessity for choice would remain. House room and garden apiece cannot be indefinitely increased and even after ample sllowance had been made for the needs of children people would have to choose between increased living secommodation and other desirable things. Even were all elements of ostentation absent in the design of clothes and furniture some people's desire for these commodities would outrun the community s power to produce them as free goods. Moreover, even the most drastic simplification and standardization could not climinate all varieties in design. Differences in purpose would still necessitate differences in design. It is impossible to imagine the motor car or the wireless set there must be a range of different powers and sizes. As soon as such a range is produced it is necessary to compel the consumer to choose which article he will have it would be uneconomic to provide one of everything for him

Moreover tastes differ even in the most simplified community one man would prefer a big garden to a motor car another would prefer a grand piano to a radio set. To multiply up to the point of satiety a narrow range of standardized goods for mass consumption would mean renouncing the opportunity of producing some other goods satisfying other wants less widespread perhaps but still more intensely felt than those satisfied by the less urgently desired units of the mass produced goods. That is to say some at least of the recipients of a standard motor car issued free to all citizens.

might prefer that the time and labour embodied in it might have been embodied instead in an astronomical telescope or a chest of viols

Human enjoyment may be active or passive in the one case an individual actively does something-makes a chair plants a garden plays a musical matrument or climbs a mountain in the other case he simply lets himself be smused -by radio cinema, musical comedy football match or light novel1—or passively enjoys the pleasure of mere possession as the rich vulgarian with a gallery of old masters or a library of first editions that an agent has got together for him. It is characteristic of satisfactions of the first type that, in general they require less in the way of material equipment than satis factions of the second type. For this reason an economic system based on private profit making fails to develop active enjoyment as fully as it might be developed while it atimu lates all forms of passive or acquisitive enjoyment to the full Moreover in many cases the equipment needed for active enjoyment can conveniently be provided as means of communal rather than of individual consumption (public libraries, swimming baths eports grounds parks of culture and rest and workers clubs with their provisions for games dancing study and hobbies)

Thus it is reasonable to suppose that under socialism setive enjoyment would be stimulated much more than it is at present and therewith the tendency to the multiplication of ephemeral toys and pastimes would be lessened. Never theless there will probably remain some craving for passive enjoyment and the acquisition of personal property more over in most cases even active enjoyment requires a certain

I am not suggesting that it is impossible that any one of these occupations may be the occasion of a genuine effort of understanding and appreciation in which case it enters into the category of active enjoyment. But in most cases it is a form of passive enjoyment.

woodworking tools a garden in which to grow things the books that a student needs constantly at hand—and thus we cannot suppose that even under socialism at least within a measurable period of time the need for commodities (in the sense of goods provided for individual consumption) will entirely disappear nor that the productive resources of even a socialist community will be so enlarged that none of these commodities will be scarce in the economists sense of the word

But even if we accept the possibility of satistion so far as individual wants are concerned the spectre of scarcity is not yet exorcized and the economic problem as such will not be eliminated. Even if individual wants are satisfied by a limited quantity of consumption goods requiring for their production a comparatively small part of the community a available resources the possibility of an almost indefinite extension of collective activities requiring material resourcesauch as scientific research artistic production athletics public huildings and communal pageantry—will continue to excinplify the insatiability of wants and will raise in a new form the old problem of alternative ends. In scientific research alone it would be possible to draw up a quito reasonable programme of investigations likely to result in immediate bene fit to humanity which would absorb all the resources of the community above a bare subsistence level. Once it is decided that the whole programme cannot be undertaken at once, the question of priority arises. The resources available for research become scarce and must be economized

(4) The age of plenty It is necessary to deal with the contentions of those who claim that aconomic calculations will be unnecessary in the age of plenty which modern technical invention will usher in or would usher in were it not for the resistance of financiers (usually conceived of as

in some way opposed to the genuine industrialists) aided by the obscurantism of economists. Many writers such as Lewis Mumford. Lancelot Hogben and the technocrats consider that standardization and mass production methods will make it possible to increase the supply of all commodities up to the point of complete satisfaction of all needs—in other words that all goods will become free goods

One argument to the contrary that founded on the in satiability of wants has already been considered in the previous sub sections. Another is that technics alone will never produce an age of plenty since the absence of a way of relating technical means to the needs whose satisfaction is desired may easily dissipate the means in wasteful forms of production so stultifying the potential age of plenty at the outset Further one factor of production will never cease to be scarce but will on the contrary become more scarce its use will therefore have to be economized more im peratively as productivity advances. This factor is human labour As productivity advances the value of human labour increases proportionally (or even more than proportionally) with the income per head of population. Hence emerges the necessity of a strict economic accountancy applied to labour and to all goods into the production of which a high proportion of direct labour enters. Under this heading come

(1) Personal services Apart from montal domestic service which an equalitarian society will eliminate either by mechanization of the home or by people learning to do their own domestic work there are a variety of services such as those of sick nurses restaurant waiters hairdressers, drivers of hired cars &c which with the rise of the remuneration of those who render them nearly to the level of profussional salaries will become relatively extremely expensive

L Mumford Technics and Civilisation ch vid sections 6-7

L Hogben The Retreat from Reason

Some like sick nursing will probably be organized almost entirely in the communalized division of consumption—the private nurse will become as rare as the private tutor—the private chaplain or the private orchestra of musicians. Others will be eliminated by mechanization—the cateteria will displace the restaurant waiter and the chambermaid will perhaps be replaced by such arrangements as H. G. Wells fore shadows in his Modern Utopia. But hairdressing is not yet mechanized nor can public service vehicles entirely take the place of hired cars. The people who perform work of this type will probably acquire the status and pay of professional workers in a class society and their services will cost their fellow citizens correspondingly dear. It is important to note that, however cheap private cars become in a mechanized socialist community a taxi drive will still be fairly expensive

- (2) Distributive clerical and transport services. These in evitably make up a considerable part of the cost of any fin ished consumption good—i e the good as ready for the actual consumer. As the cost of manufacture is reduced so the cost of checking handling wrapping &c will increase relatively. These processes can be simplified and rationalized in part they can be inechanized but the human element will always remain and must be paid for
- (3) Goods that cannot be produced by mechanical means. The production of some goods cannot be reduced to mass production methods such goods will remain relatively scarce even in a socialist society. It is conceivable that some very important foodstuffs come into this category. While the production of many vegetable substances can given suitable material conditions be mechanized in a high degree (wheat is an example) animal husbandry seems to call for a considerable expenditure of human labour per unit of product. Hitherto, the urban population of the high wage regions of

the world have maintained their high standard of life at the expense of underpaid and overworked peasant firmers or sgricultural labourers. If cowmen are to work an eight hour day and be paid at the rate of skilled mechanics, beef and milk are going to become comparatively scarce goods.

These considerations suggest the possibility that in an equalitarian economy which had attained a very high level of technical efficiency, human labour might be so scarce compared with other factors of production that costing might be based on it alone. It furthermore equal educational opportunities and occupational mobility levelled out the distinctions between labour of different kinds, labour might come to be costed on the simple basis of time. In this way the costing system of the socialist community would gradually come to be based upon the Labour Theory of Value

#### 2 THE ESTIMATION OF NEED

Thus we have seen that even a socialist community must proceed on the assumption that human needs are insatiable As soon as it bugins the task of organizing the processes of production so us to satisfy the needs of its members it comes up against the fundamental economic fact of scarcity. In plain language there is not enough to go round. To what ever heights of efficiency the productive organs of society are raised however abundant the yield of products the wants of the consumer are insatiable and will always tend to ruce ahead of the production of the means of satisfying them. A few of the simpler needs may be satisfied fairly easily with a limited supply of staple goods but all the more will the more complex and individually specialized wants assert them selves. Since it will never be possible to satisfy all needs a choice must be made among them. A choice must be made of what goods are to be produced and when they have been produced some equitable and efficient method must be

devised of getting them into the hands of those consumers who most need them

There are at least six possible methods of allocating goods to consumers they all involve to some degree the estimation of the intensity of social need for a good or service. These are

(1) Rationing

- (a) Soliciting Consumers Opinions
- (3) Advisory Bodies of Consumers
- (4) A Representative Assembly

(5) Experts Opinions

(6) Choice at a Price on a Free Market

(1) Rationing Rationing is the simplest method of apportioning goods to consumers although it is a very rough and ready solution of the problem of estimating social need Rationing is a brusque refusal of the right of choice to the individual consumer. In an emergency when supplies of absolute necessities are scarce and hard to replace rutioning may be justified but it has no place in the economics of a community that has the means to produce and distribute abundance (In a capitalist order it may be resorted to in a time of scarcity when the unimpeded working of the price system would mean that the rich would overbid the poor for the necessities of life. It is then not so much a way of apportioning goods as a palliation of inequalities of purchasing power) Rationing is least inappropriate when applied to bare necessities since differences between individuals needs are probably less important in the case of necessities than in the case of other things. The extension of a system of rationing to the multiplicity and variety of consumption goods available under conditions of normal productivity would involve enormous difficulties. What should a single ration consist of? As long as it is only a question of prime necessities of a simple type each ration can consist of an identical collection of

objects—so many pounds of bread sugar meat potatoes and so on, so many yards of cloth and pairs of standard boots But as soon as more elaborate goods begin to be issued how is the ration to be made up? In the case of books for in stance each citizen cannot receive one copy of every book published unless the rationed number of cubic feet that makes up his dwelling is nearly as large as the British Mu seum Library Some system of book tokens would have to be insututed. In the case of clothing, so many yards of cotton or woollen fabric would have to be equated to so many yards of silk one pair of silk stockings issued in lieu of more than one pair of lisle. Thus the concept of the relative value of goods would emerge Possibly even the market itself would emerge surreptitiously Just as in the war time army the teetotal soldier unless unusually conscientious bartered his rum ration for cigarettes or bully beef so one can imagine the more frivolous citizenesses of a rationed socialist society exchanging their book tokens for their more serious sisters issue of silk stockings

But over and above these difficulties rationing suffers from the disadvantage that even if it can allot goods already produced it can afford no guiding principle for deciding what should be produced in future. By assuming the previous existence of a stock of goods that simply have to be issued somehow to consumers, instead of allowing the kind of goods that come into being to be dictated by consumers' preferences it commits in an egregious form the fullacy of putting the eart before the horse

(2) Sollciting consumers' opinions To some extent individual variety in taste and desire can be allowed for by obtaining the opinion of users of goods and services by personal interviews questionnaires newspaper ballots &c While useful as an adjunct to other methods in eliciting consumers views on quality variety convenience &c this

method suffers from the fatal defect of not being quantita tive If the consumer prefers A to B but if 4 costs more than B in the sense of absorbing more scarce resources in its production is it worth while making A rather than B and if so how much A compared with how much B? It must be possible to balance the how much more of prefer ence against the how much more of cost Morcover this method is incapable of dealing with the case, which must be regarded as normal in which the desire for a good outrins the technical and administrative means available for its satis faction in other words it does not take into account the fundamental fact of scarcity. Ask people to express prefer ences for goods without tying them down to the incxorable limits of a fixed quantum of disposable purchasing power and they will express desires for far more goods than their collective labour can ever produce. Lyon as an indication of a simple order of preference without undeavouring to assess quantitatively the intensity of preference this method is essentially unreliable owing to this element of irresponsibility in the mentality of consumers

- (3) Advisory bodies of consumers An advisory body of consumers (consumers council) appointed for the purpose of sifting and formulating consumers preferences may give more reliable results than a canvass of unorganized opinion A body of consumers that has been appointed or elected for other purposes such as local administration (see no 4) or as the managing board of an organization supplying goods according to the decision of the market (a consumers colorerative society) is more likely to give rebable indications than an ad hoc consumers council with no other and more responsible functions to fulfil
- (4) A representative assembly Where a supply of goods or services is to be given to a determinate body of people (residents in a certain area members of a certain occur

nation) the quantity and quality of these services may be determined by a representative body chosen by and from the whole number of the beneficiaries and the cost of the goods or services defrayed out of the aggregate income of the body as a whole Thus the assembly will be an administrative organ financially responsible for its decisions and not a merely advisory body In this way responsibility and a cer tain adjustment of value to cost will be secured. But the experience of individualiat societies suggests that this sense of responsibility is strengthened if the members of the consuming body receive some part of their income in a freely disposable individual form and if they are made in some way to realize that an increase in their collective consumption as a group must be balanced by a reduction in their consumption as individuals. This could be achieved if the remaining portion of their income was regulated by the price and market method. This is achieved under individualism by the familiar devices of public finance (taxes estimates budgets &c) Under socialism public finance ceases to be a thing apart and becomes part of the normal economic process. The method of representative assemblies is thus complementary to that of individual choice at a price (no 6)

(5) Experts opinions The method described above suffers from the disadvantage that while an increasing number of social services are of a highly specialized type involving expert knowledge (e.g. health and medical services education transport, prevention and detection of crime) the representative assembly is usually composed of ordinary members of the public devoid of expert knowledge in all these branches of applied social science (in fact the more representative it is the more it should be so composed). This has led to the view that such services abould be controlled by experts. Let the doctors decide on what health services are necessary, the teachers draw up the educational programme, the sanitary

engineers plan the sewers the police commissioners decree universal finger printing the dieticians control our food and the publishers give us the books we ought to read To a very great degree this is sound. By all means let the experts say how their own service is to be carried on and decide the details of policy. But it is unsafe to leave to the expert the decision of how far the service is to be developed and what proportion of the total social income should be allotted to its cost Left to himself each expert will draw up an admirable plan for his own department but the aggregate costs of all the plans will absorb more than the total available social income. Some one must co ordinate the experts. A superexpert? No the common man for whose welfare all the experts exist. The representative assembly as described under (4) will be able to receive and discuss the experts reports and plans examine their estimates and then allot the available funds

(6) Choice at a price on a free market. Free choice of goods at a price that equates demand and supply allows each consumer a maximum of freedom in consumption combined with the maximum regard to other consumers needs and preferences.

It enables a double process of adjustment to be effected (a) As between different commodities entering into the consumption scheme of a single consumer it enables him to compare the relative attractions of the commodities taking their scarcity into account as mirrored in their relative prices. Then as relative scarcity, and so price of certain goods changes the consumer can substitute one good for another and effect other adjustments of the relative quantity of different goods consumed. (b) As between different claimants for bmited supplies of a single commodity it allocates the available supply in accordance with consumers, own estimates of the intensity of their needs (modified by their income levels)

It has the further advantage not only that it allocates goods to consumers but also that it serves as an indication of what goods are most worth while to produce. Unlike the five methods described up to now it can be articulated with the measurement of costs of production. (See Chapter III sections 4. (3) and 4. (1).)

The sale of goods in the market, at a price necessarily implies the use of money—not necessarily of course full value metallic coins of specific weight and fineness but some form of undifferentiated freely disposable impersonal pur chasing power. How it gets into the consumers hands in the first place we shall inquire in the chapter on Distribution (Chapter IV). How its issue is to be regulated and its pur chasing power controlled we shall inquire in the section on the General I rice Level (Chapter VIII section 3). We shall simply assume its existence and provisionally that it consists of metallic or paper tokens each representing a definite number of units of fixed purchasing power in terms of finished goods.

A compromise between rationing (no 1) and the price and moncy system (no 6) would be the issue to consumers in definite quantities of tokens of different kinds, available only for the purchase of specific kinds of goods. Thus a man a income nught consist of a certain number of food tickets of clothing coupons of notes accepted only by the municipal authorities in payment of house rent of book tokens of coupons exchangeable against theatre or conceit tickets of initiage books available on the community a rail way motor coach and ateamship services and so on. This method would give greater flexibility than simple rationing but less than the free market since it would not permit the individual to shift the relative proportions of his income spent in procuring different kinds of satisfaction. Of course, if the tokens were transferable a rate of exchange between,

say food tickets and book tokens would be set up which would simply restore the conditions of the free market with small but probably irritating inconveniences

The chief objections that have been made to the method of the free market are

- (a) As an index of social need it is distorted by inequalities in individual demanders incomes Upholders of the existing system are wont to compare the direction of production by price on the open market to a continuous general election held under a meticulously accurate form of proportional representation. They omit to press the comparison further and point out that, so long as inequalities in individual in come persist, the election is conducted with plural voting of a most exaggerated type. Nevertheless if the inequalities of distribution could be abolished or reduced to negligible dimensions (see Chapter IV section 4) the free exercise of effective demand by consumers on the market would give a very representative and sensitive index of consumers real preferences. Under these conditions there could be no objection from socialists to the extension of price and the free market to the allocation of consumable goods and the direction of production over a very wide range of sound economic life. In a society which had secured virtual equality in the distribution of income free choice of goods at a price would result in an allocation of goods to consumers very closely in accord with their needs or, at any rate with their wants that is to say their own individual estimates of their needs
- (b) Estimates made by one individual of the intensities of his own wants fail to take into account the fact that the consumption of some goods affects other members of society besides the consumer. In the case of some goods such as high powered motor-cara cocaine, or noisy wireless sets their consumption reduces the utility enjoyed by other

people In the case of other goods, such as higher education physical exercise or private houses of pleasing architectural aspect, their consumption increases the utility enjoyed by other people. These effects on other people a welfare are not taken into account in the money offers made on the market by the consumers of these goods. In such cases the free market method can be modified by suitable taxes and subsidies designed to weight the individual's personal estimate of worth whileness with a correcting factor which would represent the interests of the other parties involved.

It would seem then that of the methods of estimating de mand enumerated above two are especially adapted one to the division of individual consumption and one to the divi sion of communal consumption. The method of free choice at a price should be adopted for the first and that of the representative body for the second (As auxiliance to both of these recourse may conveniently be had to some or all of the other methods—direct solicitation of consumers views advisory bodies and experts opinion ) The economic activities of the community thus fall into two main divisions. On the one hand there is the production of goods and services to satisfy the demands expressed by individual purchasers in a market. On the other hand, there is the provision of goods and services free of charge to all members of society as the result of a decision based on other grounds than market demand made by some administrative economic organ of the community as a whole

## 3 THE DIVISION OF COMMUNAL CONSUMPTION

- (1) Its range We must particularize more closely the goods and services that are to be included in the division of communal consumption. Three kinds of provision for human needs come under this heading
  - (1) Goods and services that are individually consumed and

that might therefore be supplied through the market but which the community decides to supply communally Many services such as education cultural amenities roads water supplies domestic heating and lighting local transport postal services insurance against various kinds of misfortune accident and loss, may be provided by individual purchases at a price in the market (or by specific charges assessed on a definite consumer or group of consumers) or they may be provided by a levy on the total social income. Some kinds of material goods also may be provided communally in the same way as these immaterial services are provided. Some existing social services are of this type e.g. milk and free meals for schoolchildren surgical appliances and spectrules supplied through the National Health Insurance &c Several conditions may be suggested for the selection of goods (in cluding services) to be provided communally for individual consumption (a) The good must be such that an increase in its consumption is calculated to add to social welfare (b) It must be of such a nature that it is iinlikely to be used wastefully if provided without payment (c) It must not be too directly competitive with goods sold in the individualized division. These are necessary conditions. If they are fulfilled other circumstances may be suggested which would strengthen the case for communal provision. The method of the free market is mapplicable to many of the most important social services—open spaces and public amenities generally education health and medical services—either because as in the case of open spaces making a charge for admission is an intolerable nuisance or because as in education and health services people will not pay voluntarily beforehand for what they are only too glad to have once they have it or as in the case of medical services and many other services for relief in cases of emergency, because people do not en visage the emergency and provide for it beforehand then if they are required to pay for relief at market prices their whole scale of preferences is turned topsyturvy. In these cases therefore and also in many others where the trouble of making individual charges and the consequent book keep ing issuing and checking of tickets salaries of pay clerks money collectors inspectors &ce is large compared with the revenue received the method of the free market is un austable and communal provision is indicated. It may reasonably be expected that in a socialist community many things now provided through the market would enter the circle of the aocial services. There seems to be no reason why bread mulk simply cooked meals clothing of a plain standardized type and many other things should not be provided as free unrationed issues leaving the more luxurious and varied qualities to be provided in response to market demand

- (ii) Goods and services that are communally consumed and that must therefore of their very nature be communally supplied. Such are defence the maintenance of internal order the administration of civil justice, many public health services, the inspection of factories food and drugs water supplies servers &c. The cost of these services that is to say the cost of armaments, the construction and erection of offices public buildings the salaries of the police of the army and navy of judges ambassadors many civil servants the ministers of state and the president (or other ceremonial head of the state) must be a charge upon the general resources of the community
- (iii) Satisfactions less definite in character than goods and services, which cannot be divided or graduated among individuals but which must be enjoyed to the same extent by all or none. They like the second category of human needs, involve preferences which cannot be expressed by any mechanism of individual choice in a market since they are

preferences for one pattern of social life as against another pattern of social life Liberty (for the consumer or the worker) equality accurity (of occupation or income) sta bility of social relations the amenities of town and country the opportunities of bringing up children under favourable conditions—all these are satisfactions to the enjoyment of which the all or none principle does most certainly apply at least within the bounds of one territorial community (It is possible that a socialist world might consist of a number of territorial communities enjoying a large measure of administrative independence and free to experiment collectively in patterns of social life 1) In this category comes the choice between more leisure in the form of shorter working hours and the product of more work the choice between more rapid technical progress with its resultant insecurity of occupation and less rapid progress with greater security the choice between ruralization and urbanization and many more choices of an all or nothing character. These cannot be balanced by the method of individual calculations of less or more but must be solved by the collective decision of some central authority 2. This point will be returned to in Chapter IX section 3

(2) Its delimitation. The drawing of a line of demarcation between the divisions of individual and communal consumption is as in the individualist economy a matter of social policy rather than of strict economic calculation. According to the principles of the Austrian school of economists resources should be allocated between these two divisions so that the marginal utility of resources used in communal consumption should be equal to the marginal utility of resources used in individual consumption. But since there is no such

See W. Beveridge *Planning Under Socialism* essay on My Utopia. See The Social Significance of the Theory of Value. § 3. by F. 1. M. Durbin. *Economic Journal*. December 1935.

thing as the marginal utility of resources in general—only to a determinate economic subject—this formula has significance only if applied to a particular individual. If we can identify a large group of individuals in society whose utility position we can describe as typical, then we can restate the formula in terms of the marginal utility of resources to any one such representative individual. This approach to the subject reveals its theoretical difficulties. Moreover in the absence of any means for measuring the marginal utility of an operating table in a hospital of a fume cupboard in a University chemical laboratory or of a rock garden in a public park, this theory is of little practical use. It will probably be difficult in practice to find any method better than the rough and ready adjustments of public finance in an individualist society.

The practical problem however would be simpler in a socialist community than in a capitalist one for two reasons

(1) In the first place owing to inequalities in income and differences in the standard of living, it is impossible, even theoretically in capitalist society to allocate resources satis factorily between communal and individual consumption Gross inequalities in individual income render the marginal utility of resources spent in the free market an almost mean ingless concept differences in the standard of living make the marginal utility of resources used in communal consump tion very different to persons of different social classes it 18 inipossible to make an economic balance. Under these circumstances the process of allocation becomes not an economic but a political process power and not welfare determines the issue Thus, under capitalism when a democratically elected body votes social services the forms of economic allocation merely conceal a struggle between the haves and the have nots hence the complaints of injus tice and corruption which are voiced by all parties to the transaction In a fundamentally equalitarian community on the other hand there would be differences of individual taste but no great differences between the standards of living of different classes. In auch a community therefore the allocation of resources on the marginal utility principle as between individual and communal consumption would be theoretically possible. In practice any representative body of men whatever the details of the method of their selection might be trusted to arrive at a decision in the matter that would give substantial astisfaction to the majority of people The representative body might be chosen by lot (1 his is the application of the principle of the jury ) But we are used to the process of democratic election and this process purged of the disorders engendered in it by class divisions and by the vested interests arising out of the private ownership of business is probably the best for the purpose. This argument suggests the necessity for the establishment in any socialist commonwealth, however much addicted to the rule of experts and apecialists in their own fields of democrati cally chosen assemblies occupational regional and national which would have the ultimate decision upon the broadest issues of economic planning

(ii) The other reason is that with all production carried on by organs of public economy many of the obstacles that now exist to an extension of social services would be swept away. In a private profit economy the scope of such communal provision is seriously limited for two reasons. (a) The competition of public enterprise diminishes the profit margin and so discourages private enterprise. (b) The provision of goods and services free by the public diminishes some consumers money demand for goods and services of the same or a similar type provided by private enterprise. If the community provides milk for schoolchildren or houses for slum dwellers on the basis of objective indications of need (such as malnutrition or a high tuberculosis rate) the machine.

nery for supplying inilk or houses to all other consumers is put out of gear. Hence the ridiculous situation that when public works are undertaken for the relief of unemployment the more useless the works the less they interfere with recovery.

A more difficult problem than the allocation of resources between the two divisions would be that of the allocation of resources between different communal uses. The same methods of budgeting and of making appropriations and the same methods of audit and control as obtain in the public finance of capitalist states will have to be applied here improved perhaps in detail but not in essence

In addition to providing free of charge things like mucums schools baths hospitals parks sports grounds &cc the community might consider it desirable to promote the consumption of some goods that could not economically be provided free such as useful and instructive literature, travel in scarch of work or in the course of study certain kinds of surgical equipment &c. The best way would be to vote a definite subsidy out of the division of communal consumption and then pay it over to the appropriate productive organization in aid of cost of production. In the same way the consumption of some things that were considered socially undesirable but could not conveniently be prohibited such as alcoholic beverages could be checked by a tax whose proceeds would contribute to the cost of communal consumption. In this way it would be possible to adjust

During a transitional stage while production was temporarily at a low level and before equality of income had been achieved it might be consided desirable to check a risin forms of consumption as divering resources to socially unnecessary ends. Thus a tax might be imposed up:

a lk under thing elaborately propered food frivolous entertainment and house room above a certain standard of space per person. In a fully developed socialist society there is no reason why these commodities should not be previded as well as any oth resum response to the effective demand of the consumer-citizens.

quantitatively the extent to which certain forms of consumption could be controlled in the social interest, instead of relying on the clumsy all or nothing machinery of free issue or prohibition

It is possible that such a system as is here described would in time, by the gradual expansion of communal at the expense of individual consumption evolve into a condition of complete communism. Even at the present stage of economic productivity there are great possibilities of extending the communal provision of goods and services. Why should not transport within an urban area such as Birmingbam or Leeds be a free service? Why should not the local telephone service be as free to all as it is in some countries to residents in an hotel or boarding house? Why should not letters at least within the country be carried free? Consider the saving effected by cutting out the printing and punching of tickets the emptying of coin boxes, the sale and cancellation of postage stamps &c.

A wealthy community such as Great Britain or the United States could surely afford to maintain public dining rooms at which a plain but nourishing meal would be served free of charge to all comers. It would not be a charity soup-kitchen but a place to which the director of a trust or a popular novelist might go as readily as a simple labourer. Those who wanted something more luxurious could still in dulge their fancy in a restaurant where more dainty and more varied food was sold at a price. In populous places there could be stalls at street corners where a cup of tea or coffee and a slice of bread and butter could be had for nothing by the passer by

In time standardized suits boots and underwear might be issued free from local clothing stores especially if the forecast of a recent scientific writer is realized of making from cellulose materials such as wood, by direct chemical and inechanical methods, a light and porous mat rial which could be moulded or pressed into clothes without spinning weaving or tailoring. As such clothes could hardly cost more than a few pence, laundering would be superseded. I

(3) Its cost The cost of all goods provided in the division of communal consumption must be defrayed by a levy on the general resources of the community. This levy will differ from that made for similar services in an individualist community in that it can be made on the undivided social product instead of by taxes on portions of the social product that have already been individually appropriated.

Thus what is usually known as public finance will become an integral part of the economics of a socialist community Certain technical problems in taxation will however assume a very different aspect in a socialist economy. For example in a community where incomes are roughly equal problema of the incidence of taxation on persons of different incomelevels (e.g. the choice between direct and indirect taxes) are unlikely to give rise to serious conflicts of opinion. On the other hand the allocation of the cost of services as between national regional and local areas will remain of importance. For in a socialist community no less than in an individualist one economy in the use of resources will be promoted if the cost of amenities and services of purely local interest are defrayed out of the accial product of the local population concerned. The same applies to groups of persons organized on a basis other than local—such as occupational. If social services come to be administered largely by trade unions as in the USSR the whole body of persons engaged in an industry will dispose of a certain proportion of their aggregate income by communal decisions in the same way as municipalities and counties do to day

J D B rnal Science in Industry in The Prustrati it of Science (ed D Hall) 1935

The prices at which labour and materials will be reckoned in the costing of social services and the rate of interest charged will be those determined in the division of individual consumption (see Chapter III section 4 (1)) In the valuation of services that are used only in the communal division such as those of teachers research workers and (perhaps) doctors the same difficulties will be found as are found to day in analogous cases. They must be equated roughly to services requiring similar skill responsibility or training in the production of goods for the market. According to strict economic theory an error is involved here since the cost of such services will be determined entirely by the use of these services in the division of individualized consumption. How ever the error is unlikely to be serious unless (a) the services are highly specialized and mobility of labour is restricted or (b) the division of communalized consumption expands until it includes the major part of all production

# 4. THE DIVISION OF INDIVIDUAL CONSUMPTION

The pricing of consumption goods We have seen that a considerable part of the national income of a socialist community will consist of goods and services sold at a price to members of the public, who are free to buy as much or as little as they choose of each kind of commodity at the price at which it is offered (See section 2 (6) of this chapter) We must now consider therefore price formation in the division of individual consumption. With regard to the pricing of consumption goods no difficulty, at any rate in theory need be found. The shopkeeper state can sell goods at a price to its citizen-customers and according to their response regulate the quantity and quality of goods that it supplies. We will assume the existence of retail selling agencies having in their hands stocks of goods for sale to the public. These agencies may be general shops for the sale of many kinds of

goods like co-operative stores and department stores or they may be for the sale of one particular kind of goods such as bicycles wireless or books. They can experiment by raising and lowering prices or by varying qualities and designs exactly as capitalist retailers do. How their stocks are replenished we shall inquire later.

In the case of goods whose supply is seasonal (such as strawberries) or is not under the control of the productive organs of the community (such as antiques, works of art out of print books services of celebrated actors and singers &c) the rates of supply must be taken as given quantities independent of the retailers control. The selling agencies will then adjust their prices so as just to clear their stocks raising the price when stocks fall short and lowering it when they accumulate

In the case of the majority of goods however the rate of supply can be affected by the decisions of the productive organs of the community. Instead of one variable price that is susceptible of deliberate adjustment we have two, price and quantity that can be adjusted. The principles of rational economy require that in such a case the price and the quantity disposed of should be varied until the quantity is as great and the price as small as possible consistent with the condition that the selling price just covers cost. (In what sense precisely cost is to be understood we shall consider later in Chapter III section 4.) The most convenient way of effecting this 18 for the productive organs to produce according to the orders of the celling agencies The latter will then vary their orders according to the state of demand. Two procedures for accomplishing this end seem feasible. One is for the selling agencies to vary their prices so as to keep their stocks at a minimum but to increase their orders as the selling price rises and decrease them as the price falls Losses would be avoided by reducing sales profits would be absorbed by increasing sales The other procedure is for the selling agencies to sell at cost (or cost plus a small margin to cover contingencies) rather as the co operative societies tend to do to day and to regulate their orders according to the rate at which their stocks were exhausted They would endeavour to keep their stock of each particular good at a certain definite figure and mclease or diminish their orders according as the good sold quickly or slowly In either case the productive organs of society will deliver fresh supplies against payment at an appropriate price (determined as in Chapter III section 4(1)) These prices will enter into the costs of the selling organiza tions The latter will be so conducted as to reduce to a mini mun the margin between cost and sale price the small surplus which they make after deduction of casual losses and perhaps small honuses on turnover will either revert to the community as a whole or be paid back to consumers as a dividend on purchases 1

The general principles of price determination here laid down will not preclude the possibility of fixing the prices of some goods (especially services) at definite figures subject to revision only over long periods and leaving demand to adjust itself to the price This is the method adopted at present with regard to postage and telegraph rates, rulway bus and tram fores railway freight rates port dues, and fees for various legal and administrative services It is not, however capable of being generalized for all commodities. Such fixed prices are only compatible with economic equilibrium if they are exceptions to the general rule of free price formation

This method of determining prices is essentially one of trial and error and it requires for its successful carrying out that the process of selling goods be decentralized into a large number of autonomous trading bodies between which there

For price f rimetion in a socialist to nomy at Cassil Theory of e al heonomy (ant od ) ch m § 15

will exist a sort of competition. It is ill be suggested why not centralize the determination of prices in some sort of central statistical office?

It is true that the rule of thumb methods described above are capable of being much improved and gaining in precision and rapidity of adjustment by a proper use of statistical data On the basis of its experience with changing prices and quantities the statistical service of every sales agency would be able to draw up a demand schedule for each type of good sold (Indeed the construction of demand schedules both for consumption and production goods will be one of the most important duties of the statistical services attached to selling agencies productive organs and the S E C itself ) Theoreti cally the task is a very difficult one since the demand for one commodity is not a function of its price alone but of the prices of all other commodities 1 (Joint demand and composite supply are special cases of this general proposition ) Practi cally the task could be solved to an approximation sufficiently close for the guidance of the managers of industry by taking groups of more closely related commodities (composite supply or joint demand) in isolation from other groups. Under capitalism demand schedules are apt to exist in the realm of faith rather than in that of works 2 but with the greater publi city and fuller statistics of the socialistic economy they would become much easier to draw up

The information so obtained and made available through out the economic system (together with much other statistical material) would be of the greatest use to the minagers of the various selling agencies and productive organs it would enable them to adjust prices and quantities with much greater accuracy than is attainable nowadays and would speed up the

Cf G Cassel Theory of Social Feonomy ch. 11 § 16 pp 139-47 L Wairas Eldminis d'économis politique pure p 162

See how ver Honry Schultz Theory and Measurement of Demand and the literature quoted therein

process of achieving equilibrium, but it is unlikely that it would enable the trial and error method to be superseded entirely. The statistical determination of demand schedules will be a valuable aid to the managers of the various selling agencies, but it will not relieve them of the task of quasiindividualistic price-determination. This matter will be discussed in more detail in Chapter III, section 4 (1), pp 104-5

#### CHAPTER III

# PRODUCTION GOODS—THE MEASUREMENT OF COST

#### THE CONCEPT OF COST

 ${f I}^{\rm N}$  the production of the goods and services that ultimately satisfy human needs (consumption goods), various things are used up. Human labour and raw materials are embodied in goods as they are produced together with a proportionate amount of various kinds of indirect labour and of the wear and tear of machinery, buildings, and other fixed plant. The raw materials used in industry are either naturally occurring materials in a state of nature, or they have undergone some intermediate processing and represent a mixture of natural materials and the wear and tear of fixed plant. Further since the surface of the globe is limited and since different portions of it are auitable in varying degrees for the activity of human beings and for the extraction of raw materials (differences in fertility or convenience of situation) certain portions of land acquire scarcity their use in production becomes an element in cost, generally referred to as rent Machinery, buildings and other fixed plant can be further resolved into human labour raw materials &c Ultimately all goods produced can be resolved into a combination of human labour natural materials, and land. These we may term the ultimate factors of production, while partly manu factured raw materials machinery buildings and other produced means of production may be called intermediate goods The ultimate factors and intermediate goods alike may be styled means of production or production goods

Now, means of production are scarce relative to the almost unlimited possibilities of consumption that they can be used to satisfy In fact it is the scarcity of means of production that is responsible for the scarcity of consumption goods. If scarce means of production are used for producing a given quantity of consumption goods, they are not available for producing other consumption goods. They constitute the costs of the goods in which they are embodied. In a rational economy costs are the ultimate measure of economic scarcity. They afford an index of the relative worth whileness of producing different kinds of goods or combinations of goods and of different ways of producing the same good or combination of goods.

The cost of a given consumption good or combination of goods is thus measured by the quantity of production goods used up in making it. Now rational economy consists in the use of scarce means of production so as to produce the maximum satisfaction at the minimum cost. This involves two things (a) when the production of an extra quantity of goods is under consideration the cost of the additional product must be balanced against the satisfaction yielded by it, (b) when alternative methods of producing the same good are available it must be possible to measure and compare the costs incurred by the alternative methods and to choose the method that involves the least cost. Therefore a method of measuring and calculating cost must be devised

## 2 METHODS OF CALCULATING COST

Two methods have been suggested of computing cost upon a basis not involving the price system. They are based upon technical rather than upon economic considerations. Although they are in the writer sopinion seriously defective from the point of view of rational economic calculation, they are logically self-consistent. These two methods are by labour cost and by energy cost. There remains as a third method the computation of cost according to the price system.

(1) Labour cost The cost of goods may be reckoned as proportional to the quantity of labour expended in their production both directly and indirectly Indirect labour cost is the labour cost of raw materials &c used up in production together with an appropriate share of the labour cost of maintenance and replacement of machinery and of the labour cost of general economic and political administration According to this method, raw materials in their natural state (1 e upon which no labour has been spent) and the uses of land will not be taken into account nor will any interest charges appear depreciation of machinery being a simple fraction of the total labour cost of the machine (eg on a machine costing 10 000 man hours and having an estimated life of 10 years the depreciation charge will be just 1 000 man hours per annum) Quantity of labour is difficult to define Labour time is a first approximation not necessarily the actual time spent on a job but the time that would be spent by a normal worker under normal circumstances using standard equipment (socially necessary labour time) The real difficulty is that labour is not homogeneous. It exists in different forms (navvy s labour typiat a labour physician s labour) having different concrete uses and not perfectly interchangeable. A labour force composed of ten typista and ten doctors will not dig as many cubic feet of earth as twenty navvies nor will ten navvies and ten typists be as effective in combating an epidemic as twenty doctors although perhaps one typist three navvies and aixteen doctors would be a still more effective group. We are here driven to consider the use value of different kinds of concrete labour and to take into account their relative effectiveness. But their relative effectiveness differs according to the type of labour that is chosen as the basis of comparison. At one time effectiveness in growing food at another time effectiveness in setting up a lathe or winding a dynamo may be the standard, and the same worker may be graded differently by different standards. What is the standard? There is no single and universal standard. Since all kinds of labour are not equally available it seems that not only use value but also relative scarcity must come into the process of valuation of different kinds of concrete labour. In practice, an hour of (say) a bus driver a labour does not count as equal to an hour of (say) a bus conductor a labour. As Marx says.

Skilled labour counts only as simple labour intensified or rather as multiplied simple labour a given quantity of skilled labour being considered equal to a greater quantity of simple labour. Experience shows that this reduction is constantly being made. A commodity may be the product of the most skilled labour but its value by equating it to the product of simple un skilled labour represents a definite quantity of the latter labour alone. The different proportions in which different sorts of labour are reduced to unskilled labour as their standard are established by a social process that goes on behind the backs of the producers and consequently appear to be fixed by custom.

But this reduction of qualitative differences to quantitative differences involves a process of valuation. In exchange economy the ratio between one hour of one kind of labour and one of another is the ratio between the value of the net product of an hour a work of the marginal worker in the one trade and the value of the net product of an hour a work of the marginal worker in the other. In other words it depends on the relative scarcity of labour power in the two trades and on the relative utility of their products. In a non exchange economy, three possibilities are open one is to adopt and stereotype the values of a previously existing exchange economy another is to adopt for converting different kinds of labour into simple socially necessary labour time empirical coefficients based upon some non market estimate of relative

k Marx Capital vol i (trans Aveling and Moore) pp 11-12

social value the third is to charge all labour up to cost at the same rate irrespective of the kind of work. The first solution 18 so obviously an irrational makeshift that we will not conaider it further except to note that it is a pitfall that a socialist commonwealth might easily fall into in its early days. The second solution raises the very difficult question of how to measure relative scarcity and social utility without the measuring rod of price. It opens the door to the making of purely arbitrary estimates by irresponsible authorities. The third method is the logical result of the labour time theory (Note that the reckoning for purposes of social costing of one hour of every worker s time at the same rate does not neces sarily involve the payment of equal hourly wages to all workers) The only deviation from the principle of equality of labour time that would be consistent with the strict labour time system would be the addition to the hourly rate of skilled labour of an amount corresponding to the extra cost measured in labour time of training that worker Eg suppose that a doctor a training lasts to years longer than that of an unakilled labourer and uses up 3 000 man hours of in structors time Suppose further that on the average a doctor a working life is 30 years or 60 000 working hours then it would be justifiable to increase the hourly rate at

which doctors labour was costed by  $\frac{3000}{60000}$  or 5 per cent

But this method takes into account only the *cost* of special training. It makes no allowance for the *scarcity* of particular aptitudes (or more accurately for any degree of scarcity of aptitude in excess of what corresponds to the cost of training)

The principle of reckoning labour time to cost at a uniform rate makes it impossible to make a proper economic coin parison between two ways of doing a thing that differ only in the amounts and kinds of labour used e.g. if there are two methods of producing a given product in one way  $M_1$ ,  $x_1$ 

hours of labour of kind A and  $y_1$  hours of labour of kind B are employed and in the other  $M_2$   $x_3$  of A and  $y_2$  of B it may be that  $x_1+y_1 < x_2+y_3$  while  $ax_1+by_1 > ax_2+by_3$  where a and b are coefficients proportional to the economic value of an hour of A s labour and of B's labour respectively  $^1$ . In such a case a reckoning according to pure labour time will make method  $M_1$  seem preferable to  $M_2$  while a reckoning according to economic costs will make  $M_2$  seem preferable to  $M_1$ 

The non-reckoning of interest means that although the use of fixed capital is taken into account as stored up labour in so far as it is used up in the production process neither the total quantity of fixed capital relative to labour nor the length of time during which such capital is in the course of giving out its stored up labour enters into the calculation of cost

For example if there are two goods A and B and the production of A involves 500 hours of direct labour plus 500 hours of stored up labour while the production of B involves 800 hours of direct labour plus 200 hours of stored up labour both goods will be reckoned as costing 1 000 hours and consc quently as being equal in cost no account being taken of the additional amount of stored up labour involved in the production of A Or again, suppose that there are two goods B and C both of which take up 800 hours of direct as against 200 hours of stored up labour but that the stored up labour used in producing B takes the form of one month a use of a machine costing 24,000 hours of labour which lasts for 10 years while that used in producing C takes the form of one month a use of a machine costing 12 000 hours of labour and lasting for 5 years then B and C are reckoned as of equal cost in spite of the fact that the production of B involves the use of twice as large a stock of stored up labour as the production of C

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Computed by the value of their respective marginal products in alternative occupations.

It is interesting to note that cost accounting in terms of pure labour time is appropriate to two phases of economic development at opposite poles to each other. One is a state in which mechanical aids to production are unimportant relative to human labour and in which consequently the supply of human labour is the chief factor limiting the creation of wealth. The other is a state where the use of mechanical aids has been developed to the full—to the point of capital saturation—when once more labour is the only scarce factor of production (see Chapter II section 1 (4))

(2) Energy cost The cost of goods may be reckoned as proportional to the quantity of energy (reckoned in physical units as foot pounds kilogram metres kilowatt hours calories therms or what not) embodied in their. This system of costing has been suggested by H G Wella I by Professor Soddy 2 and by Howard Scott3 and the group calling them selves Technocrats but its full implications have never been worked out. Strictly applied it would involve the ignoring of the use of land and raw materials in their native condition (Extracted raw materials of course would be costed according to the energy expended in getting them ) Human labour would be costed according to the energy cost of the physical maintenance of the human body considered as a machine Fixed capital would be reckoned as stored up energy and be charged to cost as it is used up but as in the case of labour cost, the time-element would be ignored if interest would not enter into energy cost

The defects of this method of costing are similar to those of costing according to labour time. In particular, it ignores the varying degree of scarcity of different kinds of labour since scarcity is not necessarily proportional to energy-consump-

H G Wells A Modern Utopia ch iii § 2 Γ Soddy Carterian Economics p 12 3 H Scott Introduction to Technocraes, pp 47-8

tion. Thus neither method measures true economic cost in the sense of the relative scarcity of the means of production. By neither method is it possible to compare properly different methods of production entailing the use of different kinds of concrete labour. Both methods ignore the scarcity of naturally occurring raw materials of land and of stored up past production embodied in instruments of production.

(3) Price as a measure of cost If consumption goods are priced according to the scarcity principle the goods entering into their production can be priced in the same way Giving the name cost to the sum of the prices of all the production goods used up in the making of a given consumption good we can say that it will be worth while offering such prices for production goods as will make the cost of a consumption good not more than its price. Thus given the prices of consumption goods there can be derived from them the demand prices of any given quantities of production goods. The demand for consumption goods determines price which is imputed back to production goods and then is reflected forward again to consumption goods in the guise of cost.

One fundamental principle of economic equilibrium under the price system is that the selling price of every good should equal its cost as imputed from the prices of other goods. If a good sells above cost it is being kept artificially scarce—the consumer is being deprived of satisfactions that might be provided by resources that are now yielding less satisfaction in other employments. If a good sells below cost the consumer is obtaining a certain satisfaction by the use of resources that would in other employments yield greater satisfaction. Costing is therefore linked naturally to the

In general those socialist theorets who propose to base cost on some objective measure independent of the market valuation of individual goods ignore rent as well as interest. See section 3 (1) of this chapter

process of price determination according to scarcity and consumers preferences

Where as in a developed exchange economy many production goods enter into the making of one consumption good (joint demand) and where many different combinations of production goods can be made to yield the same result (composite supply) the demand price for a given production good 18 equal to its marginal net product. The net product of a production good may be defined as the price of the additional product obtained by the use of one additional unit of the production good. The marginal net product of a production. good is its net product at the margin of employment i e at the point at which all available quantities of the production good are taken into use. As the available quantity increases the marginal net product falls partly because of the falling price of the consumption goods due to increased abundance partly because of the diminished physical productivity of increased quantities of one kind of production good combined with an un banged quantity of others. Thus the equality of price with marginal net product means that price falls as quantity increases-in other words the price of a production good is a measure of scarcity just as is the price of a consump tion good

In a position of equilibrium the following conditions are fulfilled all means of production are fully utilized prices of all goods are equal to cost if alternative methods of production are possible that one is chosen which yields the product at least cost and finally a production good capable of alternative uses (i.e. in composite supply) is so distributed between different uses that its marginal net product in each use is equal to the same quantity namely to its price

In this way prices can be established for all production goods, first for intermediate goods and ultimately for ultimate factors of production—ie human services and natural

resources Thus labour power and natural resources can be reduced to a common standard of comparison by the price system

But these ultimate factors of production are not the only elements that must be taken into account in a rational system of costing When labour land &c are combined to form intermediate goods two processes occur (1) There is a storing up of ultimate factors of production over a period of time. A mass of intermediate goods represents a stock of resources held through time, the use of which involves more than the use of the ultimate factors of production at involves the use of an accumulation of such factors (in Böhm Bawerk a phrase roundabout methods of production ) At any given moment the quantity of accumulated resources is limited or scarce hence the use of them involves a cost called by economists interest. (2) The creation of a mass of interme diate goods involves the fixing of resources in a form which has only one specific use (or a narrow range of specific usea) Once fixed in this form they are useless for any other pur pose Technical changes in the processes of production or changes in the desires and tastes of the community intervening since the production of the intermediate goods was begun may destroy or diminish the usefulness of the inter mediate goods in question. Hence arises an element of uncer tainty and the holding of stocks of means of production involves therefore, a further element of cost By the devices of a rate of interest and of a charge for uncertainty (both analogous in nature to a price) these further elements in cost can be reduced to comparability with labour power and natural resources. Thus all elements in cost are welded into a closed and self consistent system by the method of pricing. In no other method of costing can these results be achieved (See section 3 sub-sections (2) and (3) of this chapter)

In the ultimate analysis costs in an exchange economy are the values of the alternative opportunities for production that are foregone when the decision is taken to make the particular good with the resources in question. Cost is the expression and the measure in terms of price of the ultimate economic fact of scarcity.

### 3 Some Special Categories of Cost

The methods of calculating cost according to labour or energy suffer from the defect that it is impossible for them to take into account the use of land and natural resources the time factor in production (i.e. the use of a stack of accumulated resources held over a period of time) and the element of uncertainty. As soon as it is required to take these elements into account it becomes necessary to find a common measure for them and for labour time or mechanical energy as the case may be Although arbitrary equivalents might be set up by an economic planning authority in a non exchange economy they would have no real significance. The only way in which they can be brought to a rational common measure is by the process of pricing in a market. We must therefore proceed to the examination of the part played in a rational costing system by (1) Land (including natural resources) (2) Time (3) Uncertainty In other words this is the problem of Rent of Interest, and of the hitherto unnamed element in social cost which is the price of Uncertainty Bearing and which we shall call Uncertainty Surcharge

(1) Land—rent Owing to differences in physical yield and convenience of location between different sources of power and natural products it is necessary to undertake production

See I von Wieser Natural Value bk. v E v Böhm Bawerk Positive Theory of Cipital bk iii ch 10 hk iv ch 7 P Wickstood Common sense of Political Economy vol i p 380 H J Davenport, Economics of Enterprise che vi and vin G Cassel Theory of Social Economy ch iii 12 pp 91-3 H D Henderson Supply and Damand ch x

at different rates of real cost to satisfy the same need with physically similar goods (e.g. wheat of identical food value grown on fertile and on poor land coal of equivalent calorific value from mines of unequal difficulty in working) Under these conditions an increase in output can only be provided at an increase in real cost (whether this be measured in labour time or in energy units) Moreover in the case of different branches of production increased output will involve different rates of increase of cost-what we may call the cost gradient will be different. The production of some goods can be indefinitely extended at constant cost. In their case there is no doubt as to the right principle of valuation. Their value is proportional to the average cost of production. But how should a good be valued that is produced under increasing costs? The natural answer is At average cost But this fails to take into account that if there are two kinds of goods being produced at the same average cost one with a high cost gradient, the other with a low (or zero) cost gradient, then an increase in the output of the former will involve greater expenditure of labour time (or energy) than an increase in that of the latter To be able to balance against each other the effect on the total expenditure of labour time (or energy) of increased production of different goods given a certain rate of production already undertaken goods should be valued according to their marginal costs of production not their average costs (These coincide in the case of goods produced under conditions of constant cost) But this leads at once to the emergence of a form of surplus value In the case of goods produced from sub marginal sources of supply the difference between their cost and their value (i.e. between their actual cost and the cost of production of similar goods at the margin) is a surplus value identical with Ricardian rent (whether or not it is appropriated by private owners of natural resources)

Under market economy this surplus is naturally imputed to the site so that the superior field mine &c is supposed to earn a rent. If a site is capable of alternative uses then the rent which it can earn in its different uses is an indication of its relative superiority in these uses. Its owner then has a pecuniary incentive to use it in the way in which it is most productive.

It goods are valued according to the cost of the marginal portion of supply then even under any of the arbitrary systems of costing mentioned above (labour or energy) a surplus would emerge which could be treated as rent. If however goods were valued according to their average cost of production (which is usually the method favoured by the proponents of arbitrary systems of costing) then rent will be absorbed into cost. The question whether or not rent should be reckoned as a separate entity is thus seen to be identical with the problem whether goods should be valued according to marginal or average cost of production.

Two consequences flow from not including the rent of land in the computation of cost. The first is that the measure of cost is distorted. The second is that it is difficult to allot parcels of land of different quality to their most appropriate use

- (a) As stated above the true cost of increasing the supply of a good will be under estimated if the good is produced under conditions of increasing costs. There will thus be an over investment of the community s resources in the production of such goods as compared with goods produced under constant or diminishing costs.
- (b) Under the second head it must be noted that the charging of a rent for the use of land is the way by which in a market economy land is forced into its most productive use. It may be argued that a similar result could be achieved in a planned economy by ascertaining the productivity of given

parcels of land under given forms of cultivation and calculating by means of the calculus of variations which combination of uses would give the maximum yield. In order however to calculate the maximum yield it is necessary to express the different products of land in terms of a common denominator i.e. in terms of their values. But unless the comparison was made on the basis of their marginal and not of their average returns incorrect results would be obtained. Therefore since marginal returns must in any case be determined the use of rent as a device for ensuring the optimum use of scarce natural resources seems to be justified. Furthermore, the use of economic rent as a device for allocating land and natural materials to their most productive uses fits in conveniently with a decentralized economy in which a large measure of autonomy is allowed to separate enterprises.

(2) Time—interest (a) Capital and interest. We must next take into account the influence of time in production. To obtain a given rate of physical output a certain quantity of physical resources must be held in the form of intermediate goods (machinery buildings stocks of raw materials and goods in process). These intermediate goods represent a certain storing of resources in time since the machinery materials &c, now being used in the process of production are the result of past processes of production. The average length of time that elapses between the first steps taken in the chain of production and the delivery of the finished product may be called the period of production. Most methods of increasing the physical productivity of a given quantity of

For the pricing of land under socialism see Cassel Theory of Social Economic chivi § 29 p 289 also Henderson Supply and Demand chivi § 5 for natural materials see Cassel op cit chivil § 30 pp 203-4

An alternative expression for this quantity is the ratio of the community a stock of means of production to its flow of finished consumption goods (see G. Cassel. On Quantitative Tlinking in Economics p. 22). Note that this expression implies the possibility of expressing both the

resources (including land and human labour) involve the utilization of these resources in ways which produce a greater physical product but at a remoter period of time-in other vorda a lengthening of the period of production. For in stance machinery increases the product of labour but in order to make the machinery labour must be diverted from some other line of production to machinery making. Now different uses of the ultimate factors of production may be classified in the order of the remoteness of their ultimate benefit (in other words in order of the length of the period of production in each particular use) If we assume that in a rationally ordered echeme of production the uses of ultimate factors of production that yield immediate benefit are prefer able to those whose benefit is deferred we must devise some quantitative method of estimating this preference. More over we must be able to halance a greater yield at a more distant date against a lesser yield available sooner

An economic community based on division of labour must divide its economic activities between provision for the needs of the present and the maintenance and extension of the equipment that makes possible provision for the needs of the future. The proportion in which it so divides its resources is the result of a balance between the productiveness of material equipment and the prospectiveness of the members of the community.

In an individualistic economic system the rate of interest is the regulator of its capital atructure. Interest arises in market economy as a payment made for the use of means of production during time. In its aimplest form it is a discount on future values (or an agio on present values) so that the value of a finished good tenda always to exceed the sum of

stock and the flow in terms of a common unit, in other words, the possibility of valuation. See also J. Marschak s. Note on the Period of Production. *Economic Journal* March 1934.

the values of its constituent factors by an amount which is proportionate to the time taken up in production. If interest be reckoned on the value of all intermediate goods used in production for the time during which they are locked up in the productive process, this surplus is absorbed and value tends to be equal to cost. In the idealized individualism of the Austrian school the rate of interest is determined by the interaction in the market of millions of individual time preferences just as the prices of goods are determined by the interaction of millions of individual marginal utilities. In the real system of capitalism individual time preferences are overshadowed by the action of banks and by the strategic reserve policy of company directors.

A socialist community that attempts to guide production by the preferences of individuals expressed in the market must make use of the device of a rate of interest for two reasons. One is for costing purposes since otherwise there will be relative over production of goods whose manufacture involves relatively more time-consuming methods than the average. The second is as a rationing device to allocate between the various hranches of production the resources available for the satisfaction of future needs. Thus, the marginal productivity of capital goods will establish at any given moment a definite relation between the quantity of resources so available and the rate of interest that just allo cates them without deficit or excess. But in the absence of a free market for savers there is no means of deciding on individualistic principles what quantity of resources should be saved. This decision will have to be taken by the planning authority on behalf of the community as a whole and will be from the liberal individualistic point of view arbitrary (See Chapter IX section 2 (2))

To illustrate the problem of interest in a socialist community let us take the case of a railway that has to cross a piece of high ground. Either it may be built in the open with two steep slopes or it may be constructed with deep cuttings and a tunnel. The first way involves greater cost in operation. than the second for the whole time that the line is open the second way involves a greater expenditure of labour and materials at the time of construction. How can the authority planning the railway balance the extra annual cost of opera tion against the additional once and for all cost of construction? If the additional construction cost is only five times the extra annual operation cost it is almost certainly worth while to build the tunnel If it is a hundred times more the tunnel is almost certainly not worth while. Projected works can be classified according to the number of years purchase that they require It is obvious that the community should undertake first those works in which a present outlay saves a large annual cost before proceeding with those that effect a less saying Lquilibrium is obtained by pushing the invest ment of resources up to the same number of years purchase in all lines of production. The community must decide upon a certain number of years purchase (in other words a rate of interest) and apply it as a touchstone to distinguish between feasible and unfeasible undertakings 1

Of course it would be possible to use the marginal productivity of capital as a sieve for sorting out worth while projects (rationing function of interest) without actually charging it into the price of goods (cost function of interest). But, as already remarked this would result in a relative over production of goods whose production involves a higher than average ratio of capital to labour

G Morreau (op cit) who does not admit the propriety of including interest in the cost reck ning of a socialist economy proposes to discriminate between different uses of capital resources according to the quartity of consuriors surplus which they yield. This is an interesting suggestion but it is open to the objection that no one has yet shown how to dit rmin an lightly measure of consumers surplus.

Unless interest is taken into account in the computing of cost it will appear equally preferable to product either of two commodities in each of which the same quantity of labour and land is incorporated although in the case of one of them the expenditure may be apread over a hundred times the period of time that it is in the other. The community will be led to spend its resources on schemes that will only produce consumable goods after centuries, while in the meantime it will suffer penury.

To compute a rate of interest each undertaking will have to start with a certain capital based on an inventory and valuation of actual capital goods. Extensions of capital will take the form of investments or loans made under the control of the SEC from a central fund. Each undertaking will draw up several alternative plans of activity on the assumption that interest is 6.5.4,3 &c., per cent. the schemes at the lower rates being naturally more extensive than those at the higher rates. On the basis of these schemes it will be able to draw up a schedule of its demand for capital at different rates. The SEC can then construct an aggregate demand schedule for capital to be employed in the whole of industry. This will in fact, be a schedule showing the marginal productivity of different quantities of resources applied to capital formation.

Two procedures can now be followed according as to whether quantity of accumulation or interest rate is to be taken as the independent variable (i) In the first case the SEC will fix the amount of capital that is to be raised then the ruling rate of interest for the current accounting period

The objection may be made that it is not logitimate to aggregate the demand schedules of single enterprises into one social demand schedule on the grounds that each individual demand schedule is dependent on the actual rate of interest. This is a valid objection theoretically but it could be got over practically by the process of successive approximation (see footnote s to p 83 also pp 99-100 102-3)

will be determined by the schedule of marginal productivity of capital (ii) In the second case the SEC will fix a certain rate of interest this will determine the corresponding quantity of capital to be sived out of the total accial income during the year. In either case the rate of interest however arrived at, will be used for all accounting purposes (interest on original capital of enterprises and trusts interest on short period balances depreciation inaurance hire purchase contracts &c.) Capital will be supplied to undertakings in accordance with their original demand schedules 1.2

(1) If the amount to be saved is to be taken as the independent variable then the most appropriate formula would be that of  $\Gamma$  P Ramsey<sup>1</sup> the rate of saving multiplied by the marginal utility of money should always be equal to the amount by which the total net enjoyment of utility falls short of maximum possible rate of enjoyment. It may be objected that the practical application of this formula involves the numerical determination of utilities. Nevertheless this problem might not prove to be insoluble in a community where individual incomes are approximately equal, given free choice of consumption and the sale of consumption goods at a price in the market. If it can be solved even approximately a rate of saving can be determined and then from the aggregate demand schedule of the socialized undertaking a correspond

In the foregoing it is not assumed that any capital is supplied by voluntary individual saving. It is all provided by a levy on the undistributed social product, in accordance with a decision of the SEC Individuals may save in the sense of postponing consumption, but this is not envisaged as a source of capital

If either the demand for or the supply of capital is changing rapidly the error involved in aggregating demand schedules will be appreciable. In this case it will be necessary to establish a provisional rate of interest, then to allow the different organs of collective economy to re-contract with each other on the basis of this provisional rate, and so to draw up their final demand schedule for capital

<sup>2</sup> A Mathematical Theory of Saving Economic Journal Dec 1928

ing rate of interest can be found. Changes in productivity and demand will then be reflected in changes in the rate of interest

(it) If interest is to be taken as the independent variable what principles should be followed in deciding upon a rate of interest? If Cassel a argument in the Nature and Necessity of Interest is valid then the rate of interest depends on the duration of human life. In an ideal individualist community accumulation would proceed and interest fall until the num ber of years purchase of a perpetual income had risen to something short of the average duration of the adult portion of human life ! Here it is true that the socialist community cannot determine the exact figure with the accuracy of individual investors balancing the attractiveness of perpetuities against that of life annuities Still no extreme error in valuation will be incurred if the SEC accumulates until the rate. of interest falls to say 2 per cent, and then keeps the rate of interest steady. The reason why 2 per cent.-50 years purchase—is chosen is that it corresponds to the duration of adult human life. On the assumption that a socialist community should be longer sighted than the individual investor. the rate of interest might ultimately be lowered to I per cent -corresponding to 100 years purchase (three general tions) respectively. If the tempo of technical invention increases or if public taste becomes more changeable the amount of new capital to be raised in a year will increase if invention or changes in taste fall off the amount to be raised will diminish 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also Cassel a Theory of Social Economy (Benn ed.) vol 1 pp

For the problem of interest in a socialist society see I' von Wieser Natural Value bk. ii ch. 6 bk. iii ch. 3 also pp 142 163 206 E v Böhm Bawerk Passive Theori of Capital bk vi ch 10 G Cassel Theori of Social Economy ch 11, 126 H D Henderson Supply and Demand pp 14-16 130 H D Dickinson, Institutional Revenue Appendix to ch iv For a different view see M Dobb Political Economy and Capitalism ch vin and Note to ch vii.

The question is often discussed. Why should not the socialist community accumulate capital until the rate of interest fell to zero? In the writer a opinion, this would be quite impracticable. As the rate of interest fell, there would be a rapid increase in the number of uses of capital that would under modern technical conditions become worth while. At zero interest, the demand for capital would absorb so high a proportion of the social income that the real time preference of the members of the community would rise attempt If then the S E C were to reflect their preferences correctly, it would have to reduce the volume of collective saving and re introduce a rate of interest in order to ration the available capital.

- (b) Long term and short term capital. The provision of capital to industry falls under two heads long term capital and short term capital corresponding roughly to the distinction between fixed capital and circulating capital
- (i) Long term capital is represented by the buildings, plant machinery and other durable physical equipment of industry. Livery undertaking will be capitalized at a value corresponding to the cost of reproduction new of its fixed capital and will be required to pay interest at the current rate on this capital to the organization next above it in the hierarchy of collective enterprises. (This could be effected formally by the issue of debentures to be held by the controlling organization. Increase of capital equipment would be accompanied by an issue of new debentures diminution of capital by a cancellation or writing down.) New fixed capital would come out of the Social Fund<sup>1</sup> and would be issued by the Investment Board on the basis of the schedules drawn up by the various organs of collective economy.

The possibility of a loss of capital must be dealt with This may be due to a variety of causes such as diminution in the importance of the particular branch of the social economy,

See sub-section (4) of this section also Chapter IV section 5

rapid technical change physical destruction or sheer mia takes of judgement. If an industry or trade declines in importance as brewing might if people became more abstentious the capital sunk in it must aimply be written off Techmenl change if not too rapid should be covered by allowances made for obsolescence and risk but violent changes in technique (such as the aupersession of existing means of lighting by the invention of cold light) would necessitate a drastic writing off of capital assets. Similarly physical loss should be covered by maurance but catastrophes such as earthquakes floods conflagrations and acts of war must also entail writing off Finally decisions of the planning authorities (the SEC and of minor organs) may prove to have been unwise and their reversal will involve abandon ment of capital investments. In all these cases the fact should be recognized that the community a wealth has diminished by the amount of the resources that have been sunk in enterprises that will yield no return in the future. There should be kept a capital account of all the community s assets (including non industrial ones such as public buildings and institutions devoted to communal consumption) and the total of this should be kept as closely as possible in correspondence with the netual facta

An important problem in the theory of costs arises in connexion with this matter of writing-off capital rendered obsolete by technical change. In balancing the economic advantages and disadvantages of a new process to what extent should the loss of capital aunk in the old process be considered? Competitive private enterprise cannot afford to do this. If a new process appears every firm hastens to adopt it (or one like it) since it realizes that if it does not its rivals will and that it will in consequence be handicapped in the competitive atruggle. On the other hand, public bodies and monopolistic private firms usually try to delay the adoption

of innovations until the old capital equipment is at least partly worn out (Consider e.g. the attitude of many munici palitics owning gas and trainway undertakings towards electric lighting and omnibuses) Undoubtedly the competitive avatem produces a high rate of technical progress but is it socially justified? The snawer seems to be that it is and that a socialist economy should in this matter imitate competition In economics bygones are forever bygones Capital sunk in a process represents social resources the product of past labour and sacrifice locked up in an irrevocable form. Its value to the community is not what it has cost but what it will yield (its quasi rent in Marshall's terminology) society can produce the same result by other and better means the value of the old means is already potentially lost New resources not yet immobilized in means of production should flow into the most productive uses. The productivity of these uses depends on the demand for thou products as compared with the sacrifice of current fluid resources Present resources not past resources, are what count. Thus it seems that the value of sunk resources should be ignored in deciding on the worth whileness of new processes

The case of labour however is different from that of non-human resources. Here again bygones are bygones and the fact that certain workers have acquired specialized skills that are to be rendered unnecessary by new methods should not weigh in the balance when decisions are being taken about the introduction of new methods. But the fact that the community owes these men the opportunity to fit themselves to take part again in social production should weigh in the balance. Hence the cost of re-training displaced workers (or pensioning those too old to be re-trained) and other costs of transfer such as those of transport and rehousing where the transfer is geographical as well as occupational should be debited against new methods and processes.

(ii) Short term capital would be supplied by the socialized banking systems. All organs of collective economy would keep a current account with the Central Bank, they would be debited with the value of all materials bought and wages paid and they would be credited with the value of all goods and services sold. Since production is normally undertaken in anticipation of demand, this would mean that every under taking would have a permanent floating overdraft at the bank equivalent to the value of resources locked up in the process of production. The undertaking would pay interest at the current rate on this overdraft, thus taking the time lag of production into account in the system of socialist costing.

Institutions serving the division of communal consumption (such as hospitals schools libraries &c) should follow similar methods. They should keep capital accounts which would be written up or down to correspond with the actual value of their fixed assets. Their revenue and expenditure would be checked by the bank in the same way interest being charged on the net balance (it negative) or paid on the net balance (if income anticipated expenditure)

In the course of time the Central Bank and its agencies would probably come to exercise the function of a general accounting checking and controlling organ keeping track of the progress of goods in process of manufacture through their various stages of the quantities of goods in stock at all stages &c. Any delay in the movement of goods along the road to ultimate consumption would be reflected in a rise in the demand of the various undertakings for short term credit.

(c) Consumption capital One way in which the fruits of stored up labour (waiting) can be used is in the creation of

For the role of the Gosbank of the USSR in checking the execution of the Plan by the various organs of collective economy see L. D. Hubbard. Societ Money and Pinance. chs. v. and vi.

durable articles of consumption, like dwelling houses, swim ming baths grand pianos motor-cars household furniture wireless receivers gas or electric stoves, vacuum cleaners, washing machines refrigerators &c. Under capitalism the use of these commodities tends to be restricted to those who can afford to lock up resources in durable means of con sumption over a period of time i.e. the rich. However even under capitalism private enterprise has undertaken the provision of dwelling houses on hire (without which the poorer consumers would not be able to find house room) and the provision of other durable goods on the basis of hire or hire purchase has begun to develop

It is clear that it is socialist community wishes to promote the use of such amenities it will in the absence of large individual incomes be obliged to provide them in some way other than outright purchase. In the case of swimming baths sports grounds libraries and many other amenities provision through the division of communal consumption will be best. Where as in the case of pianos motor-cars wireless, &c. individual consumption is most convenient, such consumption will have to be facilitated by appropriate methods of hire or hire purchase. It is probable that the consumption of these goods could be extended and that many other amenities now almost exclusively the privilege of the rich could be brought within the means of the less well-off by the development of hire services

There is no reason why the consumption of durable goods should not be facilitated by advances to consumers out of the capital funds at the disposal of the community. In the case of houses it is generally admitted that this is desirable. In Britain and in most European countries municipal and other local authorities huild houses and rent them to householders in Australia and New Zealand the state makes advances on mortgage to individuals who wish to acquire a house or a

farm. There is no reason why this should not be extended to durable consumption goods in general. As in the case of houses either the goods could be owned permanently by the community and let out on hire to the consumer or they could be sold to the consumer on the instalment plan the community advancing a proportion of the purchase money against proper security.

The usual objections to instalment selling are absent or of diminished weight in a planned economy where the fluctuations in individual income are less than in an unplanned economy and where the financial organs of the community can keep a watchful eye on the aggregate amount of consumer indebtedness and take care that no more than a reasonable proportion of consumers future income is pledged for the payment of instalments. On condition that the schemes of payment provide for the extinction of the debt well within the lifetime of the commodity bought instalment selling makes possible an increase of durable as compared with ephemeral satisfactions in the average individual's scheme of consumption

With regard to consumption loans other than those destined for the acquisition of durable goods the propriety of making public provision is more doubtful. A properly organized system of social services and of publicly owned insurance should eliminate all ordinary cases of sudden need for a loan. Nevertheless since cases might arise in which an individual experienced a sudden need for disposal over a sum of money due to causes not covered by insurance or the ordinary social services some organization for making loans on security should exist. If every adult citizen had a current account with the State Bank some development of the existing overdraft system would suffice otherwise something in the nature of a publicly managed pawnshop or mont de pieté would be required. Since private property in land and pro-

duction goods would not exist, the only property available to be pledged as security for a loan would be in the form of durable consumption goods

This would create a use for accumulated resources that would compete with the use of the same resources in the form of industrial and distributive capital. The demand for the hire of durable consumption goods would have to be added to the demand for capital in the form of production goods in order to arrive at the aggregate demand schedule for capital and hence to determine a rate of interest for the socialist economy. This rate of interest would have to be applied in reckoning the appropriate charge for the use of goods under hire and hire-purchase agreements. It would also be applied to pure consumption loans. In this case however interest would be only a small part of the charge made by the public to the borrower most of the charge consisting of insurance against risk and costs of administration.

(d) Temporal variations in interest. Different rates of interest may be appropriate for different points of time in the future If the real income per head of the community is going to rise appreciably within the next ten years the community will be able to afford a more generous scale of provision for the future and hence calculate with a lower rate of interest, ten years hence than it can now. Thus while it would be in accordance with the present relation of needs and resources to charge interest at say 4 per cent, on a capital outlay made in the current year, it might be appropriate to charge 3 per cent on an outlay made five years hence and 21 per cent on one made ten years hence. In this way a compound interest table by double entry might be drawn up in which the interest on £100 would depend not simply on the number of years for which the investment ran but also on the particular year at which it started. In this case only the

rate of interest for the current year could be determined on the basia of actual bids from the organa of public economics. The rates of interest for future years would have to be determined by more or less arbitrary deductions from (or in the case of declining real income per head additions to) the current rate. These deductions or additions would not be completely arbitrary since (i) they would be based upon fairly reliable estimates of the future course of production and (ii) they would be continually checked by a process of trial and error. The greatest element of uncertainty in these calculations would probably be the influence of technical discovery and invention upon the future demand for capital and hence upon the future marginal productivity of capital.

(c) Geographical variations. It is possible that the rate of interest however arrived at, would not be uniform throughout all parts of a socialist common wealth. If the latter included regions at greatly different levels of economic development (China and Central Europe for example) a uniform rate of interest would drain off all investible resources from the more developed region to the less developed. This is of course precisely that movement of resources which is neces sary to establish economic equilibrium and also that which would maximize the social product but too rapid a progress in this direction might be undesirable. The SEC would have to divide the entire community into regions of approximately similar economic development and establish an appropriate rate of interest for each. It would plan a certain transference of resources from the more to the less developed regions but less than that which would immediately equalize the yield of capital The exact magnitude of the transferences that would be made yearly from the more to the less developed

The problem discussed in this paragraph was raised by Mr Maurice Dobb in an article Problems of a Socialist Economy (Economic Journal Dec. 1933) and in his book Political Economy and Capitalism on vivi

regions and the number of years over which the process of equalization should be spread could not be determined on purely economic grounds. It would involve judgements of a social character and would require to be considered as part of the conscious planning of economic life (see Chapter IX section 4 (1)). The ultimate object would be the establishment of a uniform rate of interest over the entire territory

(3) Uncertainty—uncertainty surcharge Some of the risks to which economic life is subject cancel each other out if a sufficient number of cases are taken by the statistical rule of averages they yield in the aggregate a precisely calculable certainty. Such risks are those due to wind and weather to human carelessness or folly and to the hazards of fire and of the sea. These can be and usually are insured against. A socialist community would have even greater opportunities than an individualist one for the extension of the principle of insurance. But certain risks are not destroyed by aggregation, these are the uninsurable risks and constitute true economic uncertainty.

In a socialist community, it might be held there should be no question of economic risk economic planning should eliminate it. This is true of many kinds of risk. It is true of risks due to the simultaneous action of a number of entre preneurs ignorant of each other a decisions. But in any economy where free choice is permitted to consumers and to providers of services and where any progress in technical knowledge occurs, an element of uncertainty is bound to exist

Economic uncertainty is of two main kinds. The first kind is due to the anarchy of production under capitalism. In each part of the economy entrepreneurs are taking without mutual consultation and without exact knowledge, decisions shout output and prices which affect the decisions of other

See Γ H Knight, Ruk Uncertainty and Profit chaps, if and vis.

entrepreneurs. Each one is ignorant of what the others are doing or at the best makes uncertain inferences from unreliable (and frequently deliberately misleading) indications. It is no wonder that market requirements are now under now over-estimated that production is subject to violent fluctuations and that a great deal of uncertainty reigns throughout all fields of enterprise. Where entrepreneurs are engaged in complementary processes things are bad enough, but when they are actively competing the anarchy of production becomes a real chaos. One has only to think of the retail distribution of coal or milk the anarchy in the streets during the period of 'pirate competition with the L G O C the chaos of the coal industry and of the Lancashire cotton industry. This kind of uncertainty would be abolished by social ownership and planned production.

The second kind of economic uncertainty however is that which is inherent in all production in anticipation of demand auch as characterizes a highly developed economic system Production in anticipation of demand involves uncertainty for two reasons first the possibility of changes in the methods of production second the possibility of changes in the wants that production is undertaken to satisfy. The first involves the risk that new ways of satisfying old needs may duminish or destroy the usefulness of resources that have been invested in the old ways (e g the improvement of road trans port duminishes the usefulness of railways) The second involves the risk that new needs or changes in the relative order of urgency of old needs may call for a diversion of resources to new uses that leaves some resources under unlized (e g the growth of the cinema leaves theatres half empty a change over in consumption from beer to chocolates will diminish the usefulness of brewery equipment) Un certainty of this kind would atill exist even in a socialist economy

Since the degree of uncertainty will vary as between one branch of production and another any economic choice (whether of the individual consumer or of an organ of collective economy) will be a choice between economic alternatives involving uncertainties of different degrees. A rational calculus of economic costs must reflect this fact. This incans that to the elements of cost already described—wages rent, and interest—an additional charge must be made adjusted according to the degree of economic uncertainty involved in the particular branch of production

To this proposal it may be objected that the greater part of economic uncertainty can be avoided by proper planning and that the residue should be shouldered by the socialist administration as part of the inevitable overhead of social economy. But the fact that a certain amount of uncertainty is inevitable is no reason why it should be borne by the community without charge. If a consumer desires a particular commodity whose production involves uncertainty whether due to technical change or to the fickleness of demand there is no reason why he should not have it, but he should be made to contribute to the social costs incurred as the result of uncertainty

As has been already stated unavoidable economic uncertainty is due to two causes (a) technical change in methods of production (b) fickleness of consumers demand

(a) Uncertainty due to changes in methods of production could be charged for by an addition to the rate of depreciation of machinery and plant over and above the rate calculated on the basis of physical durability and of the current rate of interest. In other words not physical but technological obsolescence will be allowed for. This is in accordance with present day capitalist practice, especially in industries where technical progress is very rapid and where depreciation rates of as much as 50 per cent, are met with (in other words a

process is not considered worth employing unless its net proceeds are sufficient to repay its capital cost within two years). In fact, it would not be too much to say that depreciation has ceased to have any connexion with the physical life of fixed plant and has become (where it is not merely a device for cooking balance sheets) an element in cost corresponding to what has been here called uncertainty surcharge

(b) Uncertainty due to changeableness of demand is likely to arise chiefly in the provision of goods and services where the incalculable and frivolous element of consumera preference is most marked, such as in the supply of clothing (men s and women s) amusements aports games toys and novelties generally. The most efficient statistical service in the world will not make it possible to predict, without a large margin of uncertainty whether spots will be more popular than stripes next season how a new film or musical play will go what will be the reception of a novel a gramophone record or a me chanical Mickey Mouse In the minds of many people who think that planning can eliminate uncertainty lurks the idea that uncertainty may be abolished by abolishing consumers freedom of choice But this is like cutting off one a feet in order to keep one s socks from wearing out. The economic organization of a socialist society ahould exist to satisfy human needs variety and choice are human needs no less than so many calories of food and so many square metres of floor space. Therefore a socialist society ahould aim at providing a variety of gratifications and at permitting the ultimate consumer to choose freely between alternatives (This does not mean to say that the economic organs of a socialist community should deliberately foster caprice. It could give the general public opportunity to make experiments in the art of consumption without reproducing the capitalist world s elaborately organized futility of luxury and fashion industries It need not have an exclusive clique of dress designers con

spiring to reshape women s waists shoulders, and bosoms every six months in order to make all the clothes sold last season unwearable nor need it work up through press and cinema a sudden and hectic enthusism for a craze like mah jong or yo-yo in order to sell the necessary equipment at ten times its cost price)

On the other hand, variety and freedom of choice involves the risk that goods may be unsold or saleable only below cost this is a waste and waste must be paid for. It is only fair that the social cost of this waste should be borne by those persons on whose behalf it is incurred that is by the consumers of categories of goods whose demand is hable to more than sverage fluctuation. This could be effected by a surcharge depending on the degree of uncertainty involved in the provision of goods or service. Unless uncertainty is taken into account in social costing there will be a tendency to devote too many resources to branches of production where there is only a small chance that the product will be wanted

Goods would be divided into categories according to the fluctuation of demand ranging from staple foodstuffs and standardized machine parts upon which no uncertainty surcharge would be made up to those articles of luxury for which the demand was particularly capricious upon which the heaviest surcharge would be laid

The calculation of a surcharge for uncertainty is in general, a more difficult task than the determination of a rate of interest since it has to be done for each line of production separately. In the individualist community it is largely a matter of guesswork that is to say unconscious judgement, based on old standing habit and a mass of assimilated experience. The socialist community would for a time make use in the same way of the hunch or flair of the trained manager of industry, but it might in time evolve a statistical treatment.

of uncertainty based on the frequency distribution of sales and of price changes

(4) The Social Fund It should be obvious that these categories of rent interest and uncertainty aurcharge, &c are merely accounting prices they are not paid to any actual landowner capitalist, or risk bearing entrepreneur they form no part of any individual'a income. The accial product is composed of elements that can be distinguished analytically as the return to labour land waiting and uncertainty bearing but as regards distribution it forms a single un divided fund out of which individual shares may be carved out on any desired principle. The economic return to labour may be paid to the individual labourer this is a point that we shall take up later (Chapter IV sections 2 (5) and 3 (1)) It is definitely against the fundamental principle of the socialist commonwealth to pay rent or interest to any individual land owner or capitalist there will be none to receive such an income. Society as a whole will own and manage the means of production produced and unproduced and as a whole will appropriate the product. Rent interest uncertainty surcharges and any aurplus of the nature of profit will be paid into a fund which we may call the Social Fund into which also will go any part of the product of labour that ia not paid to the individual worker as wages. We shall consider later (Chapter IV section 5) the purposes for which payments will be made out of the Social Fund

## 4. PRICING OF PRODUCTION GOODS-COSTING

(1) Elements of costing system. On the basia of the orders sent back by selling agencies the manufacturing organizations will be able to draw up demand schedules for their products. In the case of composite demand they will aggregate a number of different demand schedules. In the case

of joint aupply they will have a separate demand schedule for each product. If the proportion in which the joint products are obtained is invariable these can be simply aggregated to obtain a demand schedule for the total output. If the proportions are variable a problem is presented which is mathematically soluble given enough observations this is to determine for each rate of output the proportions of the joint products for which the total receipts would be a maximum.

Each productive organization will be able to offer a price for the goods that it uses in the process of manufacture. In general these goods will be in joint demand, therefore their prices will not be independent. Most of them also are in composite demand. The problem of valuation is complicated but not impossible. Thus demand schedules can be established for goods of higher order and finally for the ultimate factors of production (parcels of land of known area and quality definite quantities of minerals and other natural resources and certain numbers of workers registered as willing to do certain jobs—we need not at present discuss the method of their recruitment or assume any connexion between work and pay)

The quantities of these ultimate factors are known. We will assume provisionally that they are fixed. Then for each factor the SEC will fix a price which according to the demand schedule will just ensure its full employment. Let the costs of production be calculated on the basis of these figures. Then the productive organizations will slow down or atop the production of those goods whose demand price is below the cost price and will expand the production of those goods whose demand price is above cost. Moreover the various productive agencies will find it possible on the basis of possible alternatives in methods of production to substitute one factor for another thus modifying their demand

for such factors. Ultimately by a process of successive approximation a true economic price for each factor will be established which can then be used for costing purposes wherever the factor is used. In this way the costs are imputed from the demand for the marginal product and then deputed back to all other products. Also at the same time and by the same process factors of production will be so allocated to different uses as to bring in equal returns at the margin of employment.

So far we have not considered the supply of factors of production or rather we have assumed its fixity. In the case of natural resources the supply is fixed or at least independent of market changes. (The available quantities of land or minerals may be altered by scientific discovery or exploration but are not directly responsive to changing in tensures of demand.) The supply schedules of different kinds of labour depend on the policy of the community with regard to distribution.

Three policies with regard to distribution are possible

- (1) The payments made to the individual labourer may he based on some principle entirely independent of the economic value of the labourer services. In this case the supply of each kind of lahour is independent of the demand for its products it is just the number of people that choose or are ordered to engage in that kind of work. The price of labour is in this case simply an accounting price that has no necessary relation to the actual income of the labourer but it is the price which is relevant to the calculation of lahour cost. Each worker would be rated at a certain price and this price would be used in calculating costs of alternative processes, in considering a transfer of the worker from one job to another &c. but it would not be his wages in the sense that he would receive it as his personal income
  - (ii) As an intermediste case the payments made for labour

may depend on its value without coinciding with its value. There will then be a distinction between the money paid to the worker and the accounting price of labour but there will be some relation between the value of labour and the number of workers offering themselves (See Chapter IV sections 2 (5) and 3 (1))

(iii) The community may decide to distribute part of its income as wages for work done. In this case, each worker may be paid as wages the economic value of his services as determined above and the number of workers seeking work in each kind of occupation will then be a function of the price offered. In this case, supply schedules can be drawn up for each kind of labour. Mrs. Wootton has pointed out (Lament for Economics pp 229-32) that the problem of costing will be very much easier if the worker is paid in wages the economic value of his services than if the labour market is an imaginary one as well as the capital market In particular the task of weighing against each other the different methods of production involving different combina tions of labour and capital will be greatly facilitated. More over such a procedure will be simple. Only one set of accounting prices will be necessary instead of two wages and value of labour will coincide

Whichever policy with regard to distribution be adopted there will emerge a price for each of the ultimate production goods. These are land and natural products and the different kinda of concrete labour. Two more things are necessary to a complete costing system. These are an allow ance for time apent in production (interest or discount) and an allowance for uncertainty in the form of a surcharge above the normal rate of interest or depreciation. The principles to be followed in the determination of a rate of interest and of uncertainty surcharges have already been discussed (see tion 3 sub-sections (2) and (3) of this chapter)

Prices of factors of production having been determined a rate of interest and uncertainty surcharges having been fixed the S E C would have all the necessary data for establishing a general system of costing and control throughout the productive machine. It would be possible to halance the relative advantages of competing methods of production and to compare the worthwhileness of spending resources on alternative lines of production. The principle of substitution would have full application.

In this way however arbitrary the prices at first assumed a set of prices can ultimately be established in consonance with the principles of scarcity and substitution. If factors of production are priced too high some of them will be unem ployed It too low the demand for their use will exceed their supply. Thus by adjusting prices of ultimate factors until all are just fully used the first stage of equilibrium will be achieved. Then those factors whose price has fallen will be substituted for those whose price has risen. Thus a further series of adjustments will take place. This is the second stage. Then by applying the principle of cost to finished and intermediate goods it will be seen that some are priced above cost and others below cost. As the prices of the ones are reduced and those of the others raised factors of production will be absorbed into the making of the former goods and extruded from the making of the latter This will entail a further series of adjustments making the third stage This completes the process, if the supply of ultimate factors of production is taken as fixed. Where the supply of the ultimate factors is variable this can be taken into account in a fourth stage, and further substitutions effected stages are logical not temporal. In practice all four types of adjustment would be carried on simultaneously) Thus ultimately an equilibrium will be arrived at in which full force will be allowed to consumers preferences (as reflected in the

prices of finished goods) technical conditions of production and producers preferences (as reflected in the supply of labour at different rates of pay) It would be possible to establish such a system even starting from a condition of economic chaos (such as might result from a war or from a violent revolution) in which all rational accounting had been abandoned Moreover if there is any such thing as a position of economic equilibrium inherent in the original situation, the adjustments after the first will be in a series of descend ing magnitude. The first will be the most disturbing and difficult, and afterwards the process will become progres sively easier and converge to a definite end position. There after small adjustments will be sufficient to keep the system in equilibrium except in the case of major technical innovations or of big changes in consumers taste (See Chapter  $\Gamma X$  section A(1) and A(4)

In view of the possibility of gains and losses due to unfore seen changes in demand it would be best to price goods at a small margin above cost and to apply the surplus to an equalization fund for each undertaking. All surpluses over a certain percentage of annual turnover should revert in some way to the community as a whole. Perhaps it would be convenient to divide it up part going to the organization next above in the hierarchy (thus ultimately into the Social Fund) part being used by the undertaking for small additions to plant that would not need borrowing from the general capital fund part perhaps being divided among employees in the form of a bonus, and part perhaps being divided among consumers as in the consumers co-operative movement. (See Chapter IV section 5.)

It can be shown that it is mathematically possible to determine from data that are practically obtainable the quantities and prices of a number of consumption goods and of the factors of production that combine to make them. It seems

therefore that the whole process of price determination could be resolved into a set of simultaneous equations or since only small deviations from an already established equilibrium need be considered into a problem in the calculus of variations. Given, at each end of the chain of production a free market for finished goods and for productive services the prices and quantities that would exist if the intermediate goods were sold in a market could, theoretically be determined.

The suggestion has therefore been made by the present writer that once the economic system of socialism has been set going it would be unnecessary to create in this way within the framework of the socialist community a sort of working model of capitalist production but that it would be possible to deal with the problems mathematically on the basis of the full statistical information that would be at the disposal of the SEC It is however unlikely that the method of trial and error would be replaced entirely by centralized price determination based on the solution of thousands of simultaneous equations. The reason is not so much the difficulty and delay of solving the equations at a cost of much less than what is wasted under capitalism in salesmanship advertising secret commissions and other 'frictions, the SEC could set up a machine capable of solving two or three thousand simultaneous equations. The reason is that the data themselves, which would have to be fed into the equation machine, are continually changing The conditions of demand and supply and the technical conditions of production are not static. They cannot be ex

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf Marshell Principles of Economics Mathematical Appendix Note XXI G Cassel Theory of Social Economy chiv § 16 E Barone The Ministry of Production in the Collectivist State (published in Collectivist Economic Planning ed. by F A von Hayek)

H D Dickinson Price Formation in a Socialist Community'

PRICING OF PRODUCTION GOODS-COSTING

parameters subject to perpetual alteration. To determine these parameters requires continuous observation of the primary data of the market. The economic organs of the community will be engaged all the time in gathering these data and in reporting them to the statistical organs of the S D C Since this is so it would be easier to give them at the same time the task of making the necessary adjustment of prices and quantities. The statistical determination of demand schedules would then take its proper place as an aid to and not as a aubstitute for the pedestrian method of trial and error. Even so the statistical service of a socialist community might very well undertake the task of establishing the numerical values of the constants in the Walrasian equa tions of equilibrium

(2) Average cost or marginal cost? One point that must be considered is whether in those cases where cost varies with output average cost or marginal cost should be the basis of price determination! Where goods are produced under conditions of increasing costs average cost is too low and marginal cost aecms to be indicated 2 Where diminishing cost is the rule marginal cost gives a price that does not enable all the costs of production to be covered Professor Pigou auggests that the social interest would be best served by pricing all commodities according to their marginal cost subsidizing the production of diminishing cost goods out of taxes on increasing cost goods

Variation of cost with output is of two kinds long period and short period variation. The long period is defined as the

For a discussion of this point sea Pigou, Economics of Welfare Part II ch xi and Appendix III and Robinson Economics of Imparfect Competition che il lu vil ix x and xi,

See section 1 ( ) of this chapter

period during which the organization and technical equipment of industry can be adapted to the scale of output chosen the short period as the period during which variations of output take place with organization and equipment unchanged

(1) In the long period variation of cost with scale of out put is due to two causes (a) changes in the supply price of factors of production (b) changes in the efficiency of industrial organization with changes in the volume of production Broadly speaking the price of a factor tends to rise the more of it is used thus changes under (a) always involve rising cost with increasing output while changes under (b) are of the type of economies of large scale production <sup>1</sup> and thus involve falling cost with increasing output. Hence increasing costs and diminishing costs are not exactly converse phenomena

In case (a) above the argument of section 3 (1) of this chapter applies and justifies the equating of price to marginal cost. Since, in this case marginal cost is above average cost, there arises a surplus of price above cost, in other words rent. Thus, if price be based on marginal cost, rents are imputed to all factors of production whose supply is not perfectly elastic.

In case (b) above, costs fall slowly as output increases. Thus marginal cost is somewhat above average cost. The policy of equating price to marginal cost will therefore lead to accounting losses (negative rents). Since these losses depend on permanent long period circumstances, they will have to be covered by permanent subaidies. Thus the marginal cost price policy entails the payment of subaidies to all productive organs enjoying economics of large-scale production.

¹ The difference between internal and external economics vanishes in a planned economy

If these rents are included in cost, average cost including rent becomes equal to marginal cost excluding rent

Against these subsidies can be set the rents imputed to scarce factors in industries of type (a) but there is no reason to suppose that the aggregate sum of subsidies and of rents respectively would just balance

(11) In the ahort period variation of cost with output in due to the fact that equipment and organization are properly ad justed to the scale of production only at one point (normal capacity) For outputs above this point cost rises ateeply and marginal cost is much greater than average cost. For outputs below this point cost falls fairly steeply and marginal cost is considerably less than average cost. The principle of pricing goods at short period marginal cost would mean that plants operating below their normal capacity would sell their products at prices well below average cost and would require substantial subsidies 1 while planta operating above their normal capacity would sell their products at abarply enhanced prices and would make substantial accounting profits In the one case low prices by stimulating demand would raise output towards capacity in the other case high prices would choke off demand to a point compatible with abort period technical capacity 2

Under private enterprise, competition if allowed to operate freely tends to approximate price to marginal cost in the case of increasing-cost goods and to average cost in the case of diminishing cost goods—in other words to whichever species of cost is the higher. Thus only positive rents emerge.

If the SEC decided to base its coating system on marginal rather than on average cost for all types of products (diminishing cost goods as well as increasing-cost goods) the problem

This is particularly likely to be the case where the minimum technically efficient plant in large, as in heavy industry and in most public utilities (e.g. railway transport electricity generation and postal services)

See B. F. M. Durbin. Economic Calculus in a Planned Economy Economic Journal. December 1936 and A. P. Lerner. Status and Dynamics in Socialist Economics. Economic Journal. June 1937.

would arise that in the case of goods produced under diminishing costs aggregate receipts would fall short of aggregate costs (in other words negative rents would arise) and all goods of this kind would need a subsidy. This situation might be met by the establishment of a Marginal Cost Equalization Fund into which would be paid all positive rents arising from increasing cost goods and out of which would be paid subsidies to maintain the production of diminishing cost goods. It must not be assumed that the two sets of payments incoming and outgoing would balance. Under modern technical conditions diminishing costs are far commoner than increasing costs. Therefore the Marginal Cost Equalization Fund would be permanently in debit and its net outgoings would have to be met out of the Social Fund (see Chapter IV section 5).

(1) Divergence between social costs and individual costs Socialist costing could do what individualist costing cannot do-climinate or greatly reduce the divergence be tween marginal social net product and marginal individual net product.1 Ignorance of economic opportunities would be eliminated by the publicity of a planned economic system Cost of movement of resources would be considerably reduced and many movements of resources could be made unnecessary by knowledge and foresight. In the calculation of costs allowance could be made for the items that are not included in capitalist costing and that bring about the divergence between the socially most desirable output and that which is most profitable to the individual firm. Thus the incidental benefits or disbenefits that an industry or under taking renders to others or to the general amenities of the community could be taken into account in the form of deduc tions from or additions to the cost of productioo Examples of divergence between social and individual cost are inade

See Pigou Economics of Welfare (3rd ed.) Part II ch 1-x

quate development or wasteful use of land and durable instruments of production in the hands of lessees unemploy ment caused by audden changes in industrial methods or equipment pollution of the air by smoke or chemical filmes the addition to social costs in the form of hospitals and police due to high speed motoring or to the consumption of intoxicating liquors. In these cases social costs are incurred that are not fully charged to the consumer. In other cases social benefits are conferred that are not fully compensated by a pecuniary return. Examples are afforestation. soil conserving methods of agriculture the laying out of parks and open spaces the making of roads and other transport facilities and practically all expenditure on education and research. Furthermore, the wasting of resources that occurs under free competition, due to ignorance, duplication and overlapping of effort, and lack of standardization could be eliminated without the reduction of output and consequent mal distribution of resources that is the almost inevitable concomitant of capitalist monopoly

### 5 Economic Calculation under Socialism

We must now deal explicitly with Ludwig von Mises a chief objection to socialism (and indeed to any form of planned economy). Mises holds that economic calculation is impossible under socialism since where there is no market there is no price system and where there is no price system there can be no economic calculation. Lisewhere in the same book he expresses the same proposition in other words.

Once society abandons free pricing of production goods rational production becomes impossible. Every step that leads away from private ownership of the means of production and the use of money is a step away from rational economic activity.

Without calculation economic activity is impossible. Since

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> L von Mues Socialism p 131

under Socialism economic calculation is impossible under Social ism there can be no economic activity in our sense of the word

It would no longer he possible to speak of rational production. In the absence of criteria of rationality production could not be consciously economical.

Again referring to proposals (such as those of the present work) to solve the problem of economic calculation under socialism by the creation of an artificial market for means of production he states that

it is not possible to divorce the market and its functions in regard to the formation of prices from the working of a society which is based on private property in the means of production and in which subject to the rules of such a society the landlords capital ists and entrepreneurs can dispose of their property as they think fit. For the motive force of the whole process which gives rise to market prices for the factors of production is the ceaseless search on the part of the capitalists and the entrepreneurs to maximize their profits by serving the consumers wishes. prospect of profit which directs production into those channels in which the demands of the consumer are best satisfied at least cost. If the prospect of profit disappears the mechanism of the market loses its mainspring for it is only this prospect which sets it in motion and maintains it in operation. The market is thus the focal point of the capitalist order of society it is the essence of Capitalism Only under Capitalism therefore is it possible it cannot be artificially imitated under Socialism."

As will be seen from the quotations given Mises takes up a number of slightly varying positions. One moment it is pricing in general that is impossible under socialism. Another moment he admits the possibility of pricing consumption goods but considers it impossible in the absence of independent, privately owned enterprises to extend the process to production goods. According to this view (which

is the one which he most consistently upholds) it is in the pricing of production goods (which involves among other things the problem of costing) that difficulty is alleged to occur. In Austrian terminology the real problem of socialist economics is not so much that of pricing as that of imputs tion. At other times it is the absence of the profit motive that is the main point of the charge against socialism. This argument merges with that based on the impossibility of true entrepreneurship under socialism, which will be dealt with later (Chapter IX section 3).

Mises a argument appears to involve a somewhat obvious non sequitur. It identifies the price system with the market, and the market with the existence of separate enterprises and of private ownership of production goods. This involves a confusion of the essential nature of price with its historically and institutionally qualified manifestation. Mises a assertions can be resolved into the following propositions.

- (1) Rational economic activity requires the pricing of all goods production goods as well as consumption goods
- (2) Pricing requires the existence of a market
- (3) A market requires the existence of independent owners of the goods exchanged

Accepting for the sake of argument, the first proposition we must first inquire what is involved in the notion of a price or rather what is the minimum of conditions which a price must fulfil in order to satisfy the conditions for rational economic activity. On reflection it would appear that the conditions to be fulfilled are:

(a) that with a definite physical quantity of every apecific category of goods is associated a numerical equivalent or coefficient, whose magnitude is a function of the scarcity of unit quantity of the good relative to the wants whose satisfaction is dependent on it, (b) that these coefficients may be used as a measure of the economic equivalence of goods of different kinds, as in the comparison

of qualitatively different collections of goods the substitution of one means of production for another or the computa tion of costs (c) that the system of numerical coefficients is coherent and self consistent. Now these conditions are fulfilled by any coefficients attached to goods and adjusted (if necessary by trial and error) subject to the following rules (1) at every stage of production output is to be extended up to the point at which the prices of the goods produced are equal to the sum of the coefficients of the constituent elements (n) those methods of production and those combinations of constituent elements are to be preferred which make a mini mum the sum of the coefficients of the constituent elements (ui) available supplies of ultimate production goods (goods of highest order) are to be just exhausted by the demand for them at the current coefficients. If these rules are followed by the planning authorities the coefficients will be prices fulfilling the conditions enumerated above. Regarded from this point of view the association of price with private owner ship free enterprise and the free market is an historical acci dent not a logical necessity

Let us assume that the organs of a socialistic economy adopt any arbitrary set of coefficients attached to specific categories of goods and operate with them according to the principles of price reckoning under fully competitive capitalism i.e. computing costs substituting in order to minimize cost, and extending production until selling price falls to cost. Then if in any particular case the right price had been hit upon by accident, the available quantities of the good would be just exhausted without leaving unused stocks or unsatisfied demands. Otherwise, stocks of some goods would be exhausted before all demands at that price had been met, and stocks of other goods would be left unused upon the hands of the productive organs. In the former case the price would have to be raised and in the latter case lowered. The

II

price of any factor of production would be too high just right, or too low, according as the available aupplies were incompletely utilized just drawn into employment or insufficient for current demand. Consequential readjustments would then have to be made throughout the productive system a fresh revision of prices would then be necessary, and so on. Thus by a process of repeated approximation a set of prices would emerge which would correspond to the real scarcities of the different goods and permit a rational calculation of costs and substitution equivalents.

Even if these arbitrary coefficients adopted as a starting point of a system of collectivistic pricing were absolutely arbitrary (e.g. numbers taken out of a table of logarithms and applied at random to the items in a mail order catalogue) it is claimed that by a process of trial and error a consistent and rational set of prices would finally emerge. But they would probably be chosen so as to correspond in some degree to the actual scarcities of the goods that they were attached to that is to say they would not be absolutely arbitrary but they would not be quite rational Examples of such semi arbitrary prices would be labour values prices taken over from a previous capitalist era, prices current in capitalist exchange in a neighbouring country &c.

The system of prices for production goods so obtained would dovetail with the market for consumption goods at the one end and the market for productive personal services at the other. The prices at which consumption goods were offered for sale would be base! on the prices of the goods entering into their production (costs of production) and would thus relate individual demand to the scarcity of the resources available for satisfying it. The remuneration offered for personal services would be the prices of these services and thus the individual worker a preference in the choice of occupation would be related to the intensity of the

consumers need for his services. Thus the acceptance of the first of Mises a propositions (that a price system is necessary for rational economic activity) does not necessarily imply the acceptance of the other two (that pricing requires a market and that a market requires independent ownership). In fact exchange of a kind viz the establishment of ratios of equivalence between goods can exist independently of the market and a market of a kind can exist independently of private ownership.

As to the essential nature of price Cassel who in his Theory of Social Economy treats price as the measure of scarcity 1 shows a deeper insight than the writers of the Austrian school The function of price according to him is to choke off demand down to the point at which the avail able quantity of the good is just and only just allocated among demanders. If price is too high, some of the available supply will be left over if price is too low there will not be enough to go round. We thus have a enterion for the correct ness of any given price. Once the price of any one good A is established it becomes a point of departure in the determina tion of all the prices of other goods. If A is used for the production of other goods its price becomes an element in their cost. If A is produced by means of other goods its price becomes one of the elements determining the demand for them If A is complementary to or competitive with other goods the same is true with the addition that substitution becomes possible between A and its co goods and the extent of this substitution is determined by the prices of the goods, established on the basis of scarcity

For historical and institutional reasons we associate price

See also A P Lerner Economic Theory and Socialist Economy Review of Economic Studies October 1934 and E F M Durbin Economic Calculus in a Planned Economy Economic Journal December 1936

G Cassel Theory of Social Economy ch lif (especially § 12)

with individual enterprise and exchange on the market, but the essential character and function of price exists wherever a definite numerical relation is established between unit quantities of different kinds of goods and where the numerical coefficient attached to any given good is functionally related to its degree of acarcity. It is thus independent of any particular organization of the market. Mises has confused the essence of the pricing process (the application of the scarcity principle) with the particular form under which it is manifested in the capitalist economy (the market and private ownership of production goods)

# 6 PRICE AS A MEANS OF EQUATING DISSIMILAR GOODS

Physically similar goods can be added together to give a total. Three tons of coal and six tons of coal are nine tons of coal. Two chairs plus three chairs of the same design are five chairs So a figure can be given which represents the physical volume of production and by means of which differ ent productivities can be compared. A mine that turns out 5 000 000 tons of coal per annum is more productive than one which turns out 4 000 000 But as soon as we attempt to add together dissimilar things trouble starts. What is the sum of two tables and three chairs? Is an output of 3 000 000 tons of coal+1 000 000 tons of iron ore greater or less than one of 2,500 000 tons of coal+1,500,000 tons of iron ore? Above all how can we calculate an index of aggregate social productivity or of productivity per head when the total in cludes such dissimilar items as chairs coal railway engines dish cloths and gramophone records? Things not too dissimilar can be reduced to a common denominator in terms of some common property coal of different qualities peat, and wood can be reduced to calonfic power tables and chairs can be compared on a basis of materials or labour various textile products can perhaps be brought to a common measure but the more heterogeneous the collection of goods the harder this is. The two boldest efforts in this direction are the use of labour time or of mechanical energy as a common denominator of goods of diverse sorts.

Since the end of production is use it would appear that the common measure of diverse goods should be their use values. Under capitalism price is an inadequate measure of use-value since the expression both of demand and of supply is distorted by inequality in means monopoly immobility of resources vested interests &c. But in a socialist community price would be a fair working measure of social use value and so physically dissimilar goods could be compared on the basis of their relative prices. In fact no other basis seems to be possible.

There is however an apparent contradiction in this method due to the so-called paradox of value whereby as the physical volume of output rises the value per unit falls, and finally (at least in some cases) the aggregate value falls in such a way that real wealth and value move in contrary directions This contradiction can be resolved by the use of suitable index numbers of production or by measuring the volume of production in terms of the aggregate values of goods at a stable price level. Methods of calculating index numbers of aggregate volumes of production are described by I Fisher in his Making of Index Numbers (Generally speaking corre aponding to every index number of general prices there is an index number of production) The general principle underlying all these index numbers is that, while the different goods in a heterogeneous collection are compared on the basis of their relative market prices, an increase in the total quantity of goods is accompanied by an increase in the index number Thus, if the number of goods were reduced to one

In spite of the difficulties (more theoretical than practical) in the way of constructing index numbers, some sort of index number based on relative prices is the only way of obtaining a measure for the magnitude of a collection of heterogeneous goods shoes, ships, sealing wax, cabbages, and chromo-lithographs of kings

#### CHAPTER IV

# DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME--ALLOCATION OF LABOUR

#### 1 INTRODUCTORY

THE socialist community has organized the production of goods. It must now proceed to organize the distribution of the product. Since the means of production are owned and operated by organs of the whole community the entire product is at the community's disposal to allocate as in its collective wisdom it thinks bust. But the whole product of industry is not available for consumption a deduction must first be made for new capital accumulation (what in an individualist society is called savings, or more accurately, investment) 1 The remainder the net consumable product must then be divided into two portions corresponding to the divisions of communal and individual consumption Since the free market has been adopted for the latter division it is clear that, in order to establish equilibrium in the price system as a whole the smount of purchasing power or aggregate money income distributed to individuals during any given period must be equal in the aggregate to the sum of the prices of goods offered for sale in the division of individual consumption during the same period (See Chapter VIII, section 2)

In the method of distribution of individual income to its citizens a socialist community would have a certain range of choice. It might choose precisely equal distribution, or a system of money allowances based on presumed needs, or a system of paying the worker according to the economic value of his labour or a mixture of all three methods. To persons whose habits of thought have been formed in the environ

ment of capitalism the third will appear the only feasible method of distribution. Such persons should reflect that even under capitalism the second method is in use although to a very limited extent e.g. in the form of old age pensions.

In a socialist system there is no essential connexion be tween the value of labour and the payment of a sum of money to a labourer For purposes of accurate costing it is necessary to know the value imputed to human effort from the ultimate consumption goods in which it is embodied but this might be used for accounting purposes only each worker being rated at a certain figure for purposes of time sheets and transfers from one job to another Under the system of cost accounting developed in the previous chapter an economic value will be imputed to various concrete kinds of labour power as well as to land and natural resources, and the services of produced means of production. It is clear that the return to labour might be dealt with in the same way as the return to natural resources and waiting that is to say it might all go into the Social Fund. Thus all earnings would be pooled and divided up on some principle other than that of imputed value, such as that of equal incomes for all, or that of payment according to need

The principle of distributing personal income on a basis of making equal provision for equal need would result in a system of roughly equal payments to all adults, with graduated allowances to children modified perhaps by additional payments to individuals whose needs are abnormal (e.g. expectant and nursing mothers) or whose occupations require special facilities or make special demands (a manual labourer needs more food than a sedentary worker a novelist or artist more opportunity for travel than the average person). Its effect will be very similar to that of the method of exact mathematical equality and very different from that of the method of paying labour according to its imputed economic

value The advantage of the equal payment system is that it satisfies mankind a deep lying sentiment of justice. The main disadvantage of such a system is that it deprives the community of the very useful method of regulating the supply of labour to different occupations by means of changes in the remuneration offered. If accompanied by free choice of job it will lead to great scarcity of labour in some occupations and to a redundance in others as compared with the social need for such labour. If not accompanied by free choice of job it will defeat one of the chief ends of a socialistic reorganization of society economic freedom for the ordinary worker. It will also introduce considerable difficulties into the problem of social costing.

## 2 THE ALLOCATION OF LABOUR BETWEEN OCCUPATIONS

How then can the supply of labour be regulated so as to direct the labouring power of the community to various occupations in accordance with social needs? Five methods may be suggested

(1) Compulsory allocation of tasks. This is the method of military organizations and is also resorted to in great civil emergencies such as floods famines and earth quakes. For ordinary times it is too repugnant to the common man's sense of freedom to be practicable. Moreover as any one knows very well who has had experience of military organization especially in work of a non military kind, it is incapable of maintaining a steady rate of efficient work, and it inevitably breeds evasion and fraud. Finally, it is of a hit and miss type. Under it there is no possibility of comparing different workers fitness for alternative occupations. A characteristic example of the sporadic application of this method was seen in the USSR, some years ago when on the occasion of a transport crisis a decree of the Commissariat of Labour went forth that any one who had ever

AI LOCATION OF LABOUR BETWEEN OCCUPATIONS

worked on the railway and was now in a different employ ment was to be dismissed from his present job and mist report himself within a week to the nearest railway station. Among the persons so conscilbed for railway service there would probably be many who had found congenial work in other industries at which they had become efficient and socially useful while on the railway they might be unskilled labourers whereas there would be many persons not affected by the decree who would be fitter for railway service, and who would serve more willingly. To this method of regulating the supply of labour apply objections similar to those which apply to the method of rationing for the supply of consumption goods. Like rationing it is clumsy and incapable of fine adjustment destructive of freedom and tolerable only in cases of grave emergency.

(2) Moral suasion. It might be possible by exhortstions and alogans posters of the King and Country Need You type and other appeals to a sense of civic duty to elicit the necessary supply of labour and direct it to the desired quarter but enthusiasm so generated although it may suffice to tide the community over an emergency soon cools off and the efforts so evoked will quickly become as slack and intermittent as those provided under a system of compulsion In the USSR although slogans and exhortation by speeches and newspaper articles are atill much used and although special tasks are still achieved by means of subbot niks (voluntary work on rest days) nevertheless the main emphasis is now being laid on more concrete forms of suasion—badges of honour extra funds for social amenities in the factory and more wages for individual workers

(3) The raising and lowering of qualifications for entry Just as at the present time an excess of medical students (an excess that is to say, from the point of view of the medical profession s privileged standard of living rather

than that of the health needs of the community) is countered by raising the standard of examinations for medical degrees, so a strictly equalitarian socialist community could raise the minimum standard of competence required from the aspirants to the most eagerly sought after occupations. A rush of enthusiastic would be aviators and dramatic critics could be countered by a atringent process of selection that would reject all below the standard of a Kingston Smith or a William Archer This method has, however its limitations For one thing it is uneconomic it will result in an unnecessarily high degree of competence being exacted in the more attractive occupations and a serious shortage of even moderately efficient people in the less attractive ones. For another thing it does not work equally well both ways, one can scarcely meet a duinclination to enter a given profession by lowering standards. If the discipline and unpleasantnesses of hospital life deter incipient nurses, it would not be very practicable to recruit the profession from people who had failed to pass the entrance requirements of the cardboard box making industry. Nursing must be made more attractive This hrings us to the fourth and fifth methods.

(4) The offer of non pecuniary incentives It would be possible to vary what Marshall calls the net advantages of different occupations relatively to each other, so as to attract workers into some and deter them from entering others while still preserving equality of pecuniary remuneration. Money earnings are not the only incentives that can induce workers to prefer one job to another. The relative attractiveness of different jobs could be adjusted in various ways such as by varying the amounts of leisure privileges or the insignia of honour attached to different occupations. Also appeals to public spirit, to the desire for adventure or to the apint of emulation (personal or professional) in achievement rather than in money earnings (what in the

USSR is called socialist competition) might be a potent way of achieving a desired allocation of labour without unduly stressing inequality in personal earnings. In this connexion it is worth remarking the development in the USSR of titles such as People's artist or 'honoured author' and of orders of economic chivalry such as the Order of Lenui the Order of Labour.

(5) The offer of pecuniary incentives The last method to be described is the method characteristic of exchange economy (petty commodity production and capitalism) It is to offer to the worker in return for productive services definite quantities of general purchasing power (money) at rates which vary with the intensity of the demand for his services. This means, in other words that the remuneration offered to the worker corresponds to the imputed economic value of his services. It need not necessarily equal the latter but would rise as it rises and fall as it falls. The adoption at any rate in part, of the system of paying each labourer according to the value of his labour would simplify the tasks of recruitment and transference of labour as well as the problem of labour discipline. In this case free choice of occupation would be compatible with an allocation of labour in accordance with the economic needs of the community labour being attracted by higher earnings to places where it was in demand and being warned off from unwanted occupations by a shrinkage in earnings. The advantages of such a system are in the first place its flexibility and in the second place the acope it gives to individual taste and preference. If more of a certain kind of labour is required the wages offered for it can be raised until the necessary supply

See the present author a article on The Economic Basis of Socialism in the Political Quarterly Sept -Dec 1930

For wages under socialism see Wieser Natural Value bk in ch vii bk iv ch, x also Cassel a Theory of Social Economy ch viii, § 38

is forthcoming. No one is forced to enter the trade—those who do are those who at the new rate of psy prefer that occupation to others. In the case of the Russian transport situation just mentioned the offer of a higher rate of pay plus a bonus to ex railwaymen on re-engagement would have elicited an adequate aupply of suitable labour without taking men from jobs in which they had acquired a higher degree of skill or become endued with greater responsibility than would be required from them in the railway service (on the assumption that skill and responsibility were paid for in other occupations too).

Under this method which more than any other promotes freedom of occupational choice the human effort required in production is hired by the community under contracts of service freely entered into by its citizens. The proceeds of these contracts form part of the purchasing power at the disposal of citizens (i.e. of their income) and will be called Wages.

This method of regulating the supply of labour through the payment of wages and salaries may be combined with some of the other methods. Thus it would be possible also to alter the non-pecuniary attractions of different callings to revise the entrance qualifications required for an occupation and to appeal to a sense of public spirit and civic duty. In fact all ways could be used except that of forced labour—and even this would be held in reserve by a socialist society (as by any other society) for grave public emergencies

It is interesting to observe the development of money wages in the Soviet Union. After a period during which equality of wages was aimed at (though never fully achieved), a phase has now been entered marked by definite and deliberate differentiation of wages on the bans of individual output or effort of the social utility of the work and of the relation of the supply of labour to the demand for it in the occupation. Even orders of economic chivalry are now accompanied by money pensions. The most recent drive towards increased efficiency of labour, the Stakhanovite movement, is characterized by very high individual earnings for workers who achieve high output or otherwise do good work.

# 3 WAGES UNDER SOCIALISM

(1) Methods of payment Assuming that the method of wages is adopted the next point that arises is whether wages should be equal to the economic value of labour power or should merely be variable in the same direction. We have seen (Chapter III, section 4 (1)) that, once the principle of absolute equality is abandoned there are very good reasons for paying the whole economic value of labour as personal wages, except perhaps in a few special cases.

Once the principle is admitted of paying to the worker as wages the economic value of his services there seems to be no valid reason in principle for objecting to any particular system of wage payments

Time rates piece rates bonuses on output to non process workers and other methods of payment by results all may bave their part. Premium bonus systems of the kind that cuts the earnings per piece as the output rises should be banned since they are contrary to the sound economic principle that the faster the worker works the less use per piece he makes of the time, space, and machinery with which be works and that consequently the higher is the value of his work per piece. In any case careful time study should remove all cause for rate cutting

Piece rates obviously must be fixed by some quick and simple workshop procedure. Here a combination of the specialist on the managerial side (Taylor a 'time boss' and rate boss') with the men a representative of the shop-steward type should provide the elementary mechanism but there must be appeal to some higher arbitral body which will also have the function of laying down general principles of rate fixing. Scientific methods of job analysis and motion study together with an absence of profit seeking on the part

<sup>1</sup> See however section 5 of this chapter

of the management, should make rate fixing easier than it is in most industries to-day

A standard working day should be fixed for manual workers and non responsible brain workers. The three shift (with eight-hour working day) or four-shift (with six hour working day) system where it can be introduced econonuzes fixed plant. Every worker should be guaranteed regu lar days of rest (not necessarily all workers on the same day) and annual holidays with pay Overtime should be paid at higher rates as an economic inducement to the productive organs to avoid it and if the worker is required to work on his normal rest day such work should be penalized by still higher rates. But careful planning of production ought to reduce overtime and work on rest days to a minimum. In stead of extra pay to compensate for work involving special hardship or danger (e.g. so-called dirty money in boiler scaling and allowances for hot or cramped places in mines) it would be better to offer ahorter hours or extra holidays on full pay Extra pay sometimes tends to create a vested in terest in overtime or in bad working conditions

The fixing of an actual rate of wages for any given kind of work or of a piece rate for a given job will have to be effected by methods not essentially different from those in use at present. Some form of collective bargaining between the organs of collective enterprise representative of the citizens interests qua consumers and the trade unions representative of the citizens interests qua workers, will have to be established. It is difficult to say in advance which will be better a system resembling the British type of Conciliation Board composed entirely of representatives of the parties themselves except for an impartial chairman appointed by mutual agreement or a system resembling the Australian Arbitration Court, which is a permanent and professional judicial body before which the parties present their respec

tive cases according to a quasi litigous procedure. There is also room in any system of collective bargaining for the expert professional administrator of the Ministry of Labour type

The general principles that any arbitral organ will have to apply in problems of wage determination are the following (1) a shortage of labour in any particular job affords a prima facie case for raising the standard wage (2) unemployment in any particular job affords a prima facie case for lowering the standard wage (3) the net advantages (wages hours holidays public esteein &c) of any occupation should be as near as possible the same as those in any other occupation calling for the same degree of hardship skill and responsibility (4) differences in hardship skill and responsibility should correspond to differences in net advantages. Principles (1) and (2) correspond to short period influences principles (3) and (4) to long period influences in the supply of labour. Where short period and long period indications are in conflict, the former should receive priority.

- (2) Regional variations in wages The possibility of variations in the basic rates of wages in different regions must now be considered. These may exist in three cases (a) according to variations in the cost of living (b) according to variations in the relative supply of labour, (c) according to variations in the efficiency of labour.
- (a) The first case is parallel to the differences between wage rates in different towns sanctioned by many British trade unions. Not only might different basic rates be sanctioned by a socialist community as between one town and another or as between town and country but also as between different regions of the socialist territory where the latter included great varieties of climate and physical features. To give equal net advantages to workers in Naples Tromso Solingen and Stalingrad would involve the adjustment of money wages to the different costs of various foodstuffs, to

the cost of housing under conditions suitable to the locality and to the opportunities for aport and culture. (The actual calculation of basic rates would entail the difficulties known to compilers of index numbers in comparing expenditures on qualitatively different collections of goods.)

- (b) Where the SEC desired to promote the economic development of an under populated region it might very well fix for it temporarily a scale of wages that would give the worker net advantages above the average for his trade and grade in order to promote voluntary migration thither. In the same way labout might be persuaded by low basis rates to move out of relatively over populated areas
- (c) Where the socialist community included peoples of very different cultural levels and where the economic value of their work varied considerably it would be impossible to establish the same basic wage rates for all of them. Although every effort would doubtless be made by education and in vestment of new capital to bring the backward elements up to the same level of industrial efficiency as the rest of the population, it would be necessary as a temporary measure to sanction lower rates of wages for them than for the more advanced elements. If for instance the British Empire be came a union of socialist republics it would be a long time before the same real wage scale could be applied to Indian and to Canadian workers. A low basic rate in a given region. would of course tend to promote emigration but if the average level of industrial efficiency in the region were low few emigrants would be able to hold their own among the more advanced peoples amongst whom they settled That efficient individuals should do so would be all to the good But it would be necessary for the SEC to make special plans for the raising of the efficiency of the backward people as a whole in order to achieve a maximum of economic wel fare throughout the community

In the two latter cases (b) and (c) deviations from equality of real wages are indicative of a failure to reach equilibrium. It should be the policy of the SEC to bring about equilibrium as soon as possible and so to remove the need for such inter regional inequalities.

Closely akin to the subject of regional variations in wage rates is that of regional variations in the hours of labour Differences in the real standard of living due to causes (b) and (c) above may connote not only variations in real wages but also variations in the workers relative urgency of demand for leisure and for the fruits of labour (See Chapter IX section 2 (3)) In such cases local variations in hours of work should be permitted as tending to maximize real satisfaction. Here again since the ultimate object of the SEC should be to equalize the standard of life of different portions of the community as quickly as possible, these variations should be smoothed out in the course of time.

(3) Changes in demand for labour. It is clear that a socialist community if it desires to make the best use of the resources at its disposal must pay special attention to the economic allocation of labour to jobs. If it is dopts the principle of free choice of occupation at a wage it must above all, avoid the temptation to retain more men in a particular job than are really needed either by subsidizing particular trades and occupations at the expense of the whole community or by employing more men than are needed at a wage lower than the normal rate. The latter case is specially liable to occur in the case of agriculture. In practically all capitalist countries agricultural labour is worse paid than industrial labour. This is due in part to the relatively large toll taken by parasites of various kinds—landlords moneylenders.

For a discussion of inter regional variations in real wages and in standards of leisure see Chapter VIII of L. Robbins s Economic Planning and International Order.

middlemen &c -but in part also to inadequate mechanical equipment and the continued use of primitive labour wasting methods of production Judged by the test of marginal productivity agriculture in all capitalist countries is not under but over manned. Instead of siming at the most efficient use of human labour power and the highest output per worker (which would probably mean a diminution of the number employed) public policy usually sims at making work for the largest possible number of men on the land (especially in countries that have a large peasant population) This excessive number is maintained in agriculture at the cost of a low marginal productivity per worker occupied. If there is no subsidy to agriculture in the way of tariffs and quotas (and even if there is such a subsidy in many cases) the uneconomic surplus of men is maintained in the industry at a low level of real wages. Thus in effect, the uneconomic employment is subsidized out of the earnings of the workers themselves. In a capitalist state this is sometimes justified by the plea that the men are better working on the land at low wages than totally unemployed. In a planned socialist community this excuse would have no validity the men would be needed to produce other goods and services which would be in demand after the community a needs in the way of food and agricultural products had been satisfied

In some cases subsidies to an industry may be justified—temporary ones in the case of sudden large changes in demand and in technical methods, permanent ones in the case of a permanent discrepancy between market demand and real social utility—but subsidies whose effect is simply to keep at vork in an industry an unnecessarily large number of people at a low rate of productivity per head must be ruthlessly opposed

The most serious problems in connexion with labour arise when, owing to changes in consumers demand or to the

introduction of improved machinery and organization the demand for a given kind of labour falls off. The immediate effect of such a happening is partly a fall in the economic value of labour and so of wages and, partly actual unemploy ment or short time The number of workers originally em ployed could be offered work at a sufficiently reduced wage but this is objectionable on both social and economic grounds On social grounds because a lowering in wages (even when wages is not the workers sole source of income) causes dis content on economic grounds because it perpetuates an un economic allocation of labour to different occupations. The true solution is an outflow of workers from the affected trade until the economic return of labour of the particular quality under consideration is once more equal in all occupations. This transfer will in part he effected automatically through the voluntary response of workers to the change in the remunera tion offered but to a large extent the transfer must be organ ized systematically. The SEC must decide what other occupations need labour and what openings are suitable for the particular quality of labour displaced. It must plan the transport and rehousing of the transferred workers and most important it must arrange for their retraining. Every reduction in the demand for labour in one direction should he accompanied by a definite offer of work of equivalent skill and amenity in another direction. This policy implies that as invention and improved organization makes less labour necessary to satisfy human wants society should set itself to discover new wants to satisfy Of course at some time a point will be reached at which the utility of increased lessure is greater than that of increased consumption When this point is reached the hours of labour should be reduced and the days of holiday increased. But this should be done uniformly throughout all industry. It is in accordance neither with economic equilibrium nor with social justice to

reduce hours in one industry simply because the demand for labour in that particular industry has fallen off while other industries work as long hours as before

# 4 WAGES AND EQUALITY

It will be objected to the method of basing individual income on the value of work done that it is incompatible with the principle of equality. To this it may be answered firstly that a rigid and arithmetical equality is no part of the socialist programme secondly that even if personal income was based solely on earnings inequality would be less than in capitalist society, thirdly that this method of distribution might be modified by a system of taxes and allowances based on one or other of the alternative principles.

(a) The essence of accialism is the abolition of class rather than the achievement of perfect equality of income. The origin rather than the amount of income is here the decisive moment. A society in which the means of production were socially appropriated and income from ownership had disappeared would be a socialist society even though it tolerated considerable personal inequality. It would not involve exploitation and the inequality that it tolerated would be personal inequality and not class inequality. Nevertheless we must admit that large personal inequalities would tend to destroy the character of a socialist society by laying the foundations of a new class stratification.

In an originally class less society economic classes can arise out of inequalities in work incomes, given either of two conditions (i) purchase of the means of production (ii) preference in the recruitment of personnel for high paid work given to persons already doing such work (or to persons associated with them in a narrow group such as blood relations former school fellows &c). The first condition is excluded ex hypothesi in a socialist economy. (A socialist

community that tolerated amall scale capital less private enterprise as considered in Chapter VI would have to be specially vigilant lest capitalism re-emerge from auch a matrix.) The second condition might be fulfilled in a accialist economy. Only free political institutions and vigilance on the part of the lower paid workers will prevent new class stratifications from emerging in this way. (We may add that so long as educational opportunities are effectively open to all irrespective of their means this danger is small. This seems a sufficient answer to those critica of the U.S.S.R. who assert that a new bourgeoisie is arising in that country.) It appears therefore that it is desirable to achieve a large measure of personal equality of actual income as well as of equality of opportunity. We shall see how a large measure of personal equality can be attained in practice.

(b) If obstacles to the mobility of labour were removed and if education and vocational training were really free and available to all who cared to take advantage of them the aupply of kinds of labour that are to-day scarce (akilled and professional labour) would probably increase and its economic value fall so reducing the inequality in personal earn ings Under such a system variations in personal income would be very different to those that actually exist in a world in which inequality of parents means, and not inherent scarcity of natural abilities is the chief source of disparities in wages and salaries. In a socialist community it is possible that the dirty and unpleasant occupations would come to be the best paid instead of as at present the worst that a cabinet minister or a bank director niight elect to serve for a few months as a dustman or a lavatory attendant in order to get enough money for a holiday trip

Nevertheless so long as the worker is remunerated ac cording to the economic value of the work done, some incomes will be markedly greater than the general average

These incomes will be composed chiefly of rent of ability 1 However they will be much fewer than in capitalist society Most large earned incomes in the present system are depen dent on the existence of large unearned incomes or on the competitive activities of large capitalistic concerns e.g. the earned incomes of society portrait painters of fashionable physicians and surgeons of corporation lawyers and of advertising experts But even in an equal opportunity so ciety of the kind here outlined where personal income was for the most part roughly equal some individuals with a genius for appealing directly to large numbers of peoplee g a popular writer, singer comedian lecturer or artistwould earn big incomes. Since these incomes would be of the nature of a rent—that is the return to a factor whose supply is independent of its remuneration—they could bear a heavy weight of taxation without drying up the supply of the services which they remunerated Such people as Edgar Wallace Gracie Fields or Harold Lloyd would probably maintain the output of their services even if they were quite heavily taxed provided they retained some portion of their more-than average earning power

(c) Even though value of service is the main principle involved in the distribution of individual income the principles of equal division or of distribution according to need may also find a place. Thus the existence of a large number of free social services (medical cultural and recreational) would diminish inequalities in real income due to inequalities in money estraigs. In addition to providing social services free of charge, the community may decide to distribute a portion of its income to individuals in the form of freely disposable purchasing power. Thus a certain minimum in come per head (the vagabond wage) might be a first charge

For a discussion of Rent of Ability see the present author's Institutional Revenue chi v § 49

upon industry. Certain payments according to need such as children a allowances mothers allowances old age pen sions pensions to the blind and crippled would come under this head. This element in income would not belong strictly speaking to the division of communal consumption because the purchasing power would be spent individually but the cost of it would be borne by a general levy on the income of society like the rest of the communal division. It would come out of the Social Fund of that was insufficient, out of a proportionate deduction from earnings. Thus each individual in a socialist community might receive part of the national income as a fixed money allowance—a real national dividend-paid to him regularly throughout his life irrespective of his efforts and deserts. Varying in amount according to the time of the recipient's life it would be in succession a child a allowance a subsistence grant for scholars and students a vagabond wage for adult workers (which would make any special unemployment insurance payments superfluous) and an old age pension. It could be supple mented by special allowances in the event of invalidity blindness and other disabilities

Complementary to this system of allowances would be a system of progressive taxation on large incomes. Inequality could be reduced by a steeply graduated surtax levied on all earnings over a certain amount. (This tax would go into the Social Fund.)

# 5 GENERAL SCHEME OF DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME

It is clear that in a socialist society the return to natural resources interest on capital and the surcharge on uncertainty are mere accounting terms. They would be calculated as described above (Chapter III section 3 (1)-(3)) hut, instead of accruing directly to individuals as personal income

they would be paid into a general fund to be at the disposal of the community as a whole. This is what has been called the Social I und (Chapter III section 3 (4)) into it would also go all net surpluses of selling price above cost realized by the productive and marketing organs the proceeds of taxation on socially undesirable lines of consumption and the proceeds of taxation on abnormally high personal carnings.

The balance of the social product after deduction of the Social Fund is the product of labour. It does not however follow that this balance is the net sum available for distribution as personal income. It is conceivable that either more or less than this sum would be so available. For the whole product of industry cannot be distributed as personal in come. There are two prior charges on the aggregate social income. One is espital accumulation, the other is the cost of communal consumption. The obvious source for these is the Social Fund. If this fund is not sufficient, then a levy must be inade on the product of labour. If on the other hand, the fund is more than sufficient to supply all the needs of the community for social services and capital formation, then the balance may be distributed among the citizens as individual income.

If the Social Fund happened to be exactly equal to the sum of the amounts required for capital accumulation communal consumption and personal allowances then each worker could be paid in wages (as distinct from personal allowances) the exact economic value of his labour. If this coincidence was not achieved then two cases arise either the Social Fund falls short of the sum of the claims made upon

If the principle of being price on marginal cost, instead of average cost were adopted for diminishing-cost goods (Chapter III section 4 (2)) the (debit) balance of the Marginal Cost Equalization Fund would be a further charge upon the Social Fund

tor it exceeds that sum. In the first case the Social Fund must he augmented out of the product of labour. A levy must be made on earnings. This might be either proportionate to actual wages or salary or graduated progressively (it would be in addition to the special surtax on abnormally high eurnings). In the second case part of the Social Fund would have to be distributed either by increasing capital investment by expanding the social services or by making a cash dividend. The latter could take the form either of a proportionate bonus on wages a graduated (progressive or regressive) bonus on wages or a flat rate allowance per head (a true Social Dividend).

The general picture of distribution is then of a society in which the imputed product of land capital and uncertainty bearing and a portion of that of exceptional personal gifts would be paid into the Social Fund. Subject possibly to a levy for new capital and for social services, the imputed product of labour (wages) would be paid over to the worker under contracts of employment with the organs of collective economy forming part of his individual income. A large measure of equality in wage income could be attained partly by maintaining the fullest equality of opportunity partly by the development of social services, and partly by special taxation on high incomes.

Thus the total economic advantages enjoyed by an individual would be composed of two parts one the use of the free goods and services (communal consumption) the other the goods and services purchased on the market (individual consumption). The money income to purchase the latter purchase might also consist of two parts one would be earnings (less taxes and levies) for work done for the community the other would be a payment irrespective of work done in the form of allowances based on need or of a Social Dividend.

## 6 WOMEN 8 WORK AND WAGES

It is worth noting that the system of distribution of income here described (the payment of earned income or wages involving a moderate degree of inequality supplemented by free social services and personal allowances payable from birth) is the only one which renders possible the achievement of the feminists ideal of equal pay for work of equal value irrespective of sex 1. The variability of earnings according to the value of labour will make possible the free choice of occu nation the free services and the payment of personal allow ances will equalize the economic advantages of workers of either sex who have different numbers of dependants. In the absence of such services and allowances (or even in their presence if the inequality of carned incomes is great enough) the male wage earner with dependent children will be driven to demand higher earnings than his female colleague and to exclude women from the better paid posts. But, under the system described above it will be possible to open up all occupations to both sexes on equal terms and to permit the selection of workers on the basis of their personal merits without penalizing large families or unduly favouring the bachelor or the spinster Only so will it be possible for women to make a really free choice based on personal prefer ences and abilities between the alternatives (a) mother hood (b) industrial or professional career (c) the combination of both activities

These matters of the right to work equal pay for equal work and the payment of sdequate family allowances are those most relevant to the scope of the present book. Other matters—hours and conditions of labour maternity leave the administration of the social services—are not less important to the welfare of women and children but they cannot

See E Rathbone The Dinnherited Family

be discussed in detail here. The example of the USSR shows how much in this direction can be done by a socialist community even when it is still by occidental standards relatively poor. It would appear that only under socialism can the feminist realize the aspiration that women should become fully human beings and enjoy full citizen rights in a community of human beings.

## 7 POPULATION

We have so far considered the problem of the supply of labour as one of allocating a given supply among different occupations that is to say the problem of the supply of labour in particular. There now remains the problem of the total quantity of labour forthcoming that is to say of the supply of labour in general.

Within certain limits the effective supply of labour as a whole can vary without a change in the total population. Thus changes in the number of hours that people are willing to work in the holidays that they demand in the ages at which people normally enter and retire from industry in the relative number of women offering themselves for gainful employment are some of the ways in which the supply of labour as a whole can be stimulated or checked. But these means are effective only within narrow limits to effect greater variations in the aggregate supply of labour the size of the whole population will have to be varied. And the means that will have to be used to influence the numbers of the total population are quite different from those which in fluence the supply of labour in other ways.

Some people will object that since every consumer is also a producer the absolute inagnitude of the population is of no importance and the only things that matter are the proportion be tween workers and non-workers and the allocation of workers to different occupations. According to this view there is no

diffurence except one of scale between a socialist community of a million persons and one of a hundred million persons the latter would be just the former multiplied one hundred times

This point of view however ignores two things. One is a static and the other a dynamic consideration (1) The static consideration is the twofold relation of population (a) to land and natural resources (b) to produced means of production (a) An increase in the number of people living in the same limited area of ground will entail a more intensive use of natural resources and a fall in their yield per unit of human effort expended ou them If this were not so then the entire human race could be supported on the proceeds of cultivating a single acre of ground (b) On the other hand given a certain equipment of fixed plant an increase in the number of people directly or indirectly making use of it will make it necessary to spread the costs of maintaining this equipment over a larger number of persons and so will decrease the unit cost of maintaining a given level of output per head. This proposition is true of all the capital equipment of industry but it is especially applicable to such social capital as roads railways telegraphs and telephones supplies of water gas and electricity all of which may be termed the overhead costs of civilized existence. Between these two tendencies-which are our old friends Diminishing Returns and Increasing Returns—there must be at some point or other a balance That is to say for a country of given size and natural resources for a given accumulation of instrumental goods and for a given level of scientific and technological knowledge, there must be a population of a determinate magnitude such that the output per head obtainable with the most suitable use of labour and other means of production is greater than for a population of any other magnitude. For a larger population the law of diminishing returns to land and natural resources would indicate a lessened product

per head for a smaller population the greater burden of social overhead would diremish product per head. The population for which output per head is a maximum may be termed the optimum population. With changes in the quantity of accumulated resources and in scientific knowledge and industrial technique, the maximum per capital product and with it the optimum population will change As accumulation increases and knowledge advances the maximum product will increase. But it is not certain in what direction the optimum population will move. It may be that to take full advantage of technical imprevenient and the increase of material equipment population should decline a little, or it may be that population hould advance a little Generally however, we may expect the optimum population to use with economic progress.

(a) The dynamic consideration is the fact that the more rapid the rate of population growth the greater is the annual provision that must be in ide out of current resources for the annual increment of new citizens. Means of production must be existed for their useful employment, houses and schools must be built incline of trunsport and other public services must be developed outline a given period of time. Thus, a population of such a size is considered in its static relation to natural resources and other means of production would be compatible with quite ringle standard of life inight not be so compatible if it were growing rapidly

A society organized economically on rational lines should am at control of the quantity of the population so as to achieve the maximum product per head that is to say to am at the optimum population. The size of the population depends ultimately on two factors, natality and mortality

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Measured not by the *cruls* birth rate and death rate respectivel but by the *corrected* birth rate and death rate (corrected for variation in the extend age composition of the population)

Since it may be assumed that the lowest possible mortality would be aimed at by a society that attempted to guarantee the highest possible standard of life to its members it follows that natality is the only factor that can be viried in order to regulate the size of the population. In other words control of population is in the last analysis control of the birth rate.

In the matter of population a socialist commonwealth can not, in principle follow a policy of latter faire. If society guarantees every individual born into its fold not only subsistence but a substantially equal share in the social product, then society cannot be indifferent to the number and quality of the individuals that come to claim its bounty. Thus we are faced with a twofold problem quantitative and qualitative

(a) To take the quantitative problem first, there are two opposite dangers to which a socialist society might be liable One is a high birth rate leading to over population (in relation to the optimum) the other is a low birth rate leading to under population and finally to extinction. Since the days of Malthus one of the stock objections to schemes of communistic reorganization of society has been that once a livelihood is guaranteed to every member of the community from birth irrespective of his or her parents abilities and resources the only incentive to a prudent restraint in the matter of procreation is removed and the community will increase in numbers by reckless breeding. The relation of workers to natural resources will become progressively less favourable to production so that the standard of life of the community however high it may originally have been will fall steadily until it reaches the point of bare subsistence. where a rising death rate will arrest the increase in numbers No improvements in the technical arts of production although they may delay the onset of the evil hour will avail in the long run to avert the consequences of over population

The means of checking an undesirably high rate of multiplication are twofold partly in awakening in the people tastes for comforts and amenities which will compete with the pleasures of philoprogenitiveness partly in inforcing strict individual responsibility of parents for the upkeep of their children Under the first heading it may be noted that the development of extra domestic pursuits and entertainments and in particular, the participation by women on equal terms with men in intellectual political and sporting interests all tend to weaken the desire for numerous offspring since even if the community assumes the whole material burden of their support, the burden of responsibility and of being tied to the nursery still remains on the parents especially on the mother. If in spite of counter attractions, the flood of babies still tends to submerge the community's accepted standard of comfort, more drastic methods must be adopted H G Wells writing at a time when men did not yet think that the Malthusian devil had been safely chained up sug gested that a community which accepted responsibility for the education and for part of the maintenance of every child born into the world would have to impose upon the pros pective parents definite conditions of health good conduct intelligence, and economic efficiency. The latter condition may be interpreted as ability to carn an income of a prescribed amount above the minimum. Individuals who not fulfilling these conditions nevertheless became parents would be subject by law to some form of deterrent treatment and forced to contribute out of their income as much as they could of the cost of maintaining their offspring 1

The bulk of the world's population still lives under conditions that conform to Malthus's thesis. Before these peoples can be incorporated into a socialist society their way of life must be changed. In some cases education and the

opportunity of a higher attendard of life would effect this. In other cases however, powerful social forces, especially religion are opposed to a rational control of population. The religious beliefs of Hindus and Chinese and perhaps to a less extent, of Roman Catholics and Jews are incompatible with the existence of a socialist acciety such as is here described

But recent social trends suggest that the danger besetting a socialist community would be not over population but under population Modern communities of the occidental type are all rapidly approaching or have already reached a level of fertility which is insufficient even with the lowest possible death rate to prevent the population from actually declining. The net reproduction rate defined as the number of female children born to a thousand females in the course of their child bearing lives is falling or has fallen below 1 000 This is a fact as to its explanation opinions differ It may be the insecurity and pessimiain engendered by capitalism in its decline—the unwillingness of people to bring children into a world threatened by unemployment, fascism and war. It may be the competitive struggle for wealth and social position and the continuous emulation by every social group of the atandarda of living of the group just above it in the income scale in which the possession of children is a handicsp Or it may be that the rise in the material standard of living has led to the development of ways of life in which children have no place of interests, occupations, and amuse ments that are incompatible with the care and attention that young children continually need-in particular the entry of women into spheres previously monopolized by men or the determination of married women not to lose the social contacts of the unmarried and the extension to the middle and working classes of leigure and leigure time interests without

See R R Kuczynski The Balance of Births and Deaths and Laid Charles The Troilight of Parenthood

the menial service that enabled the stistocracy to combine these with child rearing. In the first two cases we may say that the decline in the population is due to capitalism-in fact it may be that the most fundamental objection to capt talism is not economic but biological that it is a system under which society can no longer reproduce itself 1. But if the last caplanation is the true one it augurs ill for a socialist community since no sooner would it have achieved the prosperity freedom and equality (social and sexual) that social ists desire than it would tend to die out. Between these rival views it is difficult to decide. The rapid population growth of the Soviet Union might suggest that capitalism is the culprit and that the security and optimism of a socialist society would stimulate healthy biological growth. But it must be remembered that the Soviet Union is still a country with a low standard of living (although rapidly rising) and that peasant ways of life still survive in the majority of families And even in the Soviet Union the birth rate is beginning to decline

In any case what measures could a socialist community take to revive a flagging birth rate? The most obvious measure is to create institutions which will make leisure and amusement freedom and equality for women compatible with child rearing. The provision of creches and nursery schools reduction of domestic drudgery by machinery and by communal effort the organization of means whereby young children could be looked after while their parents went together to the theatre or to the evening class—all these would help. Above all suitable housing must be made swall able for families with children—houses at ground level with

This is true only of capitalism in its late period of degeneration and declin. In its middle period of rapid technological expansion (exemplified in Great Britain between 1750 and 1870) it was responsible for a historically unprecedented growth of population.

no dangerous balconies and stairs with plenty of floor space and separate alceping rooms with playrooms and play grounds situated in the country with access to fields and woods If in spite of these measures people still refuse to reproduce more positive measures must be taken | Economic advantages (as by money allowances subsidized housing subsidized holiday facilities supplies of certain goods free or at reduced prices) must be given to parents in proportion to the number of their children and the unmarried and the childless must be economically penalized (as by extra taxa tion discriminating rents or exclusion from the most desirable housing sites and holiday resorts) (The one type of economic discrimination that should not be used in the giving of preference in employment to parents this should be avoided as interfering with the choice of the most suitable personnel and thus tending to lower the efficiency of production) The general principle involved might be described as that of greater eligibility—to make the social and economic position of the parent more eligible than that of the nonparent and to make the position of the parent of several chil dren more eligible than that of the parent of few children It should be remarked that in a community enjoying a high standard of life the application of this principle would probably involve a far greater measure of redistribution of income in favour of parents than any scheme of family allow ances hitherto contemplated

Above all a socialist society must endeavour to make motherhood a career which an intelligent and educated woman might gladly choose. Motherhood must be made compatible with the social equality of the sexes and the economic emancipation of women. To achieve this at least two things are necessary. One is that the social and economic status of the woman who chooses motherhood must be at least as good as that of the woman who chooses paid work.

outside the home. The other is that the economic position of the home keeping mother should be secured to her independently of her husband a economic success or failure and that she should cease to be personally dependent on him for her and her children a livelihood. Both of these things will be far easier to realize in a society which does not tolerate great inequalities of personal income and which has entirely abolished functionless income derived from property. All this means that motherhood must be made a professional career and that a woman who raises efficiently a family of children must be paid for her services by the community

(b) The qualitative aspect of the population problem is much more knotty. In the present stage of social biology our knowledge of the innate qualities of different human stocks is so scanty and uncertain that there is little scope for any measures of discrimination between potential parents A few definite defects are known to be hereditary and persons who are genetic carriers of these defects should be encouraged to refrain from parenthood. If necessary they must be segre gated or sterilized. If their unsuitability for parenthood is biological not social (e.g. if they are persons whose children are liable to inherit some physical disability but if they are good citizens and able to make a good home for children) they should be allowed to adopt children if they so desire But beyond such measures of negative eugenics there is little that can be done in the present state of our scientific knowledge. Until one or two generations have grown up in good physical and social surroundings with equal opportunities of good food shelter and education for all 1t 18 impossible to distinguish between the effects of environment and those of heredity 1

I See L. Hogben Genetic Principles in Medical and Social Science chaps in via and vill Nature and Nurture ch. v. also J. B. S. Haldone Heredity and Politics ch. i.

On the other hand there are some people about whose biological fitness we can make no certain statement but who are socially unfit for parenthood. Such are those who by reason of criminality mental defect, or physical incapacity cannot create an environment in which children can live a healthy and happy life. The children of such people would have to be maintained as wards of society apart from their parents 1 but receiving the same advantages of environment and education as say orphaned children of normal parents The question arises should such people be permitted to produce as many children as they like and leave them to be brought up by the community free of charge to themselves? Most people particularly the socially fit parents would probably answer No It must be noticed that the point at issue is not the multiplication of the unfit in the eugenic sense but simply the responsibility for the support of children

It sppears that if parenthood is to be subsidized the subsidy should be selective. It should be restricted to those who in the course of their life as citizens make some contribution towards the cost of the social services which they and their offspring enjoy. The economic encouragement accorded to parents should be confined to those who rise above a certain minimum standard of physical health, mental capacity, economic efficiency, and absence of judicially proved criminality. It might be necessary to restrict by law the right of parent hood to such persons as satisfied these minimum conditions and to deter the illegal production of children in some way that would penalize the parent but not the children. Children brought into the world in contravention of these regulations would have to be maintained as wards of society, while the parents would be liable to some form of forced labour as a

This does not necessarily mean that their parents should never see them. It does mean that they should not permanently reside in their parents bone.

partial contribution towards the cost of maintaining their offspring

The question of migration is closely linked to that of population. In principle, every citizen of a socialist common wealth should be guaranteed free choice of domicile just is he should be guaranteed free choice of occupation. This principle has been admitted implicitly, in the section dealing with regional variations in the efficiency of labour. One real obstacle exists, however, in the way of the full application of this principle. If the level of efficiency in a given region is low, due to over population, and if the inhabitants of that region have procreative habits that tend to create and to intensity over population, then freedom of migration will simply enable them to export their habits and their poverty into regions inhabited by other cultural groups. Two methods of dealing with this problem offer themselves One is to draw a sort of cordon sanitaire round the region in question and, suspending for the nonce the right of migra tion, to leave the inhabitants to pullulate in their own squalor until they learn sense. The other is to initiate a vigorous educational campaign (even at the cost of restricting the liberty of obscurantist ecclesiastics) against the social forces that lead to increase of population beyond the point of maximum productivity per head

## CHAPTER V

## PRICE POLICY OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

## 1 Public Utilities in General

A particular case of importance in the theory of pricing is afforded by undertakings of the kind usually known as public utilities exemplified by railways, electricity works, gas works postal services telephones, &c which are characterized by three peculiarities (a) One is a very high proportion of fixed costs to prime costs (many of them can scircely be said to have any prime costs at all) (b) The second is that maximum economy of operation is obtained only with a very large output (c) The third is that the products of these industries are services rendered directly to the consumer and incapable therefore of being transferred by re-sale to another consumer

The first peculiarity means that the price of particular services is almost entirely independent of cost and that consequently, a wide discretion in the matter of charges is possible to the operating authority. Thus, competition in stead of establishing stable prices results in a high degree of instability. The second peculiarity means that undertakings of this type tend towards monopoly. The third means that the operator of these enterprises if he obtains monopoly power, can charge discriminating prices. Thus these enterprises are, even under capitalism, usually subject to some form of public control, especially with regard to their charges and profits. If they remain competitive, their charges are regulated by public authority, in order to secure some kind of stability. If they become inonopolistic, their charges are regulated by public authority, in order to protect consumers

<sup>1</sup> See Pigou, Economics of Welfare part li ch xvii.

from extortion Thua in either case they tend towards public control of price policy (This often takes the form of public ownership which of course includes control of price policy) The system of prices resulting from public control may be and generally is discriminating. Thus different prices are charged for the same service (transport of a ton of cotton and of a ton of coffee over the same distance use of a kw hour of electricity for lighting and of a kw hour for heating) and the same price for different services (penny post irrespective of distance)

It may be added that even public control does not prevent competition in the indirect form of competition between alternative services (railway and road transport gas and electricity). If there are discriminating charges in one or both of the competing services competition is particularly anarchic and unatable.

The problem before a socialist administration is then on what basis it is to fix its charges. This involves the further questions whether price discrimination is to be retained or not and how competition between alternative services is to be dealt with

The alternative price policies are (1) charges based on cost of service (2) charges based on value of service. In case (1) the charge will be uniform for services of a similar kind in case (2) it will tend to be discriminating

The cost of service principle raises the further issue of average versus marginal cost already discussed in Chapter III section 4 (a) It also raises the problem of averaging in a different form. No two ton miles of transport are provided no two kw hours of electricity generated under precisely similar conditions hence their cost of production is never exactly the same. But in practice it is impossible to make a separate charge for each individual unit of output services must be classified into categories and a uniform charge made

for each category. Therefore a rate system based on cost whether average or marginal will have to average cost over a whole category of services evolved under similar but not identical conditions. We shall call these mean costs to distinguish them from average as opposed to marginal costs.

Professor Pigou<sup>1</sup> concludes that the output proper to simple competition (which he assumes to involve prices based on average cost) is likely to be nearer the ideal output (from the social point of view) than that proper to discriminating monopoly. He admits however of some possible exceptions which he considers unlikely to occur in reality, where discrimination would produce some output and simple competition none at all. Mrs. Robinson<sup>1</sup> desires to extend the acope of Professor Pigou s exceptional categories. She also suggests that if the monopoliat is limited to a fixed rate of return on his capital discrimination may enable the weaker consumer to be subsidized out of the surplus exacted from the stronger consumer, so increasing output above that proper to single competition.

This argument is plausible and it a socialist community desired to develop public utilities by means of discriminating charges this is the principle on which it should do so. But, in the writer a opinion, the argument is fallacious and the practice based on it should be rejected. Although at first sight this increased output seems to be a gain that no one loses yet an uneconomic diversion of resources may occur. If the service is developed in this way a need for increased capital equipment will come about earlier than it otherwise yould. Thus resources will be diverted from industries in which it is impossible to make discriminating charges.

Another way in which the imposition of discriminating charges might be justified is the following. Assume the

Economics of Welfare part il ch xvil Economics of Imperfect Competition ch xvi indertaking to be yielding the maximum service under a system of prices based on average cost (output proper to simple competition). Suppose then that some increase of output can be effected by charging some consumers a price less than average cost and that the net additional return at this price just covers the net additional cost of the extra service. Then it may be argued the favoured consumers obtain an advantage that causes nobody any loss. There is no subsidy since non favoured consumers pay no more than they would have dot e had the discrimination not been made. The additional service is provided not at any one's expense but out of the more intensive utilization of plant. It is thus a net social gain. This argument however really justifies not discrimination, but a system of non discriminating charges based on inargural cost.

It should be remembered that a sound system of social costing should render possible a threefold equilibrium (1) within the enterprise a halance of receipts and costs in each line of production where separate costs are ascertainable, (2) between different ways of rendering similar services (say gas and electricity rail and road transport) a balance between the economic use of each kind of service (3) in the economic system as a whole s balance between the relative worth whileness of different ways of utilizing the community s resources. In the case of transport, for example this last point means that a balance must be struck between the advantages of increased transport facilities and a more wide spread distribution of factories. Neither transport nor the generation of electric power are ends in themselves and it may well be that a policy that increases the use of transport facilities or the consumption of electrical energy is simply multiplying unnecessary journeys and hindering the most economic location of producing plants. A system of transport, electricity, gas and water charges based on mean cost of

service would afford a reliable indication to the planners of socialist industry of the most economic size and location for the manufacturing unit in different industries

Wherever competition is possible between alternative services as in the cases of gas and electricity rail transport and road transport where each alternative has a definite range of undoubted superiority but where there is a wide overlap of competitive uses equilibrium can only be main tained by a rigorous method of cost accounting. Each service should be charged with its own proper costs all its own proper costs and nothing but its own proper costs. The con cealed taxes and subsidies that so often exist under capitalism must be abolished. Then the choice between the alternatives can be made on their ments.

It would thus appear that the proper basis of charging for a public utility in a socialistic economy is cost, and where as generally happens with public utilities the cost of a particular unit of service cannot be separately ascertained the proper basis is the mean cost of a category of services that are similar from the point of view of supply. That is to say in power production one kw hour of energy is similar to any other kw hour of energy if generated at the same time and by the same plant differentiation of charge on the basis of use (e.g. for lighting or for heating for private bouses or for shops) is not justifiable but differentiation on the basis of load factor is. In transport one ton mile is the same as another ton mile carried over the same line or road in the same type of vehicle differentiation of charge is not justifiable on the basis of the kind of good carried (between iron ore and copper ore, between wheat and oats between kitchen tables and grand pianos) but differentiation is justifiable on the basis of ease of loading or between full wagon loads and half filled wagons or between ton miles carried on a line of dense traffic and on one of sparse traffic

Thus we conclude that in the case of electrical energy the two-part tariff is to be recommended. This consists of an annual (quarterly or monthly) charge based on maximum demand plus a charge per unit of energy consumed the two rates of charges being adjusted in such a relation to each other that as far as possible the aum of the receipts under the first head will cover the capital costs of the power installa tion and that under the second head will cover the operating costs Differential charges are also to be recommended if they are likely to lead to an improvement in the load factor ie to a more uniform distribution of demand throughout time on this account some charges that apparently discriminate between different uses of current may be justified-c g domestic users of current may be charged less for cooking and heating than for light because their demand for the former comes at a time when their demand for the latter is low and so evens out the total demand. As bety cen localities. charges will tend to vary according to the size and efficiency of the local generating plant but with the full development of a national electric grid it should be possible to establish a uniform rate (at least a uniform unit-charge) throughout the entire country

The aubject of transport rates will be dealt with in more detail later but one or two points emerge directly from the preceding discussion. One is that the rate system that should be adopted is much more like the present system of road rates systematized and uniformized than like the present system of railway rates. There is no economic justification for the present complication of class rates and exceptional rates on the railway. The principle of charging what the traffic will not bear or even of not charging what the traffic will not bear is fundamentally wrong. If the traffic will not bear the charge it should not exist. If it must exist it must bear the charge. Uniform ton mile rates over a given route should

be established except where differences in rates are justified by differences in cost.

Uniform rates over different routes and in different parts of the transport system are a different matter on the cost principle every part of a transport system should be a separate accounting unit and should have its charges based on its own costs. Uniformity over an extended system where traffic conditions vary greatly in different parts means that one part of the system aubsidizes another part. This would be a serious consideration in an area like the U.S. S.R. the North American continent or the Australian continent in an area like Britain where traffic conditions are relatively uniform this would matter less. Within the area of a single West European country a uniform system of mileage rates might be established ignoring the differences between one line and another for the sake of simplicity

Apart from local variations in rates the cases where differ entiation is justifiable are as follows (a) between different technical forms of transport, as between rail road and canal each of which has its own schedule of costs (b) between goods involving different degrees of care or auxiliary services such as covering with tarpaulins or the use of special wagons (e.g. bananas fish nulk) (c) between full train loads or wagon loads and less than car load consignments

Attention should also be drawn to the possibility of applying to transport the principle of the two part tariff. An enterprise that makes use of the socialized transport service might be charged an annual charge based on its maximum loadings in any one week plus a ton mile rate for each consignment. The charges would be designed so as to make the standing charges cover the capital costs of the transport system (road rail and water transport would have to be accounted for separately) and the unit rate cover the operating costs. This would be a much more logical and economic

system than the agreed charges—recently introduced on the British railways which by making transport charges vary only with the tonnage (or value) of traffic and not at all with distance tend to make impossible any rational localization of industry. The two-part system would be difficult to work under capital ismulated by introduced into a socialist economy. It is more appropriate for goods than for passenger transport.

A atrong case can be made out on social grounds for a greater measure of tapering in transport charges than would be justified on private economic grounds. Wherever large aggregates of population come into existence they exert a powerful attractive force upon industry since other things being equal it is most convenient to locate an enterprise near the market for its products (The growth in the importance and variety of consumption goods as compared with capital goods and the increase in the relative importance of home production over foreign trade may be expected to reinforce this tendency ) But the establishment of new enter prises in a particular region involves a local increase of popula tion both direct (workers in the new enterprise) and indirect (people satisfying their needs) These people now constitute a market for goods and attract new enterprises into a region Thus a cumulative process is set up which in a region that is relatively independent of external sources of supply may lead to an overwhelming concentration of the population into one or a few areas where (possibly for fortuitous or transient reasons) a tendency to concentration had first shown itself Now this tendency is undesirable both for social and for purely economic reasona Economically, urban concentration involves costs in the form of traffic congestion new road building housing providing local services &c. which are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See M. Farrer How to Make the British Railways Pay for an exposition of a scheme on these lines for both passenger and goods transport proposed for immediate adoption under capitalism.

not ordinarily taken into account in fixing transport charges nor in the original calculations of cost that led to the setting up of the enterprise (a case of divergence between net private and net social marginal product) Socially costs that sre hard to estimate in definite figures but are none the less real are incurred in the form of loss of amenity

One remedy for this state of affairs is of course control exercised over the establishment of new enterprises in urban ized sreas. But a strict all or nothing rule is uneconomic Some enterprises should be catablished in a congested area. even though fewer than would be established on the basis of a purely business calculation of costs. To deal with every proposal on its ments would soon result in hopeless inconaistency and confusion. To avoid both the clumsy rigidity of an all or nothing rule and the random results of deciding every question on its ments, some general method of calculat ing the advantages and disadvantages of industrial locations must be devised that will automatically exercise a deconcentrating influence. One method would be a system of local taxes on industrial sites that rose steeply with the density of industrial enterprises in the locality. Another method would be a system of strongly tapering transport rates enabling enterprises at a distance from their markets to compete successfully with those nearer to their markets if they had even a slight advantage on grounds other than transport costs. This principle might be extended in the form of a general subaidy given out of social revenue to transport as a whole but at a higher rate to longer hauls (negatively tapered subaidy)

## 2 Socialist Transport

We may conclude this chapter with a sketch of the working of the transport system in a socialist community as an example of the principles discussed above

We must first distinguish between land transport air transport and sea transport. (Coastal traffic competitive with land transport and sea transport over short lengths of sea as be tween England and Ireland or hetween the North and South Islands of New Zealand are reckoned as land transport)

(1) All land transport would be in the hands of a single operating organization the Land Transport Corporation which would sell transport not rail transport or road transport or transport by coastal vessel. In it would be vested all rail ways canals road vehicles and coastal vessels. It could be divided into separate sections for different types of transport and into geographical areas and divisions but as an enterprise it would be a single unit. We may think of it as a unified railway enterprise (like the German Reichsbahn) operating road canal and coastal services as an integral part of its undertaking (as the British railways are beginning to do). We will discuss first goods and then passenger transport.

A In the case of goods transport the Land Transport Corporation would be in a position to offer a completely co ordinated door to-door service. It would be a common carrier for private individuals. It would probably take over all transport outside the factory gates for the organs of collective economy It would contract with the consignor (whether a private individual or an organ of collective economy) to transport for a stipulated charge fixed and known in advance, a given load between two given places (within certain definite limits of time) and then please itself whether it used rail, road, canal or coastal vessel transport. In this way the operating Corporation itself would have to settle internally all questions of competition between alternative forms of transport. If the consignor wished to send freight by a special form of trans port (e g if he wished specially to designate road transport) he should be free to do so (in some cases on payment of a special surcharge)

The rates charged would be based on two principles (1) cost (2) simplicity Since in general the specific costs of a particular consignment cannot be ascertained charging ac cording to cost implies charging according to mean cost For goods this means a uniform ton mile rate for all commodities and for passengers a uniform mileage rate. But, wherever possible special costs should be ascertained and allowed for Thus in the case of goods terminal costs can be partly separated from forwarding costs and should be sepa rately charged for Long hauls promote fuller utilization of rolling stock than short ones thus justifying a fall in the ton mile rate as the distance increases (tapering rates) Again small consignments mean more handling and use of rolling stock below capacity This justifies different rates of charge according to the size of the consignment say a less-than truckload rate, a less than trainload rate and a full trainload rate | Finally some commodities require special care in transport and involve special risks that others do not. A higher rate per ton mile is justified in this case. Thus commodities such as fruit, fish furniture milk delicate machinery or explosives with special requirements in the way of speed, covering care, or special type of vehicle, would be subject to higher rates while commodities auch as coal iron ore wheat (in grain producing countries) which can be transported in bulk with little in the way of special care and covering would receive special low rates By dividing a railway into divisions and keeping cost accounts for each, as if it were an independent undertaking the mean cost in each division (even in each branch line) can be ascertained the same principle can be followed with road transport and canal transport Here however the principle of simplicity enters. Although it would be possible to vary the basic rates of charge for every division and branch of railway or canal and for every road transport area, it would probably be inadvisable to do so

although it would be desirable nevertheless to keep accurate cost accounts

Thus our system of charges for the carriage of goods re solves itself into one based on a uniform rate per ton consisting of a terminal charge and a indeage charge (tapering) with three main classes according to size of consignment together with a few special classes for particular commodities. These special rates would be applicable all over the system and would like the general rates consist of a terminal charge and a tapering mileage charge. The classification would be based not on the valua of the commodity carried but on the cost of transport. A rate system on these lines unlike the present one of charging what the traffic will bear would reflect the real social costs of transport, and could thus serve as a reliable index to the relative advantages of different locations for industry. The transport undertaking would become an arbiter but not a dictator of economic development.

The container system could be considerably developed under a common administration for rail road canal and coastal shipping transport. Its working would be simplified by the adoption of a uniform tariff for all commodities sent in containers, irrespective of the normal classification.

B Passenger transport is a simpler proposition than goods transport. A co-ordinated system of rail and road passenger transport should be set up. The same fares should apply to both rail and road transport, and where both forms of transport serve the same route all tickets should be available indifferently for either form. The present process of closing down less important railway lines and stations concentrating traffic (especially local traffic) on the roads might probably continue but proper co-ordination should avoid the loss to the public of such facilities as proper waiting room and lavatory accommodation at wayside stations transfer from main line to local transport under cover and without a long

distance to walk and complete time table information regarding local as well as main line services

With regard to the tariff of passenger fares the best prin ciple for charging is a uniform rate per mile based on mean costs. There is a less strong case for tapering rates in the case of passenger traffic than in that of goods traffic but a moderate taper in the mileage charge would be justified. The whole of the present jumble of excursion, week-end and other special rates would disappear but season tickets at reduced rates would be continued since the season ticket passenger gives a guaranteed steady volume of traffic and ensures a good load factor. There seems no adequate reason for a division of passenger accommodation into classes, since special accommodation involves extra weight hauled per passenger and more work in marshalling and assembling trains Already in Great Britain only 6 2 per cent of passen ger journeys are made in the first and second classes (only 17 per cent excluding season ticket holders) The conti nental system (now being introduced into Britain) of charging higher fares for fast trains is justifiable on the basis of cost, but a complicated and vexatious Both British and American experience suggest, by comparison with continental practice that discrimination of this type not only irritates passengers but delays progress by making transport administrations tolerant of low speeds by ordinary trains. Fares should be fixed on a uniform basis as low as possible and all passengers admitted to the fastest means of conveyance

The roads would be built and maintained either by the same Transport Corporation or by a special Road Board. In view of the facts (a) that roads are used by other vehicles than those of the Transport Corporation and (b) that complex problems of local use and local finance emerge the latter method may be preferable. In any case it will be necessary for accurate costing in order that the Transport Corporation

may keep an exact balance between road and other forms of transport to calculate the cost of road construction and main tenance and to allot it to different types of vehicle on the basis of their number average speed and gross laden weight Vehicles in private ownership or owned by public bodies other than the Transport Corporation would be subject to taxation on the same level as the costs allotted to vehicles used by the latter body. This taxation might be on any of three bases (1) an annual licence duty per vehicle graduated according to its weight and normal working speed (4) a tax on tuel consumption (3) a mileage tax, graduated according to the vehicle's weight and normal speed. This last kind of tax would give rise to administrative difficulties in a capitalist order of society but would be applicable to all publicly owned vehicles in a aocialist community. For privately owned vehicles two possibilities exist. One is a inileage tax based on an officially sealed mileage counter the other is an extra licence duty or an extra tax on fuel sold to private persons in heu of the mileage tax.

Canals give rise to no problem that has not been treated in principle under the heading of rail and road transport Contrary to popular prejudices on the subject canals have few advantages that would justify an extensive development of this form of transport. Although the cost per ton mile of pure transport is lower by water than by either road or rail this is in most cases offset by other factors. One of these is the alowness of canal transport, even on the level where locks or lifts are necessary this defect is even more marked. Another is the fact that the canal network is not and cannot be as ubiquitous as the rail or the road network many places cannot, for geographical reasons be served by canal except at great capital cost and in many cases the route between two places that are served by canal is exceedingly circuitous. Hence canal transport will often involve transhipment, with

all its attendant costs. In special cases as the transport of coal in special containers on the Aire and Calder Navigation canals have their secure place in the transport system. For the carriage in bulk of goods, where speed is not essential, over a fixed route or a few fixed routes (e.g. between a number of collieries and a coaling port) this form of transport will continue in use

Much the same can be said about coastal shipping as about canals. Here too is a limited but definite field of usefulness restricted mostly to the carriage of goods in mass over definite routes.

The socialist administration of docks and harbours should not occasion any special difficulties

- (2) Air transport, because of its much higher range of costs, should for the present be organized as a separate service under a separate operating body the Air Transport Corporation. The sphere of air transport to day is mainly threefold (a) provision of transport for isolated communities where the capital cost of road or rail communication would not be justified by the returns (e.g. in Northern Canada, Central Australia, New Guinea and the Asiatic part of the U.S.S.R.) (b) emergencies (c) luxury travel. In all these cases, air transport is not seriously competitive with land transport nor will it be in the future unless its cost is very considerably reduced. If it does become competitive with land transport, it will have to be operated by the same organization as operates land transport
- (3) Sea transport in the special sense of the word used here, includes ocean transport and transport in smaller seas between distinct land masses, but excludes coastal and local river traffic, and such transport as that between Great Britain the Isle of Man, and Ireland and that between the North and South Islands of New Zealand which is simply a link between two closely co-ordinated systems of land transport. Border

line cases of course will arise, such as the case of cross-channel communication between Britain and the European continent, which is here provisionally reckoned as sea transport. Difficult problems also arise when what is undoubtedly sea transport partakes also of the character of coastal trade, such as traffic between the Atlantic and Pacific seaboards of North America, via the Panima Canal, or such as traffic between Australia and the rest of the world, which usually touches at a number of Australian ports

The problems of sca transport, in the special sense, are sufficiently different from those of land transport to warrant n distinct organization. Here discrimination between different kinds of goods is less important than the variation in the general level of rates in accordance with the fluctuations of supply and demand in the market. The administration of the mercantile marine of a socialist country that entered into trade relations with the capitalist world would have to be prepared to face competitive rate cutting on the part of capitalist steam ship companies. It would probably be best to concentrate in the hands of one administration all overseas transport, whether it was shipped in socialist or capitalist bottoms This administration would maintain a fairly stuble schedule of rates, based on mean costs, applicable to all consignors, whether private or public, within the socialist country, and then ship the forthcoming traffic cither in its own vessels or in capitalist yessels chartered at current market prices whichever seemed best to it,1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a discussion of socialist transport see chapter is of Britain Without Capitalists

## CHAPTER VI

# PRIVATE ENTERPRISE WITHIN THE SOCIALIST COMMUNITY

## I THE PRIVATE SECTOR IN GENERAL

We have hitherto assumed that all production is in the hands of economic organs of the community and that all income is either carned by working for these organs or distributed as a Social Dividend. We must now see whether it would be possible to relax this collectivistic rigidity so far as to allow of private persons' engaging in production or rendering services to other private persons or to use the Soviet phraseology, whether it would be possible to tolerate a private sector of industry side by side with the socialized sector with which we have been solely concerned up to this point.

Here two apparently conflicting considerations present themselves. On the one hand from the point of view of production, there are a number of branches of personal service and petty production that do not lend themselves well to collectivistic provision. Also there are activities of a highly individualized nature, such as the production of works of art and the printing of political and religious literature. In many cases they might be organized on the principle of producers' co-operation (cf. the Russian artel.), but in others they would be best suited by the one man business or by the small firm with a few employees. If what is chiefly deared is economic efficiency, such businesses should be allowed to extend where they can produce a better or cheaper product than the socialized sector can, and, in order to achieve eco-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note the distinction between the divisions of communal and individual consumption and the sectors of socialized and private production

nomic equilibrium they should be placed as far as possible on the same basis as socialized industry in the matter of costs This would only be possible if prices in the accialized sector were based on average costs. On the other hand from the point of view of distribution it is desirable to prevent the private appropriation of rent interest, and profit. Any extensive toleration of private enterprise especially with the use of large scale capital would tend towards the enhance ment of economic inequality and the re-establishment of a class society. Less objection would attach to enterprises analogous to personal service involving little capital such as restaurants tea shops garages and petrol filling stations the making of pickles jam, and cakes for sale, bespoke shoe making tailoring and dressmaking &c Let us therefore consider the conditions on which a socialist community could tolerate a private sector of industry at least in such small scale branches of production as are mentioned above without endangering the fundamentally socialist basis of society

Since all land and natural resources would belong to the community it would be possible to charge the private employer a full economic rent for his premises. His capital would be obtained partly from the Central Bank and partly from private sources. The Bank would charge on loans the normal rate of interest in the socialized sector, and the private trader would be required to pay on the rest of his capital a tax equivalent to the normal rate of interest. The result of this would be the aocial appropriation of income derived from land and capital leaving the owner of a private business with only the surplua that might fairly be considered to be derived from his own exertions and organizing ability. Furthermore, he would pay on this residue of his income whatever tax was payable on abnormally high individual earnings.

If the worker in the socialized sector was paid in the form of wages the full economic value of his labour no more and no less there would be no objection to private employers competing with the public for the services of workers. All trade union regulations and all laws designed for the workers protection would of course apply with equal force to the private and to the public sector. Their enforcement would probably need greater vigilance in the private sector.

A difficulty would arise in the case where owing to a general levy on wages the worker in the socialized sector received less in wages than the economic value of his labour. To put the employee in the private sector upon the same footing as the worker in socialized employment a tax would have to be levied on private employment (its ultimate incidence would be the same whether it was laid upon the employer or the employee). The private employee would participate like every citizen in free social services and in social dividend. (It would not be desirable to allow him to contract out of these in return for exemption from the private-employment tax.) The same treatment would apply to free-lance professional workers artists writers, doctors &c. not employed by organs of the community

With regard to contracts of personal service that is to say employment by private persons not for gain the only things necessary would be the employment tax designed to equalize the position of the private and the public employee and the enactment of a strict code of labour laws for the protection of the private employee

## 2 AGRICULTURE

The most important branch of the private sector will probably be agriculture. Although the technical arguments for conducting some branches of agriculture by means of large publicly owned estates worked by employed labour are very strong there are other branches where the small family unit is economically preferable. Moreover, there are social

and psychological considerations which may result in the retention for some time of the small privately worked farm over a great part of the range of agricultural production. This is especially likely to be the case where as in Scandinavia Australia. New Zealand and parts of North America small one family farms worked with little or no hired labour have achieved a considerable degree of technical efficiency (thanks usually to the help of co-operative or state activities) and account for a very large proportion of agricultural output.

It will be particularly difficult to fit private agriculture into a planned collectivistic economic system especially where it is a question of co-ordination the national production of agricultural commodities with a general plan of exports or imports. Large scale directly collectivist agriculture could of course expand or contract its output and its labour force in accordance with the social plan just as collectivist industry could But a multitude of petty commodity producers is not so amenable to direct regulation. Moreover, a complication is introduced by the fact that the small farmer s income con sists of the proceeds of the sale of his product. He like the industrial worker should be sheltered from the major flue tuations of a market valuation of his services, this protection should however be afforded at a minimum loss of economic efficiency. Four ways of controlling the output of the private sector of agriculture may he mentioned

(1) Price control The planning authority for agriculture might regulate the supply of different products by varying the prices which it offered for them. Each year it would decide how much wheat say was required from the private sector and then fix a price for wheat that in its estimation would clicit the desired supply. If more was forthcoming the price could be lowered or purchases could be stopped. The question is more complicated if it is desired at the same time to guarantee the farmer's livelihood. In this case the

purchasing board would have to make a guaranteed price—that is to say announce a price that would be fixed tor a definite period of time and undertake to buy the whole of the individual farmers output (subject of course to its satisfying a certain minimum standard of quality) during that period. If its calculation was wrong and say more wheat was offered than it expected it would have either to cut its losses and learn to make better estimates next time or store the excess and offer a lower price next year. In the case of a perisbable commodity, such as strawberries, the purchasing board would have to sell at a loss.

- (2) Rent control The planning authority might control the supply of commodities by adjusting the rents charged by the community for the use of agricultural land. The rent of different kinds of land would be calculated so as to make it profitable for producers in the private sector to grow just the desired quantity of the different products. By itself this is probably a less flexible method than price control but it might be convenient in the case of crops of minor economic importance. Its principal sphere of use is probably in conjunction with price control. Prices and rents are naturally complementary in an exchange economy and the simultaneous manipulation of prices and rents would give the planning authorities greater freedom e.g. in adjusting home production to the import and export trade.
- (3) Licences The planning authority having decided how much of a particular crop it requires during a given year might issue licences to produce the desired quantity and sell them to the producers by public auction or by public tender. This would ensure the most productive use of land and would tend to concentrate production in the hands of the most efficient farmers. It would not be so effective as some of the other methods in guaranteeing the producers livelihood. It could be combined with guaranteed prices and rent control.

(4) Quotas If the minimum disturbance to established expectations is sought the method of quotas is the most obvious Existing producers could be registered and given a quota based on their past output the sum of the quotas con stituting a standard output. Changes in output required would be effected by expressing the desired output as a percentage of the standard output and then authorizing all producers to produce this percentage of their quota This method is particularly suitable where the probability is that planning policy will reduce the quantity of home production and it is desired to let existing producers down lightly. This may happen either because the demand is declining (as in the case of flax) or because the imported product is cheaper (as in the case of wheat in France) or because the product in question is produced mainly for export and the foreign markets are becoming less remunerative (as in the case of butter in New Zealand)

This method (the simple quota) is subject to serious economic defects. The chief one is that it tends to stereotype the use of land as it was at the moment of introducing the quota system. But as time goes on, new methods of cultivation are discovered new land is opened up by improved means of transport new crops are introduced and the relative suitability of land for different purposes becomes quite other than what it was originally. Thus the simple quota perpetuates an increasingly uneconomic use of land

This objection can be avoided by the device of making the quotas transferable. They will then acquire an economic value. Thus in the case of a butter fat quota a fariner cultivating land that is intrinsically more suited to dairying than some other land and having himself no quota or a small one will find it worth his while to buy quotas from men on worse land who have larger quotas than they want to use. In this way the production of butter fat will be concentrated upon

the land more suitable for the purpose, while less suitable land will be driven into other uses. Also it will be worth while for the personally more efficient man to extend his production, at the expense of the less efficient, through the purchase of quotas. Meanwhile old producers who are displaced will obtain some compensation through the sale of their quotas.

The simple quota system will tend to break down if the permitted output increases above standard. It may be physically impossible, or at least economically very costly, for farmers to produce more than 100 per cent of their existing output. This situation can be dealt with, either by authorizing the sale of quotas, thus transferring the extra production to the next most suitable sites, or by combining the quota with the licence method, and issuing new quotas to be sold at competitive prices to whoever will buy

# CHAPTIR VII FOREIGN TRADE

So far we have described price formation and economic regulation in an isolated socialist community. Such a community far from being parasitic on capitalist states out side in the sense of basing its price system on theirs, would find it possible, at any rate in theory, to determine all necessary prices and costs in an isolated economy. We have now to consider how this community would be economically related, through imports and exports, to other communities.

# (1) TRADE IN GOODS

Comparative costs The principle of comparative costs applies to a socialist economy as to a capitalist one. If a good A can be obtained from abroad in return for the export of other goods whose cost is less than the cost of producing A at home, then a net gain of economic welfare results from importing the good A instead of producing it at home. This is subject to distinctions and qualifications. For example, it is only true of costs reckoned in the long run and not of short period prices, which may diverge considerably from cost. The SEC must discriminate between supplies of cheap foreign goods that are permanently cheap owing to low real costs of production and those that are only temporarily cheap. It ought to take advantage of temporarily cheap goods whenever it can do so without dislocating its own plans of production or incurring more than countervailing costs of

These other communities are assumed to be non socialist. It is improbable that a number of independent socialist commonwealths would isolate themselves, each in its own distinct economic system, when self interest and socialist principle clike would impel them to adopt an integrated system of economic planning and costing

transference. But it is not worth while importing goods that are temporarily cheap owing to a foreign seller's price-cutting policy if their introduction necessitates changes in the alloca tion of capital and labour at home that will soon have to be reversed If however the foreign goods are permanently cheap the SEC ought to substitute them for those of native manufacture transferring labour and other resources to alternative branches of production until the cost of the home produced goods is equal to that of the imported (This may involve in some branches of industry abandoning home production and relying entirely on importation ) Even if the imports are permanently cheap the cost of the necessary adjustments in home production may be greater than the savings effected by importation. Now a socialist community can make allowance in its estimates of all the relevant costs (e.g. retraining of skilled labour) and can aprend the charge over that period of time during which equipment and labour would naturally be renewed. The problems of transference involved in the case of foreign trade are precisely similar to those which arise in a self-contained economy owing to technical improvement or to changes in demand (See pp. 86-7 and Chapter IV section 3 (3)

In order to give effect to the principle of comparative costs the SEC will have to make a survey of domestic manufactures with a view to their disposability in foreign trade. It will then have to compute price indexes for export goods and for import goods and work out, on the basis of the bargains that its foreign trade organs actually make with external trading bodies the real rate of international interchange between its imports and its exports (the barter terms of trade). On the basis of these figures it can compare the costs of any particular branch of domestic production and compare its costs with those of importation. An increase in imports will make it necessary to dispose of more exports

sbroad this will in general be progressively more difficult and involve a worsening in the real rate of interchange thus raising the cost coefficient of all imported goods

An important qualification of the comparative-cost prin ciple is that it is static it considers only actual economic relations as they exist at a given time. A planning authority ought to be able to look ahead and forecast future trends (a) in costs of export goods (b) in costs of goods that compete with or might compete with imports and (c) in the barter terms of trade. It ought in its decisions to take into account these trends as well as the actual conditions of the moment. The most usually quoted example of the importance of future trends is the case of the industry that is not at the moment capable of surviving in the face of foreign competi tion but could do so after a sufficient period of development This is the infant industry argument in favour of fiscal protection. A socialist community could foster infant industries without the fear that they would become infant Gargan tuas devouring the substance of whole provinces in their greed No private vested interests would be created by such a policy of development nor would protected industries develop that penumbra of sub marginal undertakings which makes it almost impossible to remove protection from an mdustry under capitalism even though the more efficient establishmenta no longer need it

Another qualification of the comparative-cost principle is that there may exist political reasons for not being dependent on foreign countries for particular products or on certain foreign countries for any product. Again, there may exist social reasons for developing certain branches of domestic production beyond the point indicated by the principle of comparative costs such as the necessity of developing factory industry in agricultural diatricts and of establishing proletarian settlements there or of developing agriculture in

regions considered to be unduly urbanized. In all these cases definite subsidies should be made out of the social income to the industries concerned and the ordinary calculation of costs then applied. In this way it will be possible to see clearly the real social cost of such a policy of protection and moreover to apply quantitative methods to the case where while it is undesirable for political or social reasons to admit a large quantity of some imported product, it may not be desirable to exclude it entirely

But these exceptions apart the policy of a socialist community with regard to foreign trade should be not free trade, which is inconsistent with the principles of collectivism but non discrimination between foreign and domestic sources of supply. We may note in this connexion that the orthodox argument in favour of free trade involves two propositions.

- (1) that specialization of inclustries within nations according to their comparative costs of production will yield the maximum aggregate real income to every nation
- (2) that free competition is the best way of achieving this specialization

Now in controverting the free trade position the ordinary protectionist attacks proposition (1)—usually with scant success. The socialist can accept (1) with a good conscience, but rejects (2). He may consider desirable the distribution of resources that would result from an ideal free trade policy while doubting the capacity of capitalist society to achieve it. The only way to achieve this ideal distribution according to him is deliberately to plan it. There is need of a term to describe this policy of deliberate planning of production on a basis of comparative costs. It cannot be called free trade because this phrase implies a policy of lauter faire. The term non discrimination is here suggested. It is non discrimination rather than freedom that is proved to be economically advantageous by the orthodox argument in

favour of free trade. A socialist community could practise non discrimination while protecting the home market from dumping and short period fluctuations it could encourage infant industries and since production would not be in the hands of completely independent bodies of entrepreneurs with interests opposed to the social interest, there would be no danger of such protection and encouragement being used as a screen for monopolistic depredations. It is true that prejudice and mental inertia on the part of groups of producers might perhaps create a demand for restrictions not socially justified but within the glass walls of socialist economy it would be difficult to maintain unreasonable discriminations for long

A difficulty arises masmuch as the orthodox theory of international trade assumes that at least on one side trading units are small and that goods are offered and taken in small lots (small compared with the magnitude of aggregate trade between any two countries or in any one commodity) Where a socialist community was trading with another socialist community (if such existed) or with a capitalist community that had adopted the now popular policy of totalitarian state regulation of foreign trade a situation would arise similar to that called bilateral monopoly by theoretical economists in which it is probable that there is no determinate stable position of equilibrium but in which either party has an incentive to get the better of the other by the use of fraud or force The investigation of this problem leads us too far afield but one may remark that in these days of marketing boards quotas and clearing agreements it is not a problem that faces a socialist commonwealth exclusively

In order to safeguard the policy of the SEC with regard to importation and exportation the community should main tain a monopoly of foreign trade. Although there would not be any harm in individuals ordering small quantities of

goods from abroad for their own personal use <sup>1</sup> the private sector should be excluded from direct participation in foreign trade. The private trader if tolerated should be obliged to make all his purchases from and sales to traders in foreign countries through socialized export and import organizations <sup>2</sup>

### 2 FOREIGN EXCHANGES

Purchasing power parity The question of foreign exchanges should present no difficulty. If the outside world adhered to the gold standard, the socialist community would have to conduct its foreign trade transactions in terms of gold The SEC would have to see to it that imports were paid for by exports But there is no necessity for the internal currency to be linked to the external. The conversion of one into the other would only be necessary for the use of travellers and for remittances between private individuals. The central bank would have a monopoly of foreign exchange and could fix what rates it liked. The greatest economic and administra tive convenience would however result from its fixing the rate of exchange at a figure corresponding nearly to the true purchasing power parity between the internal currency and gold. This figure could be changed from time to time as the internal value of gold varied

It may be objected to this that purchasing power parity is a concept of dubious validity in theory and difficult to determine in practice. In particular, the purchasing power parity between a socialist and a capitalist country would be difficult to establish because of (a) the different price structures and (b) the different proportions in which things were consumed in the two countries. In so far as the socialist community

The right of individuals to order books and newspapers from abroad would be an essential guarantee of political freedom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For foreign trade under socialism soo G D H Cole Principles of Economic Planning chaps, vii x, xiii R. L Hall Economic System of a Socialist State ch xii

conducted its external trade on the lines laid down here its price-structure would approximate to that of the countries with which it traded and objection (a) would cease to have effect Objection (b) would remain true but the more closely the two price structures come to resemble each other the less importance will attach to differences in weighting due to different schemes of consumption. In any case no great accuracy is needed. If the control of external trade is rigid enough any rate of exchange can be maintained. The more nearly this arbitrary rate approximates to the ratio of the purchasing powers of the two moneys the less temptation there is to smuggling of currency black bourses and other forms of illicit trade. Also less inconvenience is caused to tourists and to persons in the socialist country who desire to make odd purchases (say books) or remit money to friends abroad. A quite rough approximation to purchasing power parity in the rate of exchange will avoid these inconveniences

If the outside world abandoned the gold standard the socialist community would have a choice of alternative poli cies (1) It could either conduct its external trade in terms of some capitalist country's stable managed currency (if such existed) (2) It could persuade capitalist countries to trade in terms of its own domestic money. If this was maintained stable in terms of general prices (see Chapter VIII section 3) it might easily commend itself to the use of a capitalist world in the threes of dissolution (3) It could contract and liqui date obligations in terms of some commodity chosen as a measure of value This commodity might be gold (used as a commodity of trade and not as money) copper, steel, coal wheat cotton coffee butter wool or any other standardizable staple product (4) It could conduct its trade on harter lines by means of clearing house agreements. This last expedient, although it is one to which capitalist nations are forced in the extremity of the present crisis, is the last that

should be adopted since it is lacking in elasticity. It is ill adspited to three and more sided exchange and it therefore makes difficult rational economic choice between different commodities and between different sources of supply

Under these circumstances the socialist community would still have to fix rates of exchange between its currency and other currencies. The principle of purchasing power parity would still hold good. In the absence of gold pars apecial steps might have to be taken in order to check speculation.

## 3 CAPITAL MOVEMENTS

More difficult problems of foreign trade are involved in the case of the import and export of capital. We must distinguish between short period and long period movements of capital.

(1) Short-term capital Some form of short term bor rowing and lending must exist if a socialist community is to have any foreign trade at all Even if all imports are paid for by exports the account does not balance accurately day by day the temporary fluctuations between one month and another and those due to seasonal commodity movements (harvests &c) must be evened out by short term capital movements. In the past these were provided by means of bills of exchange which being of short currency and called into existence by the commodities which they helped to pay for automatically adjusted themselves to the needs of trade and what was still more important, could not pile up a large unbalanced volume of debt on one side of the account. Now adays a process of increasing differentiation and specialization has tended to separate the movement of goods from the move ment of credit. The bill of exchange is being supplanted by the simple bank credit and the telegraphic transfer Bills if still in use are to an ever increasing extent finance bills not based directly on transactions in goods. This development

although it makes for greater flexibility is liable to lead to greater instability in foreign trade, since transactions in goods do not automatically cancel each other out and an unbalanced short term debt can come into existence almost unperceived by the financial houses which handle foreign trade leading on occasion to frozen credits and to financial crises

A socialist community which decided to trade with its non socialist neighbours but did not wish either to make long period loans (investments) to them or to accept such from them, would nevertheless have to make use of some machinery of short period finance. It could either use that of its capitalist neighbours (as the USSR has used that of London New York, or Berlin) or set up its own. In the latter case proper methods of inspection and control would enable it to know exactly where it stood in the former case the danger would exist that the socialist community would find itself more deeply involved than it cared to be in the financial complexities of non socialist countries. This could perhaps be guarded against by the devising of some new form of automatic and self-liquidating document against goods.

(2) Long term capital We must now consider long term capital movements, or international investment. Under certain circumstances a definite economic advantage can be obtained by both the borrower and the lender from the movement of capital between countries. From the point of view of the socialist community if the rate of interest on long period loans outside the community is lower than the rate prevailing within the community is real income can be in creased by borrowing from abroad until the increased supply of capital lowers the domestic interest rate to the world level Similarly if the outside rate of interest is higher than that of the socialist community the latter can increase income per head by using its resources to finance foreign under takings instead of domestic ones and consuming additional

imported goods with the proceeds. Note that in making these calculations the planning organ of a socialist community would need to take into consideration the net effect on the national dividend of foreign borrowing and lending. That is to say it would have to compute not only the return on capital at home and abroad but also the effect of the import or export of capital on the return to labour. This auggests that a socialist community acting on purely economic calculations would be more ready to import capital and less ready to export capital than a capitalist economy under similar conditions. In the former case it would set an increase in economic wages against the extra burden of interest payments in the latter case it would set against the receipt of interest payments the increased return to labour that would have resulted from keeping the capital at home instead of exporting it

Both borrowing and lending are theoretically possible Subject to the qualification in the preceding paragraph both borrowing and lending would be economically beneficial from the point of view of immediate gain both to the socialist community and to the outside countries with which it entered into relations on capital account. But there are grave objections against both borrowing from and lending to outside communities (especially if they are non socialist) on the part of a socialist commonwealth Borrowing from abroad makes the socialist community dependent on foreign and possibly hostile countries hence it should be avoided as much as possible on political grounds. Lending abroad if carried out on a large scale and over a long period of time would turn the community into a corporate exploiter of workers living in other communities it would undermine its morale and create in the debtor country a sense of resentment that would embitter international relations and might lead to conflict On social and political grounds therefore, there is a case against it Nevertheless both the borrowing and the lending of capital resources are such sources of potential welfare that it seems difficult to renounce them altogether A possible policy to recommend would be to borrow or lend subject to two conditions (a) the total sum of foreign loans not to exceed a certain low proportion of the aggregate national capital (b) all loans to be accompanied by sinking fund provisions which would extinguish indebtedness within the lifetime of the physical assets acquired thereby or within half a generation (say fifteen years) at the most. The first provision would obviate excessive dependence of the national economy on external conditions. The second would prevent the development of usurer nations with accompanying resentment on the part of the debtors

An exception to this self-denying ordinance may be adnutted in the case of capital transactions between two socialist communities (if indeed they remain independent). A situation might arise particularly if one country was technically backward and the other advanced in which one might have a greater need of capital than the other and in which the transfer of part of the second country s surplus to the first might be effected in terms agreed on by the planning authorities of the two countries on terms mutually advantageous (1 be actual transfer of resources would of course take the form of an export of goods or services—e g employment of experts for which no immediate return would be made) Even this however would have in it an element of possible exploitation Against this danger the best safeguard would probably be the rapid extinction of debt by a ainking fund. An ultimate safe guard is of course the possibility of repudiation by the community that came to feel itself exploited

The further problem arises of what a country should do if it goes socialist when it is, like Britain or the United States a large creditor on international account under capitalism For example Britain receives annually something like £200 millions of tribute from the workers of other countries and dividends on foreign investments interest on foreign loans, and the net balance of repayment (the balance of principal of old loans and investments and loans repaid over new investments and loans made). It has been auggested that a socialist Britain should nationalize this stream of wealth slong with the rest of the income of the property owning classes. But there is a difference in principle between evogenous and endogenous income from property. The expropriation of capital invested at home simply restores to the workers of Britain what they have been robbed of. But the expropriation of capital invested abroad places the British people as a whole in the position of the capitalist extracting aurplus value from workers in other countries.

The exercise of elaborate moral casuistry by the British workers will however probably be obviated by the action of governments of debtor countries who however deeply they honour in their own lands the sanctity of contract and the institution of private property will almost certainly take advantage of the establishment of socialism in Britain to repudiate their obligations in that direction

## 4. WILL SOCIALISM LEAD TO WAR?

This is perhaps the place to deal with the objection made against socialism that if adopted by single countries it would embitter international relations and lead to war. Under individualism the terms in which say wheat in one country is exchanged for machinery in another concern a multitude of individual trades if the terms alter, some traders gain and some lose in both countries the populations as a whole do not feel concerned. But, it is claimed if countries trade with each other as single units every change in the conditions of trade every time that one country establishes an industry to produce something that it previously imported every time

that one country decides to alter the channels of trade and buy from or sell to a third country in preference to the country with which it formerly dealt any change of this kind will become a matter in which the entire population of two or more countries will acquire a material interest, and the occasions of conflict and quarrel between nations will be multiplied

This however is precisely what is actually happening on an ever increasing scale under capitalism. It occurs because under capitalism there is a separation of interests between the capitalist class (or certain sections of it) and the nopulation as a whole This separation of interests makes it possible for capitalist interests within a country to pursue a foreign policy in which the economic welfare of the people is sacrificed to the real or imagined economic interests of a small minority. Economic nationalism and economic impenalism impoverish not only the world as a whole but the great bulk of the people in the countries that practise them nevertheless they do enhance the profits of certain sections of the capitalist class. As long as free competition is maintained under capitalism this separation of interest although productive of domestic disharmonies does not strongly influence foreign policy since no one capitalist is strong enough to in fluence the state machine. Thus competitive capitalism does not display a marked tendency to economic nationalism The more capitalism assumes a monopolistic form however the stronger is the tendency to economic nationalism. More over a cleavage of interests occurs even within the ranks of capital heavy industry allied with finance sacrifices other and weaker capitalist interests to gratify its own urge to export capital It is often stated that the policy of modern states as in the era of mercantilism places power above welfare. This is not strictly true. The fascist state—even the liberal democratic empire-eacrifices welfare it is true, and may even sacrifice profits temporarily but the power at which it aims

is sought for the sake of ultimate profit—a place in the sun' for heavy industry and the export of capital the exclusive control of minerals or of territory auitable for plantation economy, access to reservoirs of chesp and docide labour

But it is precisely because this separation of interests would no longer exist under socialism that we have little reason to expect that a socialist country would pursue a policy of economic nationalism. If the gains of economic imperialism were balanced against its real cost it would be found in nearly every case to be unjustified on purely material grounds. The liberal economists are perfectly right in asserting that it does not pay from the point of view of the national economy as a whole What they sometimes fail to see is that it pays from the point of view of a class or a section of a class. Where one party takes the risk and bears the costs of an enterprise and another gets the profits it is likely that investment in that form of activity will be pushed far beyond the point of economic equilibrium (In fact economic nationalism and economic imperialism are outstanding examples of divergence between marginal private net product and marginal social net product) But once let the profits and the costs be apread over the same body of persons (to wit, the entire population of a socialist community) and the folly and futility of imperialism will become obvious. No socialist country would treat imports as curses and exports as blessings seeking to restrict the former and maximize the latter nor would it imagine that it could make itself richer by giving away its substance (as in the case of aubaidized export schemes) The USSR almost alone among national economies at the present day treats exports as the necessary price to pay for desired imports and deliberately diminishes its exports of certain goods (timber and foodstuffs) as its own productive powers increase Trade between socialist countries will

See N Angell The Great Illunon This Have and Have Not Bunners

exemplify the principles of nineteenth century economic liberalism it will be a mutual benefit and a force making for peace

Strictly speaking however this harmony of interests applies without any serious qualification only to the exchange of goods. In the movement of capital and of human beings cases of disharmony can arise. The import and export of capital although immediately beneficial to both parties may give rise to conflicts of interest between debtor and creditor communities. Such transactions should therefore be entered into with peculiar circumspection. The migration of peoples especially where they are of widely different social conditions, may also be grounds of economic disharmony (see Chapter IV section 7). Capital transactions are not however likely to lead to serious conflicts of interest, since the net addition that any country could hope to make to its national income by international usury is a trifle in comparison with the cost of collecting interest from an unwilling debtor.

There remains as the only possible source of international conflict, the problem of migration. War for possession of territory is conceivable between a community with a sparse population abundant natural resources and a high standard of life and a community with a dense population few natural resources and a low standard of life. Even this danger though it must be admitted as a theoretical possibility is improbable in practice. The spread of birth control throughout the world is leading to a state of affairs in which

Britain a income from ov reeas investments was £212 millions in 1929 (Sir Robert Kindersley Economic Journal December 1937). This amount of capital invested at home would have brought in rome return so the net addition to British income was much less than this. Assume that the return at home would have been more) and we get a net addition to British income of not more than £106 millions which amounts to less than £2 for per head per annum or about 3 per cent of the national income

population dearth and not population pressure is the most likely danger to civilization. Moreover, the peoples whose rulers talk most aggressively of requiring territory for overseas expansion of being hemmed in by other countries of being people without room are suffering more from the militaristic policy of these same rulers than from population pressure. (In many cases the same dictators who demand colonies for a surplus population are frantically trying to reverse the trend of a falling birth rate.) Reduce military expenditure abolish black shirt militias and the corruption of functionaries who may not be criticized or brought to account return to the people the profits rent and interest that their rulers extract from them under the protection of this same army and militial and there would be few symptoms of population pressure.

The same considerations apply to the case of raw materials A policy of exclusive territorial possession and monopolistic exploitation of raw material supplies brings profits to small sections of the capitalist class sections which tend in the age of monopoly capitalism to become politically dominant. But the people of any country will nearly always stand to benefit by a policy of the open door and of exchange on non discriminating terms. The profits of an exclusive policy will always be small and uncertain, outweighed moreover by the costs of aggressive armaments. In a world containing both socialist and capitalist imperialist countries bowever it might be necessary for the former to arm themselves not in order to secure exclusive control of raw materials for themselves but to prevent the latter from doing so

A possible exception is in the case of a small nation that controls the role supply of an indispensable commodity. Such a nation could raise its collective standard of living to a cortain extent by a monopolistic policy. But no source of supply nowadays remains for long the sole source. Chile's monopoly of mirates was soon broken by the Haber process of fixing atmospheric nitrogen.

# CHAPTER VIII MONEY AND PRICES

#### 1 MONEY

THE adoption of a pricing system by our socialist commonwealth involves the use of money. In the economic arrangements that we have sketched out there will be three kinds of money payments payments between one organ of collective economy and another, payments between an organ of collective economy and a private individual, and payments between private individuals. The first kind of payment corresponds to inter firm transactions in capitalist economy. To effect it no material current money of any kind (notes or coins) is necessary. As goods are transferred from one Corporation or Trust to another or from a producing organiza tion to a co-operative society or other sales agency the one will be credited and the other debited with their value in the books of the Central Bank Payments of the second and third kind will create the need for some form of individually disposable purchasing power (i.e. money) This will be required for effecting the sale of goods at a price to the consumer (Chapter II, section 4) and the distribution of individual income through the payment of wages and other allowances (Chapter IV, section 5) The same form of money would presumably be used for payments between individuals (the third kind of payment mentioned above) These payments would be multiplied by the development of a sector of private enterprise, but even in the absence of a private sector there would presumably be some transactions between individuals—gifts, odd loans of small sums, sale of second hand goods and of the product of

spare-time activity subscriptions to clubs and other voluntary associations 1

Such freely disposable tokens of purchasing power may take either of two forms (i) current money (notes or coins) (ii) book entry money (bank deposits transferable by cheque or by a giro system)

It has been suggested that current money could be dispensed with in favour of transfers in individual ledger ac counts in some central institution. Every citizen would be credited in the books of this institution with his income calculated in some definite unit of value, and he would make payments, by a sort of cheque or giro system to the retail trading organs of the community for all goods and services that he purchased Convenience would probably dictate the development of some such system just as the well to-do citizen to day deposits most of his income in the bank and pays his tradesmen by cheque. In a socialist community probably every citizen would have his wages and other allow ances paid into his asvings bank account and would make a monthly settlement with the co-operative society where most of his purchases would be made. But even so the book entries under such a scheme still remain money in the sense of freely disposable purchasing power

However it would appear difficult to generalize the book entry system for all transactions. In particular, there remain the problems of the traveller and of the casual disbursement of small sums. One cannot pay by cheque for train rides postage stamps, telephone calls and newspapers. One wishes to buy cigarettes or sweets or flowers when one s own cooperative store is not handy, and to have occasional meals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf H G Wells in New Worlds for Old (p. 143) Money is indispensable to human freedom. The featurelessness of money its universal convertibility gives human beings a latitude of choice and self-expression in its spending that is inconceivable without its use

MONEY 191

and drinks when away from home. Of course things like trams letter post, and newspapers might in time come to be provided free and an extension of the co-operative retail trading system might make it possible for an individual to walk into any shop snywhere and buy as much as he wanted simply on presentation of his savings book or his co operative pass book. Under these circumstances the use of coins and notes might dwindle to vanishing point. Even so it would scarcely be possible to eliminste all occasions for petty-cash disbursements. Moreover, the cheque system is uneconomical for small transactions since the administrative cost of cheque clearings depends on the number and not on the magnitude of the transactions.

One reason why many socialist writers object to the use of current money in their ideal commonwealth, but permit the use of book entry money is their fear of the anonymity of the former If all transactions went through the medium of a single banking institution it would be easier to trace and control transactions between individuals of an anti-social nature Bribery gambling and private trading for a profit would certainly have a much greater chance of flourishing where notes and coin were in general use transferable with out formality and without record than where all payments went through the books of an omnipresent omniscient organ of the collective economy (Even in the latter case how ever if private individuals really wanted to evade social control of such activities they would probably evolve their own unofficial token currency to be used for gambling or private trade and either exchanged for official bank money in devious ways or redeemed in terms of commodities that were both produced and consumed outside the ambit of socialist eco nomy)

However it will be assumed that current money is in use for small payments in the socialist community. That it ought

to be purely token money should be clear for two reasons. One is that the use of full value coins represents an entirely useless waste of natural resources—there are better uses for the precious metals than to serve as a medium of exchange. The other is that the community a current money ought to be purely domestic money—The influx and efflux of money from outside communities would be an element too disturbing of the planned economy—If citizens wish to buy goods from outside they must obtain foreign exchange from the Central Bank—in whose hands all foreign trade transactions will be centralized (see Chapter VII—section 2)

#### 2 CIRCULATION

The circulation of money against goods must be carefully controlled in the economic plan. Every part of the plan must be worked out in two distinct ways in terms of real goods and services produced and consumed and in terms of money payments. Thus a kind of double account book keeping will check every stage and department of production.

In particular care must be taken in the issue of individual purchasing power (currency or credit) in the division of individual consumption. The issue of money must be carefully co-ordinated with the whole economic plan for this division.

It may be that since metal disks are more hygienic and convenient than paper notes part of the large stock of gold now held as monetary reserve will be made use of in the form of a gold comage. But those come will be essentially gold tokens hearing the same relation to full value gold pieces as our present alver come bear to the floring thalers and five franc pieces of the mid nineteenth century. In this case, gold will return to monetary use but this time as the servant of secsety and not as its master. Even so it is probable that gold would be of more use in fountain pen nibs electrical contacts crucibles and containers for acids than in coinage.

Of the practice of the planning organs of the U.S.S.R. which draw up both a money balance and a goods-balance for every large enterprise and for every considerable region of the country

The correct circulation of money against goods will only be achieved if the sum total of purchasing power flowing into the market during a given period in the form of wages social dividend and other money allowances is equal to the sum of the prices of all consumption goods offered for sale during the same period. That this can be achieved is seen by considering the facts (a) that the total value of consump tion goods is equal to the total value of all goods produced less the deductions for new capital formation and for communal consumption (b) that the sums distributed in personal income equal the carnings of all factors of productions less deductions for the same two purposes. Since the sum of all prices of goods equals the earnings of all factors of production the distribution of purchasing power given above will in principle ensure equilibrium 1 However various unpremeditated occurrences may upset this equilibrium. The first two of these are due to the irruption of unexpected elements from unplanned portions of the social economy the third is due to deviations from the plan itself

(1) Consumers may vary the rate of expenditure of pur chasing power in their hands. Even though private investment is forbidden saving in the sense of hoarding may exist. Thus consumers may hoard money instead of spending it or may dishoard from previous accumulations. This factor depends on the personal decisions of millions of individual consumers. Normally, the statistical average of their decisions may be taken by the planning authority as constant over fairly long periods, but in some circumstances it may undergo a biassed change in one direction that would affect the price level in an unforeseen manner.

See Note on National Income p 238

This is equivalent to a change in what Marihall and I igou would call the ploport of esources that consurers leet to hild the first financy what Hawtrey would call their unspent mariph, and what Fisher would call the velocity of circulation of money.